Part B (see Note 1 and Note 8 para 4.2)

Please use a separate Part B form for each representation you wish to make

Please set out what chang please set out what ot be gard to the issue(s) you had to the set of the	have identified above. Y vill be helpful if you are s	of been Iliw uo Voot fuq of eld	say why this chang and your suggested
ssible. If you wish to supp ur comments. (Continue on a	port the legal complian	e of the BDP,	
	port the legal complian	 is on tive GDB ent to	
es:⊠ Please give details of why ssible. If you wish to supp	hy you consider the BDR	No:□	
Do you consider the BDP es: Please give details of why saible. If you wish to supp	P is legally compliant? (The BDR compliant is a specific to both the BDR compliant is a specific to both the legal compliant is a specific to be better the BDR compliant is a specific to be better the both the legal compliant is a specific to be better the better the both the better the better the better the both the better th	see Note 2) No: is not legally se of the BDP;	compliant. Please b
es:⊠ Please give details of why ssible. If you wish to supp	Sustainability Appraisa (P is legally compliant? (hy you consider the BDR nport the legal complianc	, please makesee Note 2) No:□ is not legally e of the BDP.	this clear in your re
olicies Map: your representation does a cument, for example the 5Dp you consider the BDP es: es: Es: Saible. If you wish to supp	Other documens not relate to a specific Sustainability Appraisa P is legally compliant? (hy you consider the BDH poort the BDH	oart of the door, please make see Note 2) No: Is not legally se of the BDP.	this clear in your re
vour representation does recument, for example the 9Do you consider the BDP es: \(\omega\)	s not relate to a specific Sustainability Appraisa P is legally compliant? (hy you consider the BDP hy you consider the BDP	oart of the door, please make see Note 2) No: Is not legally se of the BDP.	this clear in your re
ages: 119 olicies Map: cument, for example the 5 Do you consider the BDP es:⊠ es:⊠ ssible. If you wish to supp	Paragraph: Other documents not relate to a specific sustainability Appraisa P is legally compliant? (Ny you consider the BDF appraisant the BDF appraisant) compliant?	cart of the doc, please make see Note 2) No: No: is not legally	sument, or it relates t this clear in your re
olicies Map: your representation does a cument, for example the 5Dp you consider the BDP es: es: Es: Saible. If you wish to supp	Other documens not relate to a specific Sustainability Appraisa P is legally compliant? (hy you consider the BDH poort the BDH	the door of the door please make see Note 2) No:□ Is not legally see of the BDR.	Policy: BDP2: Ument, or it relates the this clear in your re
ages: 20 ages: 119 olicies Map: olicies Map: olicies Map: cument, for example the 5DP cument for formal for formal	Paragraph: Tab Other documen Paragraph: Other documen out relate to a specific sustainability Appraisa P is legally compliant? (e 2 part of the doc part of the doc please make see Note 2) No: No: is not legally e of the BDR	sument, or it relates t this clear in your re
ages: 20 alicies Map: olicies Map: olicies Map: olicies Map: cument, for example the 5 Do you consider the BDP es: \omega es: \omega saible. If you wish to supp	Paragraph: Tab Other documen Other documen Other documen Sustainability Appraisa Sustainability Appraisa P is legally compliant? (e 2 part of the doc please make see Note 2) No: No: is not legally	Policy: Policy: BDP2 this clear in your re
ages: 20 ages: 119 olicies Map: olicies Map: olicies Map: cument, for example the 5DP cument for formal for formal	Paragraph: Tab Other documen Paragraph: Other documen out relate to a specific sustainability Appraisa P is legally compliant? (e 2 part of the doc please make see Note 2) No: No: is not legally	Policy: BDP2: Ument, or it relates the this clear in your re
ages: 18 ages: 20 ages: 20 ages: 119 cument, for example the 5 cument,	Paragraph: 8.10 Other documen Paragraph: 12b Paragraph: Tab Other documen Other do	e 2 con of the doc please make see Note 2) No: No: is not legally e of the BDP.	Policy: Policy: BDP2 this clear in your re
ages: 20 alicies Map: olicies Map: olicies Map: olicies Map: cument, for example the 5 Do you consider the BDP es: \omega es: \omega saible. If you wish to supp	Paragraph: Tab Other documen Other documen Other documen Sustainability Appraisa Sustainability Appraisa P is legally compliant? (e 2 con of the doc please make see Note 2) No: No: is not legally e of the BDP.	Policy: Policy: BDP2 this clear in your re
ages: 18 olicies Map: Yes olicies Map: olicies Map: olicies Map: olicies Map: cument, for example the 5 cument, for exampl	Paragraph: Other documen Sustainability Appraisa out relate to a specific Sustainability Appraisa Fis legally compliant? (e 2 part of the doc please make see Note 2) No: No: is not legally e of the BDP.	Policy: Policy: BDP2 sument, or it relates the this clear in your re
ages: olicies Map: Yes olicies Map: olicies Map: olicies Map: olicies Map: cument, for example the sument, for example the seriment, for example the seriment.	Paragraph: Other documen Sustainability Appraisa Faragraph: Faragra	e 2 part of the doc please make see Note 2) No: No: is not legally e of the BDP.	Policy: Policy: BDP2 sument, or it relates the this clear in your re

5. Do you consider the BDP is sound? (see Note 3)

-	Vaciti	No:⊠
1	Yes: 🔲	
4		

Do you consider the BDP is unsound because it is not:

(1) Justified (see Note 4)	
(2) Effective (see Note 5)	⊠
(3) Consistent with national policy (see Note 6)	
(4) Positively prepared (see Note 7)	

- 6. Please give details of why you consider the BDP is unsound. Please be as precise as possible. If you wish to support the soundness of the BDP, please also use this box to set out your comments. (Continue on a separate sheet /expand box if necessary)
 - At the date that public consultations on the Plan closed (11 November 2013) the District Council were unable to specifically define the settlement areas described within the Plan. The Parish Council wishes to make representations about the way in which the Barnt Green Settlement is defined. The following email was sent by the Parish Council to the District Council on 4 November:

Dear Mike

I refer to the document, Bromsgrove District Plan: Settlement Hierarchy Background Paper (2012)

Can you please let me have a copy of Appendix 1, Location of assessed settlements. It does not seem to be available.

I presume that this will identify the precise boundary of the Barnt Green Settlement as defined by the Plan. If not, will you please supply a document that does identify this.

Regards

The District Council reply to this request was as follows:

Dear Paul

We updating the report to include appendix 1, all this shows though is the locations of the main settlements across the district.

The plan does not specifically define the settlement of Barnt Green other than in general terms so no plan of exact settlement boundaries exists.

Thanks

The Plan is presented as if Settlement Areas have a defined boundary. The report even ascribes population bands to these areas.

In the absence of any defined area the concept of the Settlement Area within this Plan is unsound.

2. The Policies Map shows that the Bromsgrove District Plan defines the Barnt Green settlement area too widely.

Barnt Green has been considered a large settlement based on the criteria used within the evidence publication, *Bromsgrove District Plan:* Settlement Hierarchy Background Paper.

Although settlement populations do not relate to either ward or parish boundaries the District Council indicate in their evidence publication, *Bromsgrove District Plan: Settlement Hierarchy Background Paper* (paragraph 7.12), that "the assumption of 3 mph walking speed and a 10 minute walk time (i.e. 800 metres) was used to assess whether a facility is within walking distance."

It is material that all the services identified as falling within the Barnt Green settlement area are located east of the railway line. As a result, all housing west and north of the junction of Fiery Hill Road and Cherry Hill Road not only fall outside reasonable walking distance of the services on which they are said to depend but do not look to the Barnt Green Settlement Area for the following services:

- Bus service: The 145 bus service is not the nearest or the most frequent service for the houses. Houses in this area typically look to closer provision on the Old Birmingham Road, Lickey.
- First/Primary School: The following link illustrates that houses in this area are not within the catchment area of St Andrew's School, Barnt Green. They are served by Lickey schools. https://www.edulink.networcs.net/schools/St Andrews CE First/Admissions%20documents/Catchment%20map.pdf
- Playgroup/nursery school: Houses in this area typically look to closer provision on the Old Birmingham Road, Lickey.
- Community/village hall: Houses in this area look to the closer provision at Trinity Hall, Lickey.
- Place of worship: Houses in this area look to the closer provision at Holy Trinity Church, Lickey.
- Children's play area: Houses in this area look to the closer provision at Lickey Country Park.
- Playing Field: Although arguably the closest provision the playing field at Parker's Piece is
 not a facility used by young people living in the area under discussion as it is too far away for
 safe, independent travel.

The services within the currently defined Barnt Green Settlement Area only make adequate provision for residents living within the parish of Barnt Green.

The population of the parish of Barnt Green, according to the 2011 census, is 1,794.

7. Please set out what change(s) you consider necessary to make the BDP sound, having regard to the test you have identified at 6 above. You will need to say why this change will make the BDP sound. It will be helpful if you are able to put forward your suggested revised wording of any policy or text. Please be as precise as possible. (Continue on a separate sheet /expand box if necessary) (see Note 8 para 4.3)

The current Barnt Green Settlement Area should be divided into the following two separate areas:

- (i) a newly defined Barnt Green Area Settlement comprising housing within the Barnt Green parish boundary:
- (ii) a newly defined Lickey Area Settlement comprising housing located within the currently Barnt Green Settlement Area, north and west of the junction of Fiery Hill Road and Cherry Hill Road

The newly created Lickey Settlement Area will be larger than, and as well provided for in terms of services, as the existing Blackwell Area Settlement Area

The evidence for the current settlement hierarchy is based on the document *Bromsgrove District Plan: Settlement Hierarchy Background Paper (2012).* Since then, the District Council has recognised the parish of Barnt Green as a distinct Neighbourhood Plan Development Area.

Because houses located north and west of the junction of Fiery Hill Road and Cherry Hill Road are

not part of the Barnt Green Neighbourhood Development Area it makes no sense to include them, for the purposes of this Plan, within the same settlement area.								
Both Settlement Areas should be considered Small Settlements (population circa 50 to 2,500).								
This change will make the Plan sound as it will more accurately reflect both local service provision and the boundary of the newly created Barnt Green Neighbourhood Development Area.								
Please note your representation should conformation necessary to support/justify the not normally be a subsequent opportunity representation at publication stage.	e representation	and the	suggested change(s), as there will					
After this stage, further submissions will be only at the request of the Inspector, based on the matters and issues he/she identifies for examination.								
8. If your representation is seeking a change, do you consider it necessary to participate at the oral part of the examination? Please note the inspector will determine the most appropriate procedure to adopt to hear those who have indicated that they wish to participate at the oral part of the examination.								
No, I do not wish to participate at the ora	l examination]					
Yes, I wish to participate at the oral exam	nination	×						
9. If you wish to participate at the oral part of the examination, please outline why you consider this to be necessary. (Continue on a separate sheet /expand box if necessary)								
The Parish Council feels strongly that the Barnt Green Settlement Area as defined in the Bromsgrove District Plan should correspond to the Barnt Green Parish, and Neighbourhood Development Area, boundary.								
	Date:							
Signature:	Date.	V	111/13					
	<u> </u>							

.