

Addendum to the 2015 Strategic Housing **Land Availability Assessment**



AUGUST 2018



Bromsgrove
District Council

www.bromsgrove.gov.uk

Contents

| | |
|--------------|---|
| Introduction | 1 |
| Methodology | 2 |
| Findings | 3 |
| Conclusion | 3 |
| Appendix 1 | 4 |
| Appendix 2 | 5 |

This Addendum should be read in conjunction with the Strategic Housing Land Availability Assessment (September 2015), which has been appended to this document for reference.

1. Introduction

- 1.1 The National Planning Policy Framework¹ (NPPF) seeks to boost significantly the supply of housing. It states that local planning authorities should identify and update annually a supply of specific deliverable sites sufficient to provide five years' worth of housing against their housing requirement with an additional buffer of 5% to ensure choice and competition in the market for land. Where there has been significant under delivery over the previous three years, the buffer should be increased to 20% (paragraph 73).
- 1.2 Local planning authorities must also identify a supply of specific, developable sites or broad locations for growth for 6-10 years and, where possible, for years 11-15. Paragraph 67 of the NPPF highlights that to achieve this, local planning authorities will need to prepare a Strategic Housing Land Availability Assessment (SHLAA) to establish realistic assumptions about the availability, suitability and likely economic viability of land to meet the identified need for housing over the plan period.
- 1.3 SHLAAs are expected to form a key component of the evidence base to support the delivery of sufficient land for housing to meet district housing requirements. The main aim of SHLAAs is to identify as many sites with housing potential in and around as many settlements as possible. The most recent SHLAA for Bromsgrove District was published in September 2015. This Addendum document represents a record of all sites submitted to the Council since September 2015 up to 31 March 2018.
- 1.4 The Bromsgrove District Plan 2011-2030² (BDP) sets out that a Green Belt Review will be undertaken by 2023. The Review will include an assessment of land across the whole of the District including land on the periphery of Birmingham.
- 1.5 To start the Plan Review, the Council will launch Issues and Options consultation (24 September to 19 November 2018), which will include an invitation to comment on two draft proposed methodology documents: Green Belt Purposes Assessment Methodology and Site Selection Methodology. Once these two methodology documents have been finalised and the Green Belt Purposes Assessment work is underway, the information contained in the 2015 SHLAA and this Addendum, will inform the site selection process. Sites within the 2015 SHLAA are not revisited or reassessed within this document. The draft Methodology Documents can be viewed at www.bromsgrove.gov.uk/districtplan
- 1.6 Reference should be made to the 2015 SHLAA for background and the methodology used in assessing sites within this Addendum.

¹ July 2018

² Adopted January 2017

2. Methodology

- 2.1 Sites which have been submitted since the 2015 SHLAA was published have been briefly assessed to ascertain whether they should be included or discounted. Sites of less than 0.4ha have been discounted. Please see Appendix 1.
- 2.2 The suitability of a site, in relation to its location and connectivity or lack thereof with a settlement, was not taken into account as this will be assessed following the Green Belt Purposes Assessment. A site which is not currently connected to a settlement should not be discounted at this time as it may be an integral part of a larger site which may be considered to have development potential as part of the Plan Review. All sites previously discounted in Appendix F of the 2015 SHLAA will also be revisited after the Green Belt Purposes Assessment.
- 2.3 Site visits were not undertaken for this Addendum as these will be completed when all sites are reassessed following the Green Belt Purposes Assessment.
- 2.4 Sites which met the minimum size threshold were briefly assessed against the following criteria:
- Flood zone
 - Green Belt
 - Existing land use
 - Scheduled Monuments
 - Land ownership
 - Greenfield or Brownfield land
 - Trees on the site
 - Public Rights of Ways on the site
 - Site within or significantly affect designated international, regional or local value or affect habitat for protected flora or fauna
 - Site affect trees, hedgerows or areas of ancient woodland not subject to statutory protection
 - Achievability
 - Potential residential yield
- 2.5 To provide consistent and realistic estimates on the potential residential yield for each site, Figure 3 from the 2015 SHLAA was used to determine the net developable area. A density of 30 dwellings per hectare was used to ensure that housing potential was not overestimated. Please see Figure 3 from the 2015 SHLAA below.

| Figure 3 Net Developable Areas | |
|---|---------------------------------|
| Site Size (ha) | Developable Area of Site |
| Less than 0.4 | 100% |
| 0.4 to 2 | 85% |
| Greater than 2 | 65% |

3. Findings

3.1 The sites submitted following publication of the 2015 SHLAA have been categorised into Green Belt Potential Sites or Discounted Sites based on their brief assessment.

3.2 Tables 6 and 7 of the 2015 SHLAA have been updated below to include the additional sites identified in this Addendum.

| Table 6 – Category 4 Green Belt Potential | | |
|--|-----------------|-------------------|
| Timeframe | Number of Sites | Total (dwellings) |
| 2023-2030 | 145 | 27,573 |

3.3 Table 6 highlights that there are a significant number of sites that will need to be considered following the Green Belt Purposes Assessment.

| Table 7 – Category 5 Discounted Sites | | | |
|--|-----------------|----------------|-----------------------|
| Availability | Number of Sites | Site Area (ha) | Available (dwellings) |
| 2011-2016 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 2016-2021 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 2021-2030 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Unknown | 79 | 305.19 | 6,335 |
| Total | 79 | 305.19 | 6,335 |

3.4 Table 7 shows that 79 sites have been discounted from the SHLAA. All of the discounted sites will be reconsidered after the Green Belt Purposes Assessment to ensure a holistic approach, particularly for sites discounted due to being below the threshold size.

4. Conclusion

4.1 This document provides information on sites submitted to the Council after publication of the 2015 SHLAA and an update to Tables 6 and 7. All sites included within the 2015 SHLAA and this Addendum will be reassessed after the Green Belt Purposes Assessment in a subsequent SHLAA.

Appendix 1 – Discounted sites submitted since 2015 SHLAA

| SHLAA Ref | Site Name | Settlement | Gross Site Area (ha) | Grid Ref: X | Grid Ref: Y | Green Belt | Disc Reason |
|-----------|---|---------------|----------------------|-------------|-------------|------------|---------------------------|
| BDC284 | Land south of Hewell Close | Tardebigge | 0.153 | 400185 | 268816 | Yes | Below site size threshold |
| BDC288 | The Birches, New Road | Bromsgrove | 0.327 | 396622 | 269822 | No | Below site size threshold |
| BDC293 | 206 Alcester Road | Hollywood | 0.248 | 397074 | 275006 | Yes | Below site size threshold |
| BDC300 | Land off Peterbrook Rd, Major's Green | Major's Green | 0.145 | 41006 | 27771 | Yes | Below site size threshold |
| BDC304 | Land at Yew Tree Farm, South of 123 Bromsgrove Road | Romsley | 0.333 | 396326 | 280137 | Yes | Below site size threshold |
| BDC313 | Land rear of 1-5 Sherwood Road | Bromsgrove | 0.339 | 396222 | 269340 | No | Below site size threshold |
| BDC314 | Land rear of 47-49 Worcester Road | Bromsgrove | 0.052 | 395807 | 270466 | No | Below site size threshold |
| BDC320 | Brockhill Wood | Redditch | 27.516 | 402281 | 268730 | Yes | Ancient woodland |

Appendix 2 – Green Belt Potential sites submitted since 2015 SHLAA

| SHLAA Ref | Site Name | Settlement | Gross Site Area (ha) | Net Site Area (ha) | Potential Site Capacity at 30dph | Grid Ref: X | Grid Ref: Y | Notes |
|-----------|---|------------|----------------------|--------------------|----------------------------------|-------------|-------------|--|
| BDC12B | Land off Buntsford Hill, Bromsgrove | Bromsgrove | 3.48 | 2.26 | 68 | 395623 | 268111 | Site an addition to BDC12 |
| BDC285 | Land between Holyoakes Lane and A448 | Tardebigge | 1.254 | 1.060 | 32 | 400160 | 268671 | |
| BDC286A | Clevedon Farm, Icknield Street | Beoley | 13.230 | 8.600 | 0 | 405979 | 269628 | See BDC91 This site has also been submitted as part of a larger site by another party. Therefore, in order to avoid double counting, this entry has been given a 'nil' capacity value and has been linked to BDC91 |
| BDC286B | Land east of Icknield Street and north of Church Hill | Beoley | 7.001 | 4.550 | 137 | 406372 | 269694 | |
| BDC286C | Land east of Icknield Street and south of Church Hill | Beoley | 3.044 | 1.980 | 0 | 406371 | 269413 | See BDC319 This site has also been submitted as part of a larger site in multiple ownership. Therefore, in order to avoid double counting, this entry has been given a 'nil' capacity value and has been linked to BDC319 |

| SHLAA Ref | Site Name | Settlement | Gross Site Area (ha) | Net Site Area (ha) | Potential Site Capacity at 30dph | Grid Ref: X | Grid Ref: Y | Notes |
|-----------|--|-------------|----------------------|--------------------|----------------------------------|-------------|-------------|--|
| BDC287 | Land to West of Sandhills Green | Barnt Green | 3.247 | 2.110 | 0 | 401473 | 273263 | See BDC104 This site has also been submitted as part of a larger site. Therefore, in order to avoid double counting, this entry has been given a 'nil' capacity value and has been linked to BDC104 Not adjacent to settlement but included in SHLAA in advance of GB Review |
| BDC289 | Land to east of Stoney Lane | Hagley | 4.220 | 2.740 | 82 | 389351 | 279399 | |
| BDC290 | Land east of Redditch Road | West Heath | 5.584 | 3.630 | 109 | 403203 | 276918 | |
| BDC291 | 157 Woodrow Lane, Catshill | Catshill | 0.715 | 0.610 | 18 | 396710 | 274787 | |
| BDC292 | Land to rear of Linthurst Newtown | Blackwell | 1.687 | 1.440 | 43 | 399358 | 272581 | |
| BDC294 | Land Between Birmingham Rd & Halesowen Rd | Lydiate Ash | 1.479 | 1.260 | 38 | 397074 | 275006 | |
| BDC295 | Land Between Halesowen Road & Woodrow Lane | Lydiate Ash | 1.511 | 1.280 | 38 | 396951 | 275034 | |
| BDC296 | Land fronting Woodrow Lane | Lydiate Ash | 6.024 | 3.910 | 117 | 396737 | 274971 | |

| SHLAA Ref | Site Name | Settlement | Gross Site Area (ha) | Net Site Area (ha) | Potential Site Capacity at 30dph | Grid Ref: X | Grid Ref: Y | Notes |
|-----------|--|-------------|----------------------|--------------------|----------------------------------|-------------|-------------|--|
| BDC297 | Land at Ashborough Farm, Old Burcot Lane | Bromsgrove | 26.022 | 16.910 | 507 | 397119 | 271863 | |
| BDC298 | Land of Little Heath Lane | Lickey End | 1.293 | 1.100 | 0 | 397616 | 272737 | See BDC206 This site has also been submitted as part of a larger site. Therefore, in order to avoid double counting, this entry has been given a 'nil' capacity value and has been linked to BDC206 |
| BDC299 | Land between Radford Road and A441 | Alvechurch | 13.900 | 9.035 | 271 | 403392 | 272895 | Part of site Scheduled Monument |
| BDC301 | Land adjacent to 263 Bromsgrove Road | Hunnington | 0.786 | 0.668 | 20 | 396534 | 281324 | |
| BDC302 | Land off Scarfield Hill | Alvechurch | 0.798 | 0.678 | 20 | 402089 | 272258 | |
| BDC303 | Land off Barnsley Hall Road / Mallow Drive | Bromsgrove | 1.059 | 0.900 | 27 | 395861 | 272623 | |
| BDC305 | Land between Bittell Road and Sandhills Road | Barnt Green | 18.750 | 12.190 | 366 | 401545 | 273752 | |
| BDC306 | Land North of Sandhills Green | Barnt Green | 2.342 | 1.520 | 46 | 401253 | 273575 | |

| SHLAA Ref | Site Name | Settlement | Gross Site Area (ha) | Net Site Area (ha) | Potential Site Capacity at 30dph | Grid Ref: X | Grid Ref: Y | Notes |
|-----------|---|-------------|----------------------|--------------------|----------------------------------|-------------|-------------|--|
| BDC307 | Land to the east of Goodrest Lane | Hawkesley | 8.685 | 5.650 | 170 | 405103 | 276701 | |
| BDC308 | Land east of Wasthill Lane | West Heath | 30.665 | 19.940 | 598 | 404102 | 276574 | Site extends across border into BCC |
| BDC309 | Land to the west and north of Goodrest Lane | Hawkesley | 25.210 | 16.400 | 492 | 404991 | 277088 | Site extends across border into BCC |
| BDC310 | Land south of Church Avenue | Clent | 0.703 | 0.600 | 18 | 392773 | 279180 | |
| BDC311 | Land north of Ryefields Farm | Stoke Prior | 1.166 | 0.990 | 30 | 394579 | 267282 | |
| BDC312 | Land south of Houndsfield Lane | Wythall | 47.277 | 30.730 | 922 | 408554 | 276060 | |
| BDC315 | Land East of St Godwalds Road | Bromsgrove | 22.782 | 14.730 | 442 | 397290 | 269331 | |
| BDC316 | Land at M42, Junction 3 | Portway | 3.211 | 2.100 | 63 | 407788 | 272817 | |
| BDC317 | Land at the Maypole | Hollywood | 23.229 | 15.100 | 453 | 407797 | 278018 | |
| BDC318 | Land at Wildmoor Lane | Catshill | 6.508 | 4.230 | 93 | 396321 | 274857 | BDC318 encompasses BDC194. BDC194 previously discounted. The capacity for BDC194 (34) has been subtracted from the capacity of BDC318 (127) as a whole to avoid double counting. |

| SHLAA Ref | Site Name | Settlement | Gross Site Area (ha) | Net Site Area (ha) | Potential Site Capacity at 30dph | Grid Ref: X | Grid Ref: Y | Notes |
|-----------|---|-------------|----------------------|--------------------|----------------------------------|-------------|-------------|--|
| BDC319 | Church Hill Farm, Church Hill | Redditch | 26.009 | 16.91 | 507 | 406719 | 296385 | Covers BDC286C |
| BDC321 | Bromsgrove Golf Centre | Bromsgrove | 145.63 1 | 94.66 | 2840 | 397579 | 271150 | BDC321 encompasses BDC19. BDC19 previously Green Belt Potential. The capacity for BDC19 (302) has been subtracted from the capacity of BDC321 (2840) to avoid double counting. |
| BDC322 | Land at Bordesley | Bordesley | 79.89 | 51.93 | 0 | 405355 | 270227 | See BDC91 This site has been submitted as part of a larger site by another party. Therefore, in order to avoid double counting, this entry has been given a 'nil' capacity value and has been linked to BDC91 |
| BDC323 | Stoke Prior Sports & Country Club, Weston Hall Road | Stoke Prior | 1 | 0.85 | 26 | 394345 | 266283 | |
| BDC324 | Land off Trueman's Heath Lane | Hollywood | 0.85 | 0.72 | 22 | 409120 | 277037 | |
| BDC325B | Land between M5 and Worcester Road (West) | Bromsgrove | 76.16 | 49.5 | 1485 | 394092 | 268993 | |

| SHLAA Ref | Site Name | Settlement | Gross Site Area (ha) | Net Site Area (ha) | Potential Site Capacity at 30dph | Grid Ref: X | Grid Ref: Y | Notes |
|-----------|---|------------|----------------------|--------------------|----------------------------------|-------------|-------------|---|
| BDC325A | Land between M5 and Worcester Road (East) | Bromsgrove | 19.6 | 16.7 | 501 | 363309 | 268775 | |
| BDC326 | Land off Rock Hill | Bromsgrove | 6.92 | 4.5 | 135 | 394466 | 268752 | |
| BDC327 | Caspidge Pines Farm, Pikes Pool Lane | Bromsgrove | 2.66 | 1.73 | 52 | 397852 | 270798 | See BDC321 This site has also been submitted as part of a larger site by another party. Therefore, in order to avoid double counting, this entry has been given a 'nil' capacity value and has been linked to BDC321 |
| BDC328 | Adj. 1 The Drive | Hopwood | 0.47 | 0.4 | 12 | 402891 | 275310 | |
| BDC329 | Land north of Houndsfield Lane | Hollywood | 1.93 | 1.64 | 49 | 409151 | 276829 | |
| BDC330 | Land north of Western Road | Hagley | 2.7 | 1.8 | 53 | 309041 | 280045 | |
| BDC331 | Lane Cottage, Aqueduct Lane | Alvechurch | 0.5 | 0.425 | 12 | 402203 | 273620 | |
| BDC332 | Rear of Woodville, Pestilence Lane | Alvechurch | 0.48 | 0.41 | 12 | 402984 | 274191 | |
| BDC333 | Rear of 3-4 The Square | Alvechurch | 0.28 | 0.2 | 6 | 402891 | 272777 | |

Strategic Housing **Land Availability Assessment**



SEPTEMBER 2015



Bromsgrove
District Council

www.bromsgrove.gov.uk

Contents

| | |
|---|------------|
| Introduction | → Page 1 |
| Background | → Page 1 |
| Methodology | → Page 3 |
| Findings | → Page 12 |
| Conclusion | → Page 19 |
| Appendix A: Site Identification Pro-forma | → Page 20 |
| Appendix B: Site Assessment Form | → Page 22 |
| Appendix C: Attendees of Forum Meeting | → Page 27 |
| Appendix D: Schedule of Sites | → Page 28 |
| Appendix E: Green Belt Potential | → Page 31 |
| Appendix F: Schedule of Discounted Sites | → Page 34 |
| Appendix G: Site Appraisal Matrix | → Page 37 |
| Appendix H: Maps of Sites Included | → Page 76 |
| Appendix I: Maps of Green Belt Potential | → Page 81 |
| Appendix J: Maps of Discounted Sites | → Page 109 |
| Appendix K: Site Specific Housing Projections 2011-2030 | → Page 128 |

SHLAA

Introduction

The National Planning Policy Framework (NPPF) seeks to boost significantly the supply of housing. It states that local planning authorities should identify and update annually a supply of specific deliverable sites sufficient to provide 5 years worth of housing against their housing requirements with an additional buffer of 5% to ensure choice and competition in the market for land. Where an authority has a record of persistent under delivery the buffer should be increased to 20%.

Local planning authorities must also identify a supply of specific, developable sites or broad locations for growth for 6-10 years and, where possible, for years 11-15. Paragraph 159 of the NPPF highlights that to achieve this local planning authorities will need to prepare a SHLAA to establish realistic assumptions about the availability, suitability and likely economic viability of land to meet the identified need for housing over the plan period.

SHLAA's are expected to form a key component of the evidence base to support the delivery of sufficient land for housing to meet district housing requirements. The main aim of SHLAA's is to identify as many sites with housing potential in and around as many settlements as possible.

The SHLAA looks at the housing potential of sites to cover the plan period up to 2030. Updates of the SHLAA will seek to cover the longer term housing potential, beyond 2030.

It is important to note that whilst the SHLAA is an important evidence source to help inform the plan-making process, it will not in itself determine whether a site should be allocated for housing development or whether planning permission would be granted for residential development.

This report sets out how Bromsgrove's SHLAA has been carried out and presents the findings of the assessment.

Please note that the SHLAA was initially developed in accordance with the SHLAA Practice Guidance (July 2007) published by CLG. However, following the publication of the National Planning Practice Guidance (NPPG) on 6th March 2014 the previous guidance has now been superseded. The SHLAA has therefore been updated to reflect the advice contained within the NPPG.

Background

One of the NPPF key objectives is to ensure that the planning system significantly increases the supply of housing. To meet this objective, authorities are required to identify broad locations and specific sites that will enable continuous delivery of housing for at least 15 years from the adoption of the Local Plan.

Authorities are expected to provide this robust information in the form of a SHLAA, which will form a key component of the Local Plan evidence base. This evidence is needed to help support the delivery of sufficient land for housing to meet district housing requirements.

Paragraph 159 of the NPPF highlights that local planning authorities should have a clear understanding of housing needs in their area. To achieve this they should prepare a Strategic Housing Market Assessment (SHMA) to assess their full housing needs. On this basis SHMA was commissioned by the Worcestershire authorities to analyse the current housing market and assess future demand and need for housing within each local authority. In determining the potential housing requirement for the district a range of scenarios were tested with the most relevant being the migration-led scenario which identified a net dwelling requirement for the period 2011-2030 of 6,980 and for the purposes of providing a logical target was rounded up to 7,000. Following the publication of the Interim Conclusions into the South Worcestershire Development Plan it was clear that there were weaknesses in the 2012 SHMA which undermined the robustness of the study. Edge Analytics and Amion Consulting were therefore jointly commissioned to update the scenarios run previously and to test the robustness of the 7,000 figure. The report entitled 'North Worcestershire Housing Need' highlights that when continuing to pursue a migration based approach 7,000 dwellings represents the full objectively assessed housing need for the period 2011-2030.

This document will primarily focus on identifying suitable and available sites that could deliver housing growth to meet Bromsgrove's housing needs. However, under the duty to co-operate Bromsgrove District Council is working with both Redditch Borough Council and the Greater Birmingham and Solihull Local Enterprise Partnership (GBSLEP) to ensure that the housing needs of these areas can be fully met in the most sustainable manner. Redditch and Bromsgrove Councils' jointly prepared and published the Housing Growth Development Study in January 2013. This assessed all housing options around the Town of Redditch and identified two preferred sites at Foxlydiate (site 1) and Brockhill (site 2). This formed the basis of the joint consultation between February and April 2013. Following the consideration of the responses and more recent evidence these sites were incorporated into the Proposed Submission Versions of the Bromsgrove District Plan (BDP) and Redditch Local Plan No.4.

The situation in relation to the conurbation is less advanced and more complex due to the number of authorities involved. Work has been commissioned by the GBSLEP entitled the Joint Strategic Housing Needs Study. This sub regional study includes a stock take of the existing evidence base (stage 1) ; an assessment of the housing requirement within the study area, existing housing capacity and will identify any shortfall or surplus(stage 2); and depending on the outcome of the initial stages of the study may identify spatial options for accommodating any shortfall (stage 3). At the time of submission the GBSLEP work was some way off completion and no indicative results in terms of the likely number of houses Bromsgrove could take were available. The Proposed Submission Version of the BDP sets out that a Green Belt Review will be undertaken following the adoption of the plan. The review will include an assessment of land on the periphery of Birmingham. Over the past few years a small number of sites have been submitted to the SHLAA that are adjacent to the Birmingham border and these have been assessed within this version of the SHLAA to determine whether they are worthy of consideration when the Green Belt Review takes place. It should be noted that these sites are likely to be for any unmet need arising from Birmingham.

The primary aim of the assessment is to identify as many sites with housing potential in and around as many settlements as possible, so that:

- Bromsgrove's housing requirements, as determined by the Council, can be met;
- A continuous, flexible and responsive supply of housing can be provided;
- Certainty can be provided to the house building industry by identifying sites with housing potential;
- Choices are available to meet the need and demand for more housing;
- An evidence base is provided for making decisions about how to shape places and allocate sites within the Local Plan; and
- Other initiatives and strategies that may be undertaken by the Council can be informed by the results (e.g. Development Briefs or the Housing Strategy).

The assessment has drawn upon a range of technical evidence sources that either already had been produced or were/are currently being undertaken to support the Local Plan.

The NPPG advocates that authorities work closely with each other and with key stakeholders in order to ensure a joined up approach. The guidance identified these key stakeholders as including bodies such as house builders, social landlords, local property agents and local communities.

The former East Works site in Longbridge has been submitted for consideration as part of the SHLAA. This site falls within the Longbridge Area Action Plan (AAP) and it is identified within the AAP that any housing within this area will be for Birmingham's growth needs. On this basis the housing proposed on this site will not be considered further within the Bromsgrove SHLAA.

The Council has worked and consulted with stakeholders such as landowners, developers, planning agents, the Home Builders Federation (HBF), English Partnerships (now the Homes and Community Agency) and Registered Social Landlords. It has been prepared in an open and transparent way, whereby the initial draft results in 2009 were subjected to further consultation in order to get consensus on the findings.

In particular, the Council consulted on the SHLAA's methodology with appropriate stakeholders and interested parties. The outcome of the consultation was that minor changes were made to the methodology. A full list of comments from stakeholders and responses from the Council are available on request. Detailed comments were received from the HBF, these were used to strengthen the methodology and ensure conformity with CLG's Practice Guidance, which was relevant at the time.

As part of the initial 'call for sites' stage in 2009 interested parties were invited to identify potential housing sites of any size that should be assessed as part of the SHLAA. At that stage we were unsure of the level of interest we would receive so it would have been premature to rule out sites of any size. However, due to the high level of interest in the assessment and the particular circumstances within the district it was deemed necessary to set a minimum site threshold of 0.4 hectares in size or a minimum of 10 dwellings.

The intention is to continuously review the information within the SHLAA and formally update it on an annual basis, with a base date of 1st April through to 31st March. This annual review will determine if there have been any changes in the sites identified (e.g. if a site has been granted planning permission or if a site has started development). The results will be included in the Annual Monitoring Report which will include details on the housing trajectory taken from the SHLAA.

A number of comments were received in relation to the methodology and sites contained in the draft SHLAA that was published in January 2009, which were summarised and responded to by Council officers. Where appropriate the SHLAA was amended to take into account these comments. 30 new sites were submitted in the past 12 months and these have been assessed within the document. The SHLAA has also been updated to include new information submitted or gathered on existing sites as circumstances change. Information is also included on sites that are under construction and have outstanding planning permissions at 1st April 2015.


The remainder of this report sets out the methodology and processes on how the SHLAA was undertaken and summarises the findings from the assessment.

Methodology


The NPPG sets out how housing land availability assessments should be undertaken by breaking it down into 5 key stages which are set out in the flow chart (figure 1 on page 4). The approach used by Bromsgrove District Council follows the methodology advocated in this guidance.

Figure 1

Land Availability Flow Chart



Stage 2 - Site/broad location assessment




Stage 3 - Windfall assessment



Stage 4 - Assessment review



Stage 5 - Final evidence base



Source: National Planning Practice Guidance - Para: 006 - Methodology Flow Chart

Stage 1: Identification of Sites and Broad Locations

This initial stage of the process involved establishing a partnership process. The key actions were as follows:

- (i) The council investigated the extent to which the study could be carried out jointly with a number of neighbouring local authorities operating within the same housing market area. However, many authorities had already begun work on their assessment limiting the opportunity for involvement. Instead the authority worked with Redditch Borough Council on ensuring a consistent methodology was used. A site assessment form was jointly designed by Officers of both authorities and subsequently used to assess sites.
- (ii) The council developed a partnership with other participants in the development process, in order to pool knowledge, skills and experience. This was primarily achieved by inviting interested parties to submit sites, comment on the draft methodology and through holding a forum meeting to discuss a number of potential housing sites. The justification being that working in partnership with landowners, developers, registered social landlords etc. will help the local authority reach agreement about the status of different sites.

Determining which sites and areas will be surveyed

To ensure a comprehensive assessment, the whole administrative area of Bromsgrove District has been included. All sites were visited and photographic records are held within the Council. This helped to identify the current position on the sites, including an up-to-date view on development potential and progress (where sites have planning permission and may be under construction) and to identify possible constraints to development.

Following the publication of the National Planning Practice Guidance (NPPG) in March 2014, there is now greater clarity over the types of accommodation that can contribute towards the housing supply target. All student accommodation and accommodation for the elderly (including C2) can be included within calculations. Due to this change in guidance additional sites have been included within the SHLAA that will provide accommodation for the elderly.

The NPPG provides the flexibility for Local Planning Authorities to identify their own threshold within a SHLAA where appropriate. This document only contains sites of a minimum threshold of 0.4 hectares in size or a minimum of 10 dwellings. The primary reasons for this threshold are the high levels of interest in the assessment, the additional resources required to impose a lower threshold and the particular circumstances within the district.

The Worcestershire Strategic Housing Market Assessment (February 2012) identified a significant shortage of affordable housing within the district. It is therefore crucial that delivery is focussed on the larger sites that have the potential to deliver a greater number of affordable units. Very small sites would not contribute to affordable housing provision and can lead to a form of ad-hoc development that generally provides minimal community benefits. This is a strategic level document that will be used as evidence for the authority to plan the delivery of future housing through the Local Plan and therefore should focus on sites that are large enough to make a notable contribution to housing supply.

Where sites have been excluded due to size it is not necessarily suggested that such sites are unsuitable for development. Any planning application submitted would be assessed on its own merits against current planning policies.

Desktop review of existing information

Figure 2 sets out all of the sources of data that were used to inform the assessment.

| Figure 2 Sources of Information | |
|--|---|
| Type of Site | Potential Data Source |
| Existing allocations & Development Briefs (not yet with planning permission) | Local Plan Development Briefs |
| Unimplemented & under construction planning permissions | Planning application records |
| Refused or withdrawn planning applications | Planning application records |
| Local authority owned land | Local authority records |
| Surplus public sector land | National register of public sector land Engagement with of public sector bodies |
| Vacant and/or derelict land and buildings | Empty property register English House Condition Survey National Land Use Database Commercial property databases Valuation office database |
| Under-utilised sites still within use | Ordnance survey maps Aerial photography Site surveys |
| Sites in rural locations Large scale redevelopments Sites in and adjoining rural settlements Potential urban extensions and free standing settlements | Local and Neighbourhood Plans Planning applications Ordnance survey maps Aerial photography Site surveys |

Stage 2: Site Location Assessment

Undertaking a 'call for sites' exercise and carrying out the survey

(i) 'Call for Sites' stage

The local authority, as part of the study, undertook a formal 'call for sites' exercise which involved a public request for landowners, developers, the public and other interested parties to submit sites for consideration as part of the study. The 'call for sites' stage is a key stage in the study process. There was a formal 6-week consultation period where the process was extensively advertised in the local press and on the Council's website. In addition over 100 letters were sent to all key stakeholders including planning consultants, developers, social landlords and major land owners. The high levels of interest meant that the Council decided to extend the consultation period to 12 weeks.

All interested parties were asked to complete a 'Site Identification Pro-forma' (attached as Appendix A) and submit this to the Council with a location plan clearly identifying the site boundary. This enabled officers to gather some key baseline data on sites.

Sites identified from this exercise were subject to the same appraisal process as sites that are identified through the site survey process. Those responding were also invited to comment on the proposed methodology set out in the consultation brief.

The local planning authority allowed any site in the district, irrespective of size or location, to be submitted as part of the formal 'call for sites' exercise. As a minimum, site visits were made to all potential housing sites submitted and a Site Assessment Form was completed for each site before any sites were discounted.

Notwithstanding the above, and for the avoidance of doubt, the submission of sites as part of the 'call for sites' stage or any other stage did not necessarily imply any commitment on the part of the local authority to the sites being accepted, but simply that they will be considered as part of the overall assessment process.

(ii) Briefing the Survey Team

The survey team consisted of 2 Strategic Planning Officers. The use of a small team ensured that a consistent practice in identifying sites and recording information was followed. The team members knew how to handle enquiries from members of the public or property owners to minimise misinformed speculation.

(iii) Desk Based Research

Significant levels of information could be gathered in relation to sites before leaving the office. This included policy designations such as Green Belt and employment sites. Some details on sustainability could also be gathered in relation to the distances from sites to the nearest health facility and school. The Environment Agency website was also used to gather data in relation to the possibility of flooding. Environmental data was also collated on sites using the following sources:

- GIS data on designated statutory and non-statutory nature conservation sites;
- The Habitat Inventory;
- The Worcestershire Biodiversity Action Plan.

(iv) Recording of Site Characteristics

Whilst on site, the following minimum characteristics were recorded, or checked if they were previously identified by the desk-top review:

- site size
- current use(s)
- character of the surrounding area
- physical constraints, e.g. access, steep slopes, potential for flooding, natural features, the significance and location of pylons
- site boundaries
- surrounding land use(s)

To ensure the quality and consistency of the data collected a Site Assessment Form was used. An example of this form is shown in Appendix B.

Estimating the potential for each site

To provide consistent and realistic estimates sites were discounted to take account of the likely infrastructure required. Some local developers were consulted on the use of net developable areas to help ensure that any discounts were reasonable estimates. The net developable areas are shown in Figure 3.

Figure 3
Net Developable Areas

| Site Size (ha) | Developable Area of Site |
|----------------|--------------------------|
| Less than 0.4 | 100% |
| 0.4 to 2 | 85% |
| Greater than 2 | 65% |

It was considered that on the larger sites the amount of infrastructure required increases significantly therefore calculations based on a higher percentage of the site area would be unrealistic.

Calculating the approximate capacity of sites is crucial to the accuracy and reliability of the SHLAA. In some instances the Council have simply used the figure suggested by those submitting sites, where they have provided an indicative layout drawing or other detailed information identifying potential capacity. In the majority of instances a density of 30 dwellings per hectare has been used. The majority of the district is considered to be relatively low density and therefore this figure is likely to provide a realistic figure with a high proportion of sites likely to achieve between 30 and 35 dwellings per hectare. Using the figure of 30 dwellings per hectare ensures that housing potential is not overestimated and therefore the overall total within the SHLAA can be viewed as a minimum.

Some of the smaller settlements in the district such as Barnt Green and Blackwell are characterised by large properties with large gardens. In such settlements densities of 30 dwellings per hectare or above would cause significant harm to the character and appearance of the area. Each site in these areas has been looked at on its own merits and the densities have been reduced accordingly.

Assessing the suitability, availability and achievability of sites for housing

Assessing the suitability, availability and delivery of a site provides information on which the judgement can be made in the plan making process as to whether a site can be considered deliverable, developable or not currently developable for housing.

The terms deliverable and developable have been defined below:

- **Deliverable** - sites should be available now, offer a suitable location for development now, and be achievable with a realistic prospect that housing will be delivered on the site within five years and in particular that development of the site is viable.
- **Developable** - sites should be in a suitable location for housing development and there should be a reasonable prospect that the site is available and could be viably developed at the point envisaged.

The following table sets out the range of information that could be used in assessing the suitability, availability and achievability of a site for housing.

| Suitability |
|--|
| If the site offers a suitable location for development and contributes to the creation of sustainable, mixed communities. |
| Sites allocated in existing plans for housing or with planning permission for housing will generally be suitable, although it may be necessary to assess whether circumstances have changed which would alter their suitability |
| Consider |
| Policy restrictions - such as designations, protected areas, existing planning policy and corporate or community strategy policy. |
| Physical problems or limitations - such as access, infrastructure, ground conditions, flood risk, hazardous risks, pollution or contamination |
| Potential impacts - including effect upon landscape features and conservation |
| The environmental conditions - which would be experienced by prospective residents |
| Availability |
| A site is considered available, when on the best information available, there is confidence that: <ul style="list-style-type: none">● There are no legal or ownership problems, such as multiple ownerships, ransom strips, tenancies or operational requirements of landowners.● It is controlled by a housing developer who has expressed an intention to develop● The land owner expressed an intention to sell● If problems have been identified, could they realistically be overcome? |
| Achievability |
| A site is considered achievable for development where there is a reasonable prospect that housing will be developed on the site at a particular point in time. This is essentially a judgement about the economic viability of a site, and the capacity of the developer to complete and sell the housing over a certain period. It will be affected by: <ul style="list-style-type: none">● Market factors - such as adjacent uses, economic viability of existing, proposed and alternative uses in terms of land values, attractiveness of the locality, level of potential demand and projected rate of sales● Cost factors - including site preparation costs relating to any physical constraints, any exceptional works necessary, relevant planning standards or obligations, prospect of funding or investment to address identified constraints or assist development● Delivery factors - including the developer's own phasing, the realistic build out rates on larger sites, whether there is a single, or several developers offering different housing products, and the size and capacity of the developer. |

Site Assessment Form

A scoring system was not used when assessing sites as this could lead to misleading results as for example a physical constraint could make a site unviable for development but high scores in other areas may create a positive impression of a site. Whilst the table on page 9 provides many of the key details, it was felt a more pragmatic and a user friendly approach was required. The most appropriate way of doing this was to design a Site Assessment Form (attached as Appendix B) based around a traffic light system.

The form is split into 3 main stages enabling the authority to discount sites that fail to meet the most essential criteria at an early stage. This ensures time is not wasted on analysing sites in more detail that have no realistic housing potential. Stage B focuses primarily on environmental and sustainability issues with availability and deliverability considered in Stage C. The Site Appraisal Matrix form can be viewed in Appendix G.

As previously stated the form was developed by officers of both Bromsgrove and Redditch to ensure a consistent approach was used. Members of the forum were consulted on the form and some slight amendments were made. A full list of comments and the council response can be provided on request.

Where it is unknown when a site could be developed, it should be regarded as not currently available for development. This may be, for example, where one of the constraints to development is severe, and it is not known when it might be overcome.

SHLAA Forum

To help assess the suitability and developability of sites, a forum panel was set up. This panel was made up of a range of representatives of agents, planning consultants, housing associations, land owners, local authority planning officers, the House Builders Federation (HBF) and the general public. Full details of the make up of this panel are detailed in Appendix C. The forum members were given an agenda a week before the meeting that gave them a location plan and some baseline details about each of the sites. This gave members a chance to form an opinion on sites beforehand and therefore encourage informed discussion and debate at the forum meeting.

It was deemed to be unrealistic for the forum to assess all of the sites as this would not only put an undue burden on forum members but also severely constrain the development of the SHLAA. The forum was held on 22nd August 2008 and the panel assessed 12 sites that were chosen to reflect the range of sites submitted to the council. The sites varied in size and locations, which included adjacent existing settlements, designated Areas of Development Restraint (ADR), and other rural locations. The planning issues tackled varied greatly including Green Belt, flood risk, loss of sports facilities, loss of employment land, Tree Preservation Orders and other ecological issues.

To ensure discussions were balanced and unbiased, checks were made to ensure forum members did not have links or an association with any of the 12 sites. Forum members were also given the opportunity to declare an interest in any of the sites at the start of the meeting.

After discussing the sites some conclusions were reached as to what characteristic should be looked for in sites that have housing potential. These were as follows:

- ➔ Housing should be in sustainable locations close to public transport and other facilities
- ➔ Housing sites should be proportionate to the size of the settlement
- ➔ Brownfield sites should be developed first where feasible

Panel members also identified locations where housing land should not be located. These were as follows:

- ➔ Noisy locations e.g. adjacent to motorways
- ➔ Sites with significant conservation value

The findings and comments made by forum members were then applied to the remaining sites that were submitted to the Council. This process ensures that sites of a similar nature are treated in the same way to help prevent inconsistencies in the SHLAA process.

Stage 3: Windfall Assessment

Paragraph 48 of the NPPF and the NPPG identify that it may be appropriate for local authorities to include a windfall allowance over a full 15 year period where it has been fully justified. Windfalls have made up an integral part of the housing land supply over the last decade and it is reasonable to assume that this will continue. 'The Findings' chapter analyses the levels of windfalls that have been built over the last 13 years and concludes that an allowance of 40 dwellings per year is considered realistic.

Stage 4: Assessment Review

It is acknowledged that there is a shortfall against the housing requirement of 7,000 for the emerging Bromsgrove District Plan period up to 2030. The Proposed Submission Version of the Bromsgrove District Plan indicates in policies BDP3 and BDP4 that a Green Belt Review will be undertaken following adoption to address this shortfall.

Stage 5: Final Evidence Base

'The Findings' chapter and associated appendices fulfil the following core outputs that are set out within the NPPG:

- a list of all sites or broad locations considered, cross-referenced to their locations on maps;
- an assessment of each site or broad location, in terms of its suitability for development, availability and achievability (including whether the site/broad location is viable) to determine whether a site is realistically expected to be developed;
- contain more detail for those sites which are considered to be realistic candidates for development, where others have been discounted for clearly evidenced and justified reasons;
- the potential type and quantity of development that could be delivered on each site/broad location, including a reasonable estimate of build out rates, setting out how any barriers to delivery could be overcome and when;
- an indicative trajectory of anticipated development and consideration of associated risks.

The assessment has been updated on an annual basis since 2009, and will continue to do so in the future. Key changes within each annual update include the following:

- Update on the progress of sites through the planning application process;
- Changes in circumstances that alter the suitability, availability or deliverability of sites;
- Monitor windfall allowance and update if necessary;
- The assessment of additional sites

Whilst the Council does not undertake a full, annual 'call for sites' exercise the site identification pro-forma remains available on the Council website and welcomes sites to be submitted at any time.

The Findings

Five different categories within the SHLAA have been identified and these are as follows:

Category 1 - Sites under construction;

Category 2 - Sites with Extant Planning Permissions (full and outline);

Category 3 - Potential Housing Sites; and

Category 4 - Green Belt Potential

Category 5 - Discounted Sites

The remainder of this section details the contribution each of the other different categories make to the short and longer term housing potential across the District. A site matrix showing the outcome of the site assessments undertaken for all sites is attached as appendix G.

Category 1 - Sites Under Construction

Sites that are given a category 1 status are those sites that have received planning permission and a material start has been made on the implementation of that planning permission. Within this category there will be sites at various different stages in the construction process from sites that are nearing completion to sites that are just commencing ground works. A schedule of these sites is attached at appendix D.

These findings are based on the position at the 1st April 2015 when housing commitments were last surveyed. Naturally since this time some of these sites may have been completed or additional sites may have commenced. These changes will be picked up in the comprehensive yearly review of the SHLAA.

The summary table of each of the categories identifies the number of sites within that category, the total site area and the number of units that are available on them. It also identifies the availability of the sites within five year blocks. However, it should be noted that some sites that are identified as coming forward within one five-year period may not be fully completed within that period.

**Table 3 - Category 1
Sites under Construction**

| Availability | Number of Sites | Site Area (ha) | Available & Under Construction (net) |
|--------------|-----------------|----------------|--------------------------------------|
| 2015 - 2020 | 34 | 35.69 | 626 |
| 2020 - 2025 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 2025 - 2030 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Unknown | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Total | 34 | 35.69 | 626 |

The figures in table 3 show that there were 626 units available on 34 sites covering 35.69 ha of land that were under construction at 1st April 2015. This is a net figure taking into account any demolitions.

Category 2 - Sites with an Extant Planning Permission

Category 2 identifies sites that have a planning permission for residential development. Footnote 11 on page 12 of the NPPF states:

“Sites with planning permission should be considered deliverable until planning permission expires, unless there is clear evidence that schemes will not be implemented within 5 years, for example they will not be viable, there is no longer a demand for the type of units or sites have long term phasing plans.”

**Table 4 - Category 2
Sites with Planning Permission**

| Availability | Number of Sites | Site Area (ha) | Available |
|--------------|-----------------|----------------|------------|
| 2015 - 2020 | 35 | 42.88 | 802 |
| 2020 - 2025 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 2025 - 2030 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Unknown | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Total | 35 | 42.88 | 802 |

The figures in table 4 show that there were 802 units on 35 sites that had an extant planning permission at 1st April 2015.

Category 3 - Potential Housing Sites

Category 3 sites are those sites that have been identified as having some potential for residential development in the future but do not have any current planning commitments (i.e. do not have an extant planning permission or allocated for residential use). They have been identified from various different sources including the Urban Capacity Study, the adopted Local Plan and the SHLAA 'call for sites' exercise. Some sites have also been identified from previous refusals of planning permission; however these have only been included where the refusal reasons could be realistically overcome. All of the sites with housing potential are listed in appendix D with the associated maps attached as appendix H.

The number of sites brought to the attention of the council means that this is an entirely site specific process. This category will focus on suitable housing sites that can be delivered without altering Green Belt boundaries. It will be necessary to consider whether there is scope to deliver 7,000 homes by 2030. Any shortfall would necessitate a full Green Belt Review however this will not be undertaken at this stage.

**Table 5 - Category 3
Potential Housing Sites**

| Availability | Number of Sites | Site Area (ha) | Available |
|--------------|-----------------|----------------|-------------|
| 2015 - 2020 | 14 | 39.76 | 1046 |
| 2019 - 2024 | 2 | 37.62 | 762 |
| 2024 - 2030 | 3 | 30.76 | 546 |
| Unknown | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Total | 19 | 108.14 | 2354 |

The figures in table 5 show that there is considerable housing potential for approximately 2354 units on sites by 2030. It should be noted that some sites are expected to deliver housing in more than one 5 year period meaning that there are a lower number of individual sites than suggested by the total in the table.

Category 4 - Green Belt Potential

Even when considering current commitments and completions since 2011 there will, in all likelihood be a significant shortfall if the target of 7,000 is to be achieved by 2030. This means a Green Belt review will need to be undertaken to deliver further growth. Appendix E highlights previously discounted sites within the SHLAA that could be considered as part of a future Green Belt review, the associated maps are attached as appendix I. These sites are located on the edges of settlements of the district and were previously discounted solely on the grounds of being within the Green Belt. The inclusion of sites within the schedule does not mean that the council considers that these sites are currently suitable for development and simply means they could be considered as part of a full Green Belt review in the future. This list is not exhaustive and further sites around the districts main settlements will be considered if a Green Belt review takes place.

**Table 6 - Category 4
Green Belt Potential**

| Timeframe | Number of Sites | Total |
|-------------|-----------------|---------------|
| 2023 - 2030 | 99 | 16,725 |

Table 6 highlights that there are already a significant number of sites that will need to be considered when a Green Belt review does take place. It is also important to note that these sites alone could comfortably deliver the required housing shortfall and therefore not all of the sites will be required for development. However, all land around settlements would need to be considered as part of a full Green Belt review.

As stated previously, it appears unlikely that Birmingham will be able to accommodate all their housing growth within their administrative boundaries. A small number of sites have been submitted which are adjacent to the Birmingham border. Whilst these sites are not considered suitable to contribute towards the Bromsgrove housing target of 7,000 they are worthy of consideration through the Green Belt Review if cross-boundary sites are required to meet the growth needs of the City. The 3 submitted sites on the Birmingham border have the ability to accommodate 6880 dwellings. However, it is considered that only the areas closest to existing settlements would be suitable for future development, so this figure may alter.

Category 5 - Discounted Sites

Category 5 sites are those sites that were assessed as part of the SHLAA but were discounted for a variety of reasons. In some cases there was more than a single reason for discounting a site. The full list of reasons are as follows:

- ➔ Strategic Location
- ➔ Loss of Employment Land
- ➔ Functional Floodplain
- ➔ Harmful impact on the setting of a listed building
- ➔ Tree Preservation Orders
- ➔ Alternative use proposed
- ➔ Green Belt
- ➔ Loss of Sports Pitches
- ➔ Disproportionately Large Site for Settlement
- ➔ Ownership Constraints
- ➔ Undeliverable

Each of these reasons for discounting sites has been expanded upon to provide a full and clear explanation.

Strategic Location: The site is physically separate from all defined settlements. It would be unsustainable to build homes in such a detached location. The sprawl of such housing estates across the district would materially harm the character and appearance of the area.

Green Belt: Bromsgrove District has long standing Green Belt boundaries that have played a crucial role in protecting the countryside from encroachment and preventing settlements from merging together. To ensure that there is a permanence to Green Belt boundaries, sites within the Green Belt will initially be discounted. The principle of doing this within a SHLAA is supported within the Planning Advisory Service note entitled 'Strategic Housing Land Availability Assessment and Development Plan Document Preparation' (July 2008). The document states:

"it is recognised that in some areas national designations, Green Belt or other policy considerations will mean that there are strong planning reasons to seek to avoid or minimise the release of Greenfield sites for housing.....The survey can focus on identifiable sites to assess whether sufficient developable sites can be identified to meet plan targets." (page 6, paragraph 28)

Bromsgrove has identified sufficient land outside of the designated Green Belt that could deliver housing in the first instance. A comprehensive Green Belt Review will be required to deliver housing over the remainder of the Plan Period.

Loss of Employment Land: The recent Employment Land Review assessed the quality of existing employment sites within the district. The sites were ranked on their overall importance to the employment hierarchy under the four headings of excellent, good, moderate or poor. Sites defined as either good or excellent are an essential part of the employment portfolio in the district and will not be considered for other uses.

Loss of Sports Pitches: The recent Open Space, Sport and Recreation Study concluded that by 2026 there would be a deficiency in outdoor sports facilities across the District. Therefore housing will not be considered on the site of existing outdoor sports facilities.

Functional Floodplain: If a significant percentage of a site falls within an area of high flood risk (zone 3a or 3b) then the site is considered unsuitable for housing development.

Disproportionately Large Site for Settlement: A number of large sites were submitted adjacent to existing small villages. Large developments in small, rural settlements would cause significant harm to the character and appearance of the locality whilst also being wholly unsustainable.

Harmful impact on the setting of a listed building: Housing was suggested on one site that was in the curtilage of a listed building. In this instance any proposal would have severely compromised the setting of a grade II listed building.

Tree Preservation Orders (TPO): A group TPO covers the site. The site could not be developed without the removal of a significant number of protected trees.

Ownership Constraints: The site is in multiple ownerships and one of the landowners does not want the land within his ownership to be developed. The land in question covers a significant part of the site and therefore no notable development could realistically take place.

Alternative Use Proposed: Housing was previously considered suitable for the site, however the landowners are now seeking consent for an alternative use on the site.

Undeliverable: Developer now considers that the site is no longer viable for housing development.

**Table 7 - Category 5
Discounted Sites**

| Availability | Number of Sites | Site Area (ha) | Available |
|--------------|-----------------|----------------|-------------|
| 2011 - 2016 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 2016 - 2021 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 2021 - 2030 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Unknown | 71 | 276.08 | 5750 |
| Total | 71 | 276.08 | 5750 |

The figures in table 7 show that 71 sites were discounted and in total they could have delivered approximately 5750 homes. However, at this time these sites are not considered to be suitable or available for residential development up to 2030. Reviews of the SHLAA will continue to assess their longer term potential as policies or circumstances change.

Windfall Allowance

The SHLAA guidance emphasises that ideally the supply of land should be based on specific sites. However, it is recognised that there may be genuine local circumstances where a windfall allowance is justified. Historically windfalls have contributed a significant element of supply to the district and there is no evidence to suggest that this will change in the future. The presence of windfall development is acknowledged in the NPPF with local planning authorities now being able to include a windfall allowance in their 5 year land supply calculations.

An assessment has been carried out of all housing completions within the last 13 years to determine how many within each year could be classed as windfalls. In accordance with the NPPF all applications that result in the development of garden land have been excluded. The assessment has been based only on net completions and also excludes any sites included within the SHLAA or any allocations. The table below identifies the numbers of windfalls that have been built in each of the last 13 years.

| | 2002/03 | 2003/04 | 2004/05 | 2005/06 | 2006/07 | 2007/08 | 2008/09 | 2009/10 | 2010/11 | 2011/12 | 2012/13 | 2013/14 | 2014/15 |
|----------------------|---------|---------|---------|---------|---------|---------|---------|---------|---------|---------|---------|---------|---------|
| Windfall Completions | 221 | 300 | 385 | 216 | 208 | 84 | 137 | 53 | 54 | 100 | 69 | 53 | 75 |
| Total Completions | 518 | 454 | 509 | 332 | 276 | 135 | 159 | 72 | 122 | 256 | 130 | 176 | 228 |

This table highlights a significant proportion of development in recent years could be classed as windfall. Although, some of the windfalls included in the table are quite large sites. In the future it is reasonable to assume that the vast majority of these larger sites would be promoted through the SHLAA and therefore not constitute windfall development. The SHLAA has a threshold of 10 units across the district. The effects of removing sites that fall within threshold are shown in the following table.

| | 2002/03 | 2003/04 | 2004/05 | 2005/06 | 2006/07 | 2007/08 | 2008/09 | 2009/10 | 2010/11 | 2011/12 | 2012/13 | 2013/14 | 2014/15 |
|----------------------|---------|---------|---------|---------|---------|---------|---------|---------|---------|---------|---------|---------|---------|
| Windfall Completions | 41 | 99 | 57 | 39 | 33 | 25 | 39 | 9 | 38 | 34 | 34 | 21 | 43 |
| Total Completions | 518 | 454 | 509 | 332 | 276 | 135 | 159 | 72 | 122 | 256 | 130 | 176 | 228 |

It is clear that small windfalls have made a notable contribution to housing land supply in recent years, and it is reasonable to consider that they will continue to do so in the future. A range of sites have contributed to this supply included barn conversions, change of use applications, redevelopment of industrial sites, redevelopment of garage sites and development on small parcels of greenfield land. The wide range of sites that continue to come forward which fall outside the SHLAA threshold highlights the need for a windfall allowance.

In determining the size of any windfall allowance it is important to ensure that any estimate is realistic and not unduly optimistic as this could result in a shortfall of supply. There are a number of different options for calculating the average and it is important to consider a wide range of methods.

Mean - This is the most common way of calculating the average where the total number of windfalls are divided by the number of years considered.

$$532/13 = 40.9$$

Median - For this method the numbers are placed in the numerical order with the middle value being the median.

9, 25, 33, 34, 34, 39, **39**, 39, 39, 41, 45, 54, 99

In the sequence of 13 numbers **39** is the middle value.

Mode - The mode is simply the most repeated number. The number 39 appears three times and therefore is the mode.

Removing Extreme Values - When considering the number of windfalls delivered each year it is clear that in some years the number of completions has been significantly different from the mean. For example, 109 windfalls were delivered in 2003/04 and only 9 were delivered in 2009/10. The 99 windfalls were delivered during very strong market conditions. In contrast, 9 windfalls were delivered with very weak market conditions during the recession. In addition 2009/10 was the final year of a 6 year moratorium on new residential development which greatly restricted supply and therefore virtually all commitments that gained consent prior to the moratorium had already been built out by this point.

Removing these 2 anomalies creates a slightly different outcome when recalculating the mean.

$424/11 = 38.6$

The analysis of windfall completions over the past 13 years provides clear and robust justification for the inclusion of a windfall allowance in future housing land supply calculations. The various methods used for calculating the average number of windfalls over the 13 year period resulted in figures of between 38.6 and 40.9 per annum. It is essential that any windfall allowance is on the conservative side otherwise this could result in under delivery against the housing target. It is pertinent that that in 7 of the years the number of windfalls delivered was between 30 and 40 and in 4 further years the figure was even higher. Whilst past trends do not provide a guarantee that windfalls will continue to come forward it is pertinent that the figure of 30 has been exceeded in the past 4 years. On this basis, a windfall allowance of **30 dwellings per annum** is considered to be realistic and achievable and provides a robust basis for planning future housing delivery.

Prior Approvals as Windfalls

Dwellings permitted through the Prior Approvals route were not previously included as part of the Housing Land Availability monitoring in 2013/14, and was not included in the SHLAA report published in 2014. Analysis of the number of units permitted via this route has now been undertaken and demonstrates that since the introduction of the new rules on 30th May 2013 **42 residential units** have been granted via Prior Approval, as calculated in the 5 Year Housing Land Supply (November update) document.

Given that 42 dwellings have been permitted via Prior Approvals in the 29 months (30th May 2013 - 31st October 2015) since the new rules have been operating, this averages 17.4 dwellings per annum and hence an allowance of 10 dwellings via Prior Approvals is entirely realistic.

The windfall allowance has therefore been increased to **30 dwellings per annum**, which is seen to be a conservative figure. This adds an allowance of 10 units each year from Prior Approvals to the previously established figure of 30 dwellings per annum from small site windfalls.

For the purposes of the housing trajectory, the windfall allowance will not be included for the first year and a half of housing supply. This is because it is assumed that all windfall sites likely to be completed in this period will have already been through the planning application process and would therefore result in double counting. This means that over the final 15 years of the plan period provision has been made for a windfall allowance of 560 dwellings.

Summary and Analysis of Housing Potential

Table 8 - Summary of Housing Potential

| | Under Construction | Extant Permissions | Potential Housing Sites | Windfall Allowance | Totals |
|---|---------------------------|---------------------------|--------------------------------|---------------------------|---------------|
| 2015 - 2020 | 330 | 802 | 1046 | 160 | 2338 |
| 2020 - 2025 | 0 | 0 | 762 | 200 | 962 |
| 2025 - 2030 | 0 | 0 | 546 | 200 | 746 |
| Total Potential Yield | | | | | 4046 |
| Overall Total Supply (including Completions 2011-2015) | | | | | 4729 |

The figures in table 8 clearly show that there is potential to deliver a significant number of homes in the next 10 years however this is not case beyond 2023. When including completions during the first 4 years of the plan period (683) the total capacity to 2030 is 4729. This leaves a maximum shortfall of 2271 if the total of 7,000 homes is to be reached by 2030. A full Green Belt Review will therefore be necessary to identify sites for the last 5 years of the plan period.

Figure 6: Housing trajectory based on a target of 7,000 dwellings by 2030




Figure 6 shows housing delivery based on the sites included within the SHLAA. The monitor line shows that in the early years of the plan period the cumulative allocation is unlikely to be achieved but this would be addressed in the middle of the plan period as delivery rates increase on the larger sites. The manage line highlights the annual requirement at any one point in time and identifies that the annual requirement will increase rapidly in the later part of the period when targets are not being achieved.

The housing trajectory is based on the detailed information contained within Appendix K. This table provides a detailed breakdown of when each site is expected to come forward and how many dwellings would be built on each site in a particular year. Much of the information has been sourced from the developers/landowners acting on the sites. Naturally, the figures used are considered to be a best estimate at the current time taking into account economic conditions and planning permission being granted within a reasonable period of time. It should be noted that some of the sites are current planning applications whilst some of the others are at the formal pre-application stage with a view to an application being submitted within the next 12 months. It is important to re-affirm that this data is being used to inform the plan making process and does not pre-determine whether planning permission should be granted. Each planning application will be assessed on its individual planning merits.

To provide a clearer picture of the breakdown of the kinds of sites that have housing potential they have been split up into brownfield and greenfield.

Figure 7
Breakdown of Potential Housing Sites by Land Types

| Type of Site | Number of Sites | Site Area (ha) | Available |
|--------------|-----------------|----------------|-----------|
| Brownfield | 9 | 11.45 | 461 |
| Greenfield | 5 | 96.69 | 1893 |

In accordance with the NPPF private residential gardens have been classified as greenfield. There are now actually a greater number of brownfield sites when compared greenfield. However, there is still a reliance on larger greenfield sites to deliver the majority of the housing supply over the plan period.

Conclusion

This document provides a snapshot picture of both the committed and potential supply in the District of Bromsgrove up to 2030, with a base date of 1st April 2015. The results of the SHLAA will primarily be used to help inform work on the District Plan.

The SHLAA has been carried out in full accordance with the NPPG and the Council has sought to engage with appropriate stakeholders at various stages of the process, including a consultation exercise on the draft methodology and a 'call for sites' exercise. These draft findings of the SHLAA have been consulted on and there will be further opportunities as the SHLAA develops over the years for stakeholders to continue to be involved, providing additional information on sites or suggesting new sites.

The sites and areas that have been identified in the SHLAA are derived from a number of sources and have built on the previous work done by the Council in its annual Land Availability Housing document and the Urban Capacity Study. It is important to note that certain assumptions have been made within the assessment based on general guidance in the NPPG and on Officer's judgement at a certain point in time. The SHLAA should be treated as a living document and the information will be subject to change over short periods of time, for example as sites move from one category to another or as circumstances change on sites.

Consequently, planning applications for residential development will continue to be assessed on their individual planning merits in accordance with the NPPF, adopted Local Plan and other material planning considerations.

Information that is contained within the SHLAA may act as a useful indication of opportunities or constraints on a site but applicants will need to undertake their own detailed research to determine the full potential for residential development opportunities on sites within the SHLAA or indeed those that have not been identified.

It is clear from the findings and subsequent analysis that there is limited potential for residential development on previously developed sites within the urban area. However, this means significant greenfield release will be required to deliver 7000 homes within the plan period to 2030. The sites identified as ADRs within the adopted Local Plan appear to be the most suitable, available and deliverable to help meet this target in the first instance.

It is important to note that the SHLAA identifies a shortfall of 611 dwellings. If the target of 7,000 is to be reached a full Green Belt Review will need to be undertaken to identify land for the later part of the plan period. The sites highlighted in appendix E should be considered within this assessment alongside parcels of land not previously assessed within the SHLAA.

These results will help form part of the Council's evidence base to support the Council's position in relation to the requirements of the NPPF, in terms of both identifying a deliverable five-year supply and also identifying potential sites for the next ten years and beyond.

Appendix A: Site Identification Pro-forma

Bromsgrove Strategic Housing Land Availability Assessment

Site Identification Pro-forma

Bromsgrove District Council updates the Strategic Housing Land Availability Assessment (SHLAA) on an annual basis.

This form should be completed to suggest sites that you think should be considered by Bromsgrove District Council for their availability for housing over the period to 2030.

Although the SHLAA will be an important evidence source to inform plan-making, it will not, in itself, determine whether a site should be allocated for housing development. However, any site information you do provide now will be invaluable in helping to form a broad development strategy for the district.

Please return this form and a plan (scale 1:1250) clearly identifying the boundary of the site to Andrew Fulford, Planning Policy Section, The Council House, Burcot Lane, Bromsgrove, Worcestershire, B60 1AA.

If you have any queries regarding any aspect of the SHLAA please contact the Strategic Planning Team on either 01527 881323 or 01527 881314.

Please use a separate form for each site and complete the form to the best of your knowledge.

DO submit sites that:

- ☐ Are likely to become available for housing development or redevelopment in the next 16 years
- ☐ That can accommodate 10 dwellings or greater

DO NOT submit sites that:

- ☐ Already have planning permission for development unless a new or different proposal is likely in the future;
- ☐ Are outside the Bromsgrove District Council local authority area

Your Details

Name

Address

Post Code

Telephone No

E-mail

Preferred means of contact:

Post ☐

E-mail ☐

I am (please tick all that apply)

The landowner ☐ A Planning Consultant ☐ A Developer ☐

A land agent ☐ A Registered Social Landlord ☐

Other please specify

Site Details

Site Address

Site Area (Hectares)

Current Use

Type of Site (eg. greenfield, previously developed land)

Means of access into the Site

Access to Public Transport (eg. bus, rail)

Availability of Utilities & Services (eg. water supply & sewage disposal)

Relevant Planning History (Please provide planning application number if available)

I have enclosed a map clearly showing

the site boundary (scale 1:1250)

Yes ☐

No ☐

Are there any factors that might make the site unavailable for development ?

Ownership Constraints

Awaiting relocation of current use

Level of developer interest, if known (low, medium, high)

Is the site viable for residential or mixed use (including residential) development considering local, regional and national planning policies?

Likely time frame for development

0-5 years

6-10 years

1

11-16 years

□

Are you aware of any sustainability issues or physical constraints that might make the site unsuitable for development ? (The Local Plan proposals map should assist you in identifying some of these constraints). Please answer to the best of your knowledge

Environmental Constraints (eg. Flood plain, site contamination)

Other Designations (eg. Conservation area, Green Belt)

Physical Constraints (eg. Topography, TPO's)

Planning Policy Constraints (eq. Designated employment sites)

If so, could interventions be made to overcome the constraints?

Number of Dwellings

What is the estimated number of dwellings that could be provided on the site taking into account:

- The type of development likely to be suitable (purely residential or mixed use)
- The height and character of surrounding buildings

Other Issues

Is there any other information regarding this site that would be useful for us to be aware of?

[illegible]

Signature

Date _____



Bromsgrove
District Council

www.bromsgrove.gov.uk

Strategic Planning,
Bromsgrove District Council, The Council House, Burcot Lane,
Bromsgrove, Worcestershire B60 1AA.

Appendix B: Site Assessment Form

| | |
|--|---------------------------------|
| Site Identification | |
| Site Address: | Site Ref: |
| Ownership Details: | Site Area: Grid Ref: |
| Current Land Use: | |
| Surrounding Land Uses: | |
| Character of Surrounding Area: | |
| Previous Source: (e.g. BDLP, UCS, WYG Report) | |
| New Source: (landowner, developer etc) | |
| Relevant Planning History: (including most recent ownership details) | |
| Detailed Planning Permission: <input type="checkbox"/> Details: | |
| Outline Planning Permission: <input type="checkbox"/> | |

| Stage A | |
|---|----------------|
| Conformity with Strategic Policy for Development Distribution/Settlement Hierarchy | Details |
| Brownfield (previously developed) site that is within or adjoins a settlement but does not form part of a direction of growth for Redditch needs | |
| Greenfield or Green Belt site which is within or adjoins a settlement but does not form part of a direction of growth for Redditch needs | |
| Any site (either brownfield, Greenfield or Green Belt) that is not within, or adjoins any settlement and does not form part of a direction of growth for Redditch needs – site will be discounted | |
| Site falls within WYG Study Boundary which may form part of a direction of growth for Redditch needs (site to be assessed under separate study) | |

| Biodiversity, Geodiversity & Heritage | |
|--|---------|
| Is a scheduled Ancient Monument located on the site? | Details |
| Yes: – site will be discounted | |
| No: Does the site fall within or significantly affect any other site of designated international, regional or local value, or affect habitat for protected flora or fauna? Does the site affect trees, hedgerows or areas of ancient woodland not subject to statutory protection? | |
| No: No significant adverse impact on biodiversity | |
| Yes: | |
| Opportunity to enhance/no significant adverse impact | |
| Significant adverse impact (mitigation to be explored) | |
| Significant adverse impact that cannot be mitigated – site will be discounted unless it can be demonstrated that mitigation can be successfully introduced | |

| Land at risk of Flooding | |
|---|---------|
| Is the site in an area of known flooding risk? | Details |
| No: Little/no risk of flooding | |
| Yes: | |
| Zone 1 – Little or no risk | |
| Zone 2 – Low to medium risk (mitigation to be explored) | |
| Zone 3 – High risk – Site will be discounted unless it can be demonstrated that mitigation can be successfully introduced | |

| Stage B | |
|---|---------|
| Other Environmental Issues: | |
| Impact on the historic, cultural and built environment | |
| How would the site affect the setting and character of a Listed Building or Conservation Area? How would the site impact on the existing character of the Settlement? | Details |
| Opportunity to enhance/no adverse impact | |
| Adverse impact/impact but could be mitigated | |
| Significant adverse impact that cannot be mitigated | |

| | |
|--|---------|
| Sustainability: | |
| Access to Public Transport | |
| Walking distance to a bus stop (or railway station): | Details |
| Less than 400m | |
| Between 400m and 800m | |
| Over 800m or ineffective service | |
| Access to services and facilities | |
| Walking distance to nearest first school: | |
| Less than 1.5km | |
| Between 1.5km and 3km | |
| Over 3km | |
| Walking distance to nearest local retail facilities: | |
| Less than 800m | |
| Between 800m and 1600m | |
| Over 1600m | |
| Walking distance to a health facility: | |
| Less than 800m | |
| Between 800m and 1600m | |
| Over 1600m | |

| | |
|--|--|
| Constraints to Delivery | |
| Level of Contamination on Site: | |
| None | |
| Contamination that can be overcome through land remediation | |
| High level of contamination that cannot be realistically mitigated | |
| Are there TPOs on site? | |
| No | |
| A single TPO | |
| Group TPO | |
| Is there a Public Right of Way on the site? | |
| No | |
| Yes | |
| Are there any physical constraints on the site? | |

| | |
|--------------------------------------|--|
| No | |
| Yes, but constraints can be overcome | |
| Yes - constraints cannot be overcome | |

| Open Space & Recreation | |
|--|---------|
| Would the site result in the loss of these facilities? | Details |
| No | |
| Yes, but indication of replacement provision possible on, next to or near to the site as part of the development | |
| Yes. No possibility of replacement provision | |

| Employment Land | |
|---|---------|
| Would development of the site result in the loss of employment land? | Details |
| No | |
| Yes - <u>demonstrated</u> that land will not come forward for employment uses | |
| Yes - land is not likely to come forward for employment uses | |
| Yes - land is likely to come forward for employment uses | |

| Infrastructure Capacity | |
|---|---------|
| Is the site considered adequately served by existing infrastructure (e.g. utilities and highways) or can it be adequately served? | Details |
| Sufficient infrastructure in place to serve development | |
| Infrastructure constraints that would require investment to overcome but can probably be addressed by developer contributions | |
| Significant infrastructure constraints, i.e. strategic infrastructure required which may require Government grants | |

| Highway Access | |
|---|---------|
| Can the site be accessed by vehicle from the highway? | Details |
| Direct access to main/adopted road | |
| Access to unadopted road/track | |
| No access | |

| Compatibility with adjoining uses | |
|--|---------|
| Would development of the site for residential uses be compatible with existing and/or proposed adjoining uses? | Details |
| Residential development only compatible | |
| Insignificant or moderate compatibility issues | |
| Residential development considered incompatible (discount site for residential) | |

| Green Belt | |
|---|---------|
| Is the site within the designated Green Belt? | Details |
| No | |
| Yes but there are potentially exceptional circumstances to outweigh Green Belt harm | |
| Yes – Site performs Important Green Belt function | |

| Stage C | |
|--|---------|
| Availability | |
| Land Ownership: | Details |
| Single | |
| Multiple | |
| Unknown | |
| Is the site immediately available for development? | |
| Yes | |
| No | |

| Achievability | |
|--|---------|
| Willingness of landowner to progress site for development | Details |
| Yes, or issues which can be resolved within 5 years | |
| Possibly, or with issues which can be resolved within 15 years | |
| No, issues which cannot be resolved | |

| | |
|--|---------|
| Appropriate timeframe for development? | Details |
| 0-5 years | |
| 5-10 years | |
| 10-15 Years | |
| 15years + | |

| Potential Residential Yield | |
|------------------------------------|---------------------------|
| Appropriate Density | Total number of Dwellings |
| | |

Appendix C: Attendees of Forum Meeting

| Attendees of Forum Meeting Held at 10am, 22nd August 2008 at the Council House | |
|--|------------------------------|
| Name | Representing |
| Mr H Clarke | Local land owner |
| Mr M Harrison | Ancer Spa |
| Mr R Hickman | Halcrow |
| Dr Terry | Barnt Green resident |
| Mr Woodhams | Agent |
| Mr D Billingham | Billingham & Kite Ltd |
| A Griffin | Pineview Parks Ltd |
| M Sleet | Pineview Parks Ltd |
| Charlotte Abbott | Home Builders Federation |
| Annette Thompson | Bromford Housing Association |
| Andrew Fulford | Bromsgrove DC - Planning |
| Sumi Lai | Bromsgrove DC - Planning |
| Michael Dunphy | Bromsgrove DC - Planning |

Appendix D: Schedule of Sites

| Commitments | | | | | | | |
|----------------|---|----------------------|---------------------|--------|-----------|----------|------------|
| Application No | Address | Parish | Source of Supply | Status | Site Area | Capacity | Time Scale |
| 2004/0872 | Green Acres, Alcester Road | Beoley | Planning permission | 1 | 0.33 | 2 | < 5 years |
| 2013/0422 | Meadows First School and Nursery, Santridge Lane, B61 0AH | Bromsgrove | Planning permission | 1 | 0.27 | 14 | < 5 years |
| 2012/0708 | Land at St Godwalds Road, Bromsgrove | Finstall | Planning permission | 1 | 7.40 | 42 | < 5 years |
| 2012/0541 | 1 Summervale Road, Hagley, DY9 0LY | Hagley | Planning permission | 1 | 0.89 | 1 | < 5 years |
| 14/0109 | Land Rear 21 and 23 Woodland Avenue, Hagley | Hagley | Planning permission | 1 | 0.14 | 1 | < 5 years |
| 2013/0575 | 86-88 Old Birmingham Road, Lickey End, B60 1DG | Lickey and Blackwell | Planning permission | 1 | 0.10 | 1 | < 5 years |
| 15/0552 | 19 Warren Lane, Lickey, B45 8ER | Lickey and Blackwell | Planning permission | 1 | 0.11 | 1 | < 5 years |
| 2013/0026 | Land At Birmingham Road, Alvechurch | Alvechurch | Planning permission | 2 | 1.09 | 25 | < 5 years |
| 2013/0211 | Little Radford Farm, Radford Road, Alvechurch, B48 7DY | Alvechurch | Planning permission | 2 | 0.51 | 1 | < 5 years |
| 2013/0501 | 1 Fiery Hill Road, Barnt Green, B45 8LB | Barnt Green | Planning permission | 2 | 1.90 | 2 | < 5 years |
| 2011/0741 | Land at Fiery Hill, Barnt Green | Barnt Green | Planning permission | 2 | 4.90 | 88 | < 5 years |
| 2012/0741 | 3 Bradford Lane, Belbroughton, DY9 9TF | Belbroughton | Planning permission | 2 | 0.10 | 1 | < 5 years |
| 2013/0551 | Tyrells Lane Farm, Tyrells Lane, Lower Bentley, B60 4HX | Bentley Paucefoot | Planning permission | 2 | 0.26 | 3 | < 5 years |
| 2013/0236 | The Shadows, Copyholt Lane, Lower Bentley, B60 3BB | Bentley Paucefoot | Planning permission | 2 | 0.15 | 1 | < 5 years |
| 2013/0252 | 10 Dale Hill, Blackwell, Bromsgrove, B60 1QJ | Lickey and Blackwell | Planning permission | 2 | 0.19 | 1 | < 5 years |
| 2013/0033 | Land At King Edward Avenue, Bromsgrove | Bromsgrove | Planning permission | 2 | 0.04 | 2 | < 5 years |
| 2013/0131 | 57 Rock Hill, Bromsgrove, B61 7LN | Bromsgrove | Planning permission | 2 | 0.05 | 1 | < 5 years |
| 2013/0071 | 6, 8 and 10 The Strand, Bromsgrove, B61 8AB | Bromsgrove | Planning permission | 2 | 0.04 | 2 | < 5 years |
| 2012/0709 | Land At Norton Farm, Birmingham Road | Bromsgrove | Planning permission | 2 | 17.90 | 316 | < 5 years |
| 2013/0744 | Land At Bewell Head | Bromsgrove | Planning permission | 2 | 0.03 | 1 | < 5 years |
| 15/0286 | Ivy Cottage, 30 Gibb Lane, Catshill, B61 0JR | Catshill | Planning permission | 2 | 0.12 | 15 | < 5 years |
| 2013/0762 | 210 Old Birmingham Road, Marlbrook, B60 1HH | Lickey and Blackwell | Planning permission | 2 | 0.05 | 1 | < 5 years |

| Application No | Address | Parish | Source of Supply | Status | Site Area | Capacity | Time Scale |
|----------------|---|----------------------|---------------------|--------|-----------|----------|------------|
| 13/0762 | Land Adjacent 4 - 28, Oak Road, Catshill | Catshill | Planning permission | 2 | 0.27 | 8 | < 5 years |
| 2013/0671 | 17 Alexander Close, Catshill, B61 0PF | Catshill | Planning permission | 2 | 0.07 | 1 | < 5 years |
| 2012/0490 | The Mount Hotel, Mount Lane, Clent, DY9 9PR | Clent | Planning permission | 2 | 0.18 | 10 | < 5 years |
| 2012/0968 | 58 Alcester Road, Finstall, Bromsgrove, B60 1EW | Finstall | Planning permission | 2 | 0.04 | 1 | < 5 years |
| 2013/0398 | Land at Kidderminster Road | Hagley | Planning permission | 2 | 14.49 | 175 | < 5 years |
| 2013/0840 | J & J Convenience Store, 6-8 Birmingham Road, Hagley, DY9 9LZ | Hagley | Planning permission | 2 | 0.05 | 1 | < 5 years |
| 2012/0875 | Strathearn, Western Road, Hagley, DY9 0HZ | Clent | Planning permission | 2 | 4.16 | 70 | < 5 years |
| 2013/0873 | 54 Hall Lane, Hagley, DY9 9LH | Hagley | Planning permission | 2 | 0.12 | 1 | < 5 years |
| 2012/1026 | Land At Summerfield Road, Holy Cross, DY9 9RG | Clent | Planning permission | 2 | 0.19 | 1 | < 5 years |
| 2013/0102 | Lynwood, Lea End Lane, Hopwood, B48 7AY | Alvechurch | Planning permission | 2 | 0.20 | 2 | < 5 years |
| 2010/1142 | 420 Bromsgrove Road, B62 0JL | Hunnington | Planning permission | 2 | 0.16 | 3 | < 5 years |
| 2013/0238 | 22 Old Birmingham Road, Lickey End, Bromsgrove, B60 1DE | Lickey and Blackwell | Planning permission | 2 | 0.16 | 2 | < 5 years |
| 2013/0028 | Coach Yard Adj 643 Haslucks Green Road, Majors Green, B90 1DF | Wythall | Planning permission | 2 | 0.18 | 3 | < 5 years |
| 2013/0685 | 1A Beverley Road, Rednal, B45 9JG | Rubery | Planning permission | 2 | 0.50 | 1 | < 5 years |
| 2013/0369 | H S Dataline Ltd, 100 New Road, Rubery, B45 9HY | Rubery | Planning permission | 2 | 0.03 | 1 | < 5 years |
| 2013/0120 | 200 Worcester Road, Stoke Heath, B61 7HY | Stoke Prior | Planning permission | 2 | 1.50 | 1 | < 5 years |
| 2013/0213 | Former Polymer Latex Site, Weston Hall Road, Stoke Prior | Stoke Prior | Planning permission | 2 | 8.50 | 157 | < 5 years |
| 2013/0063 | Woodhouse Farm, Packhorse Lane, Hollywood, B38 0DN | Wythall | Planning permission | 2 | 0.17 | 1 | < 5 years |
| 2012/0939 | 102 Silver Street, Wythall, B47 6LZ | Wythall | Planning permission | 2 | 0.03 | 1 | < 5 years |
| 2011/0316 | 145 Alcester Road, Hollywood, B47 5NR | Wythall | Planning permission | 2 | 0.04 | 1 | < 5 years |
| 2012/0912 | Land At Bleak House Farm, Station Road, Wythall | Wythall | Planning permission | 2 | 8.20 | 178 | < 5 years |

| Sites with Housing Potential | | | | | | | | |
|------------------------------|---|------------|----------------------|--------|--------------|---------|------------|-------------|
| Site Reference | Address | Settlement | Source of Supply | Status | Site Area | Density | Capacity | Time Scale |
| BDC95 | 50, 52 & 54 Red Lion Street, Rear of | Alvechurch | Site Submission | 3 | 0.25 | 40 | 10 | <5 years |
| BDC163 | Finstall Training Centre, Stoke Road | Bromsgrove | Site Submission | 3 | 0.48 | 40 | 12 | <5 years |
| BDC192 | All Saints Vicarage, Burcot Lane | Bromsgrove | Site Submission | 3 | 0.25 | 50 | 12 | <5 years |
| BDC251 | Recreation Road | Bromsgrove | Other | 3 | 0.3 | 586 | 176 | <5 years |
| BDC20 | Perryfields Road | Bromsgrove | Site Submission | 3 | 14.12 (64.4) | 31 | 288 (1300) | <5 years |
| BDC80 | Whitford Road | Bromsgrove | Site Submission | 3 | 16 (24) | 31.5 | 328 (490) | <5 years |
| BDC168 | The Council House, Burcot Lane | Bromsgrove | Other | 3 | 1.213 | 50 | 51 | <5 years |
| BDC250 | Burcot Grange | Burcot | Planning application | 3 | 2.1 | 8.79 | 12 | <5 years |
| BDC252 | The Uplands | Burcot | Planning application | 3 | 1.93 | 39 | 64 | <5 years |
| BDC51 | Land at Algoa House, Western Road | Hagley | Site Submission | 3 | 1.44 | 21 | 25 | <5 years |
| BDC160 | Hagley Former Middle School, Park Road | Hagley | Site Submission | 3 | 0.6 | 93.33 | 44 | <5 years |
| BDC102 | 7 & 9 Worcester Road | Hagley | Site Submission | 3 | 0.239 | 50 | 12 | <5 years |
| BDC233 | Prince of Wales PH, 65 Stourbridge Road | Hagley | Planning application | 3 | 0.84 | 14.29 | 12 | <5 years |
| BDC20 | Perryfields Road | Bromsgrove | Site Submission | 3 | 29.62 (64.4) | 31 | 600 (1300) | 6-10 years |
| BDC80 | Whitford Road | Bromsgrove | Site Submission | 3 | 8 (24) | 31.1 | 162 (490) | 6-10 years |
| BDC20 | Perryfields Road | Bromsgrove | Site Submission | 3 | 20.66 (64.4) | 31 | 412 (1300) | 11-16 years |
| FR4 | Egghill Lane, Land off | Frankley | Local Plan | 3 | 6.6 | 30 | 66 | 11-16 years |
| BDC65 | The Avenue | Rubery | Site Submission | 3 | 3.5 | 40 | 68 | 11-16 years |

Appendix E: Green Belt Potential

| Application No | Address | Settlement | Source of Supply | Status | Site Area | Density | Capacity |
|----------------|---|--------------|------------------|--------|-----------|----------|----------|
| BDC58 | The Oldbrick Works, Scarfield Hill | Alvechurch | Site Submission | 4 | 2.5 | 30 | 49 |
| BDC88 | Land West of Callow Hill Road | Alvechurch | Site Submission | 4 | 2 | 40 | 52 |
| BDC89 | Land East of Callow Hill Road | Alvechurch | Site Submission | 4 | 3 | 40 | 78 |
| BDC151 | Birmingham Road | Alvechurch | Site Submission | 4 | 2.865 | 30 | 56 |
| BDC175 | Old Rectory Lane | Alvechurch | Site Submission | 4 | 2.95 | 30 | 57 |
| BDC190 | 2 Birmingham Road, land rear of | Alvechurch | Site Submission | 4 | 0.4 | 30 | 12 |
| BDC197 | Land west of Redditch Road | Alvechurch | Site Submission | 4 | 2.4 | 30 | 47 |
| BDC219 | Radford Road | Alvechurch | Site Submission | 4 | 7.92 | 30 | 154 |
| BDC236 | Land East of Swan Street & Redditch Road | Alvechurch | Site Submission | 4 | 12.7 | 7.8 | 100 |
| BDC6 | Sandhills Green House, Sandhills Green | Barnt Green | Site Submission | 4 | 2 | 30 | 39 |
| BDC104 | Sandhills Farm Stables, Sandhills Green | Barnt Green | Site Submission | 4 | 28 | 30 | 546 |
| BDC105 | Land North of Kendal End Road | Barnt Green | Site Submission | 4 | 2 | 30 | 39 |
| BDC106 | 95-103 Bittell Road & Rear of | Barnt Green | Site Submission | 4 | 2.3 | 13.04 | 30 |
| BDC182 | Shepley Lane & Billy Lane, Land at | Barnt Green | Site Submission | 4 | 4.3 | 30 | 70 |
| BDC107 | The Sawmill, Hartle Lane | Belbroughton | Site Submission | 4 | 0.462 | 17.31602 | 8 |
| BDC155 | Land off the Glebe & Church Road | Belbroughton | Site Submission | 4 | 2 | 30 | 51 |
| BDC191 | Hartle Lane, Land at | Belbroughton | Site Submission | 4 | 1.17 | 30 | 30 |
| BDC239 | Hillside, Beoley Lane | Beoley | Site Submission | 4 | 1.34 | 30 | 34 |
| BDC8 | Station Road, land West of | Blackwell | Site Submission | 4 | 0.7 | 14.28 | 10 |
| BDC90 | Blackwell House Farm, Linthurst Newtown | Blackwell | Site Submission | 4 | 6.7 | 30 | 55 |
| BDC124 | 4, 4a, 6 & 8 St. Catherines Road | Blackwell | Site Submission | 4 | 2 | 15 | 24 |
| BDC154 | 73 Linthurst Newtown, Land Adjacent | Blackwell | Site Submission | 4 | 1.52 | 34.83 | 45 |
| BDC178 | Laurel Bank Mews, land adjacent | Blackwell | Site Submission | 4 | 1.75 | 30 | 45 |
| BDC215 | 24 St Catherines Road | Blackwell | Site Submission | 4 | 0.38 | 20 | 7 |
| BDC12 | Buntsford Hill | Bromsgrove | Site Submission | 4 | 13 | 30 | 254 |
| BDC19 | Pikes Pool Lane | Bromsgrove | Site Submission | 4 | 15.5 | 30 | 302 |
| BDC57 | 36-46 Redditch Road | Bromsgrove | Site Submission | 4 | 0.33 | 40 | 12 |
| BDC69A | 100 Finstall Road | Bromsgrove | Site Submission | 4 | 0.116 | 25.86 | 3 |
| BDC79 | Land adjoining 25 & Rear of 25-47 St. Godwalds Road | Bromsgrove | Site Submission | 4 | 2.63 | 30 | 51 |
| BDC81B | Norton Farm, Remainder of | Bromsgrove | Site Submission | 4 | 6 | 30 | 117 |
| BDC97 | Former Barnsley Hall Hospital, Land at | Bromsgrove | Site Submission | 4 | 40.87 | 30 | 797 |
| BDC143 | 96 Rock Hill Road | Bromsgrove | Site Submission | 4 | 0.629 | 30 | 16 |
| BDC206 | Little Heath Lane, Lickey End | Bromsgrove | Site Submission | 4 | 4.17 | 30 | 81 |
| BDC241 | Land at Avoncroft, South of Redditch Road | Bromsgrove | Site Submission | 4 | 13.2 | 30 | 257 |
| BDC242 | Stoke Court Farm, West of Lower Gambolds Lane | Bromsgrove | Site Submission | 4 | 5.5 | 30 | 107 |
| BDC243 | Burcot Garden Centre, Alcester Road | Burcot | Site Submission | 4 | 0.67 | 30 | 20 |
| BDC1 | Hinton Fields, Off Dale Close | Catshill | Site Submission | 4 | 2.8616 | 40 | 74 |
| BDC94 | 20,22 & 24 Hinton Fields, Rear of | Catshill | Site Submission | 4 | 0.746 | 30 | 19 |
| BDC96 | Land at Westfields | Catshill | Site Submission | 4 | 1.053 | 40 | 35 |
| BDC142 | Stourbridge Road, land off | Catshill | Site Submission | 4 | 1.084 | 40 | 37 |
| BDC210 | Braces Lane, Land off | Catshill | Site Submission | 4 | 10.5 | 30 | 205 |

| Application No | Address | Settlement | Source of Supply | Status | Site Area | Density | Capacity |
|----------------|---|----------------|------------------|--------|-----------|---------|----------|
| BDC244 | Woodrow Lane, Land off | Catshill | Site Submission | 4 | 5 | 30 | 98 |
| BDC249 | Land west of A38 | Catshill | Site Submission | 4 | 27 | 30 | 527 |
| BDC34 | Belbroughton Road, Land East of | Clent | Site Submission | 4 | 1.25 | 20 | 21 |
| BDC184 | Cofton Lake Road, Land at | Cofton Hackett | Site Submission | 4 | 2.65 | 30 | 40 |
| BDC245 | Cofton Church Lane, Land North of | Cofton Hackett | Site Submission | 4 | 26.2 | 30 | 510 |
| BDC42 | 144 Stourbridge Road | Fairfield | Site Submission | 5 | 3.492 | 30 | 68 |
| BDC138 | Land Between Fairfield Village hall & Old Post Office | Fairfield | Site Submission | 4 | 0.5 | 30 | 13 |
| BDC10 | Kidderminster & Worcester Road, Land Between | Hagley | Site Submission | 4 | 2.5 | 40 | 65 |
| BDC35A | Land North of Kidderminster Road South & Adjacent Stakenbridge Lane | Hagley | Site Submission | 4 | 9.62 | 40 | 188 |
| BDC53 | Middlefield Lane, Rear of | Hagley | Site Submission | 4 | 1.25 | 40 | 43 |
| BDC185 | Worcester Road, Land at | Hagley | Site Submission | 4 | 32.45 | 30 | 300 |
| BDC198 | Brake Lane | Hagley | Site Submission | 4 | 2.4 | 30 | 82 |
| BDC212 | Middlefield Lane, Rear of | Hagley | Site Submission | 4 | 1.54 | 30 | 40 |
| BDC213 | Western Road and Stourbridge Road | Hagley | Site Submission | 4 | 5.59 | 30 | 109 |
| BDC7 (A & B) | 566 Birmingham Road, Land rear of | Lydiat Ash | Site Submission | 4 | 5.1242 | 40 | 133 |
| BDC203 | 643 Haslucks Green Road | Majors Green | Site Submission | 4 | 0.62 | 30 | 15 |
| BDC204 | Land east of Tilehouse Lane | Majors Green | Site Submission | 4 | 1.28 | 30 | 33 |
| BDC17 (A & B) | 248 Old Birmingham Road | Marlbrook | Site Submission | 4 | 6.9 | 40 | 179 |
| BDC41 | 283-287 Old Birmingham Road | Marlbrook | Site Submission | 4 | 0.44 | 30 | 11 |
| BDC61 | 484 Birmingham Road, Land East of | Marlbrook | Site Submission | 4 | 1.6 | 40 | 54 |
| BDC77 | Beacon Farm South, land at | Marlbrook | Site Submission | 4 | 1.6 | 40 | 54 |
| BDC171 | 293 Old Birmingham Road | Marlbrook | Site Submission | 4 | 0.82 | 30 | 25 |
| BDC129 | 76 Bromsgrove Road | Romsley | Site Submission | 4 | 0.82 | 30 | 21 |
| BDC218 | St Kenelms Road | Romsley | Site Submission | 4 | 0.4 | 30 | 12 |
| BDC246 | Land Adjacent Valley Farm, off Beacon Hill | Rubery | Site Submission | 4 | 0.6 | 30 | 18 |
| BDC139 | Shaw Lane | Stoke Prior | Site Submission | 4 | 18.6 | 40 | 483 |
| BDC164 | Hanbury Road | Stoke Prior | Site Submission | 4 | 5.034 | 40 | 131 |
| BDC186 | Cromptons Field, shaw Lane | Stoke Prior | Site Submission | 4 | 1.21 | 30 | 31 |
| BDC59 | Norton lane | Wythall | Site Submission | 4 | 5.05 | 30 | 98 |
| BDC87 | Silver Street & Alcester Road, Land at | Wythall | Site Submission | 4 | 1.55 | 30 | 40 |
| BDC135 (A & B) | Packhouse Lane | Wythall | Site Submission | 4 | 6.07 | 30 | 98 |
| BDC200 | Bleakhouse Farm, Gorsey Lane | Wythall | Site Submission | 4 | 6.9 | 30 | 135 |
| BDC211 | Station Road | Wythall | Site Submission | 4 | 12.2 | 30 | 238 |
| BDC225 | Alcester Road, land west of | Wythall | Site Submission | 4 | 7.2 | 30 | 140 |
| BDC260 | Land at Station Road, Alvechurch | Alvechurch | Site Submission | 4 | 0.39 | 30 | 25 |
| BDC262 | No 2, 2A & land to rear of Birmingham Road | Alvechurch | Site Submission | 4 | 0.61 | 30 | 45 |
| BDC263 | Land to east side of Meadow Lane, Alvechurch | Alvechurch | Site Submission | 4 | 0.25 | 30 | 5 |
| BDC264 | Land adjacent to 118 Birmingham Road | Alvechurch | Site Submission | 4 | 0.08 | 30 | 1 |
| BDC266 | Land to the rear of Crown House, The Square | Alvechurch | Site Submission | 4 | 1.17 | 30 | 20 |
| BDC281 | Land on Ash Lane, Hopwood | Alvechurch | Site Submission | 4 | 1.41 | 30 | 6 |
| BDC254 | Land to the rear of Bittel Road, Barnt Green | Barnt Green | Site Submission | 4 | 1.00 | 30 | 30 |
| BDC253 | Land at Bittell Farm Road, Barnt Green | Barnt Green | Site Submission | 4 | 42.40 | 30 | TBC |

| Application No | Address | Settlement | Source of Supply | Status | Site Area | Density | Capacity |
|-----------------------------------|---|----------------|------------------|--------|-----------|---------|----------|
| BDC258 | Land to the north of Yew Tree Lane, Belbroughton | Belbroughton | Site Submission | 4 | 1.16 | 30 | 20 |
| BDC265 | Burcot Garden Centre, Alcester Road | Burcot | Site Submission | 4 | 0.67 | 30 | 10 |
| BDC275 | Catshill Piggeries | Catshill | Site Submission | 4 | 0.89 | 30 | 45 |
| BDC256 | Land at Thicknall Lane, Clent | Clent | Site Submission | 4 | 0.17 | 30 | 1 |
| BDC257 | Rear of 49 and 49A Middlefield Lane, Hagley | Hagley | Site Submission | 4 | 0.45 | 30 | 1 |
| BDC276 | Land off Bromsgrove Road | Hunnington | Site Submission | 4 | 0.22 | 30 | 2 |
| BDC278 | 309 Old Birmingham Road | Marlbrook | Site Submission | 4 | 2.16 | 30 | 14 |
| BDC270 | Land east of Bromsgrove Road | Romsley | Site Submission | 4 | 24.76 | 30 | 400 |
| BDC269 | Land at New Inns Lane | Rubery | Site Submission | 4 | 8.85 | 30 | 350 |
| BDC267 | Land to the east of Haslucks Green Road | Shirley | Site Submission | 4 | 7.88 | 30 | 144 |
| BDC272 | Land corner of Haslucks Green Rd & Tilehouse Lane | Shirley | Site Submission | 4 | 1.07 | 30 | 30 |
| BDC273 | Land corner of Haslucks Green Rd & Tilehouse Lane | Shirley | Site Submission | 4 | 5.56 | 30 | 216 |
| BDC274 | Land corner of Haslucks Green Rd & Tilehouse Lane | Shirley | Site Submission | 4 | 0.05 | 30 | 1 |
| BDC268 | 326 Alcester Road | Wythall | Site Submission | 4 | 0.58 | 30 | 14 |
| BDC283 | Land off Alcester Road | Wythall | Site Submission | 4 | 4.9 | 30 | 95 |
| Birmingham Expansion Sites | | | | | | | |
| BDC226 | Groveley Lane | Cofton Hackett | Site Submission | 4 | 12.02 | 30 | 300 |
| BDC240 | Groveley Lane | Cofton Hackett | Site Submission | 4 | 42.3 | 30 | 912 |
| BDC228 | Maypole, Land at | Wythall | Site Submission | 4 | 1.25 | 30 | 32 |
| BDC229 | Kingswood Grange | wythall | Site Submission | 4 | 41.68 | 30 | 812 |
| BDC230 | Maypole Farm, Maypole Lane | Wythall | Site Submission | 4 | 45 | 30 | 877 |
| BDC248 | Gannow Green Farm, Gannow Green Lane | Frankley | Site Submission | 4 | 24 | 30 | 468 |
| BDC255 | Land at Redditch Road, West Heath | West Heath | Site Submission | 4 | 8.23 | 30 | 180 |
| BDC279 | Land at Frankley Hill Lane | Frankley | Site Submission | 4 | 178.11 | 30 | 3200 |
| BDC280 | Land at Crabmill Lane near Maypole | Hollywood | Site Submission | 4 | 161.99 | 30 | 3500 |

Appendix F: Schedule of Discounted Sites

| Application No | Address | Settlement | Source of Supply | Status | Site Area | Density | Capacity | Time Scale | Reason for discounting |
|----------------|--|----------------|------------------------|--------|-----------|---------|----------|------------|--|
| BDC5 | Land adj Crown Meadow | Alvechurch | Site Submission | 5 | 0.45 | 40 | 15 | unknown | TPO |
| BDC235 | Redditch Road & A441, land between | Alvechurch | Site Submission | 5 | 3.27 | 30 | 64 | unknown | strategic location & Green Belt |
| BDC237 | Pike Hill Lane, Land at | Barnt Green | Site Submission | 5 | 0.86 | 30 | 26 | Unknown | Strategic location & Green Belt |
| BDC238 | Billy Lane, land at | Barnt Green | Site Submission | 5 | 0.72 | 30 | 22 | Unknown | Strategic location & Green Belt |
| BDC130 | Poolhouse Farm, Hockley Brook Lane | Belbroughton | Site Submission | 5 | 1.578 | 30 | 40 | Unknown | Strategic location & Green Belt |
| BDC109 | 9, 11, 11a & 15 Linthurst Newtown | Blackwell | Site Submission | 5 | 0.34 | 11.76 | 4 | Unknown | Ownership Constraints |
| BDC216 | Linthurst Road, South east of | Blackwell | Site Submission | 5 | 4.21 | 30 | 80 | Unknown | Strategic location & Green Belt |
| BDC30 | Redditch Road, Land off | Bordesley | Site Submission | 5 | 5 | 30 | 98 | Unknown | Strategic location & Green Belt |
| BDC25 | 7 Parish Hill/Fairfield Road | Bournheath | Site Submission | 5 | 1 | 30 | 26 | Unknown | Strategic location & Green Belt |
| BDC196 | Dordale Road | Bournheath | Site Submission | 5 | 0.87 | 30 | 22 | Unknown | Strategic location & Green Belt |
| BDC2 | Bromsgrove Cricket, Tennis & Hockey Club, land adj | Bromsgrove | Site Submission | 5 | 0.73 | 30 | 19 | Unknown | Strategic location & Green Belt |
| BDC3 | Bromsgrove Cricket, Tennis & Hockey Club | Bromsgrove | Site Submission | 5 | 6.9 | 30 | 135 | Unknown | Loss of Sports facilities & Green Belt |
| BDC13 | 86-96 Worcester Rd | Bromsgrove | Site Submission | 5 | 0.59 | 30 | 15 | Unknown | Loss of Employment Land |
| BDC21 | Perryfields Road & Stourbridge Road | Bromsgrove | Site Submission | 5 | 23.88 | 30 | 466 | Unknown | Strategic location, Functional Floodplain & Green Belt |
| BDC45 | RMC House, Church Lane | Bromsgrove | Site Submission | 5 | 0.26 | 50 | 13 | Unknown | Alternative use proposed |
| BDC69B & C | 100 Finstall Road | Bromsgrove | Site Submission | 5 | 1.9 | 30 | 48 | Unknown | Strategic location & Green Belt |
| BDC149 | 233 Worcester Road | Bromsgrove | Site Submission | 5 | 0.13 | 69.2 | 9 | Unknown | Undeliverable |
| BDC152 | 30 Alcester Road | Bromsgrove | Site Submission | 5 | 0.1052 | 50 | 5 | Unknown | Undeliverable |
| BDC166 | 88 Birmingham Road | Bromsgrove | Other | 5 | 0.29 | 50 | 15 | Unknown | Alternative use proposed |
| BDC195 | Banner Foods, 6 Finstall Road | Bromsgrove | Planning application 3 | 5 | 0.096 | 125 | 12 | Unknown | Alternative use proposed |
| BDC120 | The Old Pumhouse, Alcester Road | Burcot | Site Submission | 5 | 0.83 | 18.07 | 15 | Unknown | Strategic location & Green Belt |
| BDC194 | 120 Wildmoor Lane, land adjacent | Catshill | Site Submission | 5 | 1.32 | 30 | 34 | Unknown | Strategic Location & Green Belt |
| BDC156 | Hossil Lane, Land Off | Clent | Site Submission | 5 | 4 | 30 | 78 | Unknown | Strategic location, Site Size & Green Belt |
| BDC176 | Cofton Church Lane | Cofton Hackett | Site Submission | 5 | 5.6 | 30 | 109 | Unknown | Strategic Location & Green Belt |
| BDC134 | Oneoak, Kidderminster Road | Dodford | Site Submission | 5 | 6.6 | 30 | 129 | Unknown | Strategic location & Green Belt |
| BDC62 | 2 Yew tree Lane | Fairfield | Site Submission | 5 | 1 | 30 | 26 | Unknown | Strategic location & Green Belt |
| BDC60 | 52 Bourneheath Road | Fairfield | Site Submission | 5 | 0.8 | 30 | 20 | Unknown | Strategic location & Green Belt |
| BDC68 | Oakley Fairfield House, Wood Lane | Fairfield | Site Submission | 5 | 2 | 30 | 51 | Unknown | Strategic location, Site Size & Green Belt |
| BDC101 | High House, Stourbridge Road, Land adjacent | Fairfield | Site Submission | 5 | 1.6 | 30 | 41 | Unknown | Strategic location, Site Size & Green Belt |

| Application No | Address | Settlement | Source of Supply | Status | Site Area | Density | Capacity | Time Scale | Reason for discounting |
|----------------|---|---------------|------------------|--------|-----------|---------|----------|------------|---|
| BDC207 | 19 Mount Road | Fairfield | Site Submission | 5 | 0.7 | 7 | 5 | Unknown | Strategic location & Green Belt |
| BDC46 | Hagley Road | Hayley Green | Site Submission | 5 | 1.618 | 30 | 41 | Unknown | Strategic location & Green Belt |
| BDC24 | St. Francis Hall, Baccabox Lane, land adj | Hollywood | Site Submission | 5 | 0.21 | 30 | 6 | Unknown | Strategic location & Green Belt |
| BDC131 | Birmingham Road | Hopwood | Site Submission | 5 | 2.8 | 30 | 55 | Unknown | Strategic location, Site Size & Green Belt |
| BDC40 | Upper Cottage Farm, Old Birmingham Road | Lickey | Site Submission | 5 | 2 | 30 | 39 | Unknown | Strategic location & Green Belt |
| BDC227 | High House Woods, High House Drive | Lickey | Site Submission | 5 | 3.44 | 30 | 67 | Unknown | TPO |
| BDC27 | Alcester Road, Land West of | Lickey End | Site Submission | 5 | 5.7 | 30 | 111 | Unknown | Strategic location & Green Belt |
| BDC217 | Alcester Road, land off | Lickey End | Site Submission | 5 | 2.74 | 30 | 53 | Unknown | Functional Floodplain |
| BDC32 | Halesowen Road, West Side of | Lydiat Ash | Site Submission | 5 | 3.3 | 30 | 64 | Unknown | Strategic location & Green Belt |
| BDC128 | Halesowen Road, Land Adjacent | Lydiat Ash | Site Submission | 5 | 4.2 | 30 | 82 | Unknown | Strategic location & Green Belt |
| BDC146 | Birmingham Road | Lydiat Ash | Site Submission | 5 | 1.56 | 30 | 40 | Unknown | Strategic location & Green Belt |
| BDC193 | Alvechurch Highway, land at | Lydiat Ash | Site Submission | 5 | 0.86 | 30 | 22 | Unknown | Strategic Location & Green Belt |
| BDC205 | Land West of Tilehouse Lane | Majors Green | Site Submission | 5 | 7.3 | 30 | 142 | Unknown | Strategic Location & Green Belt |
| BDC112 | 3-15 Marlbrook Lane | Marlbrook | Site Submission | 5 | 1 | 30 | 26 | unknown | Ownership Constraints |
| BDC161 | Former Highway Yard, Penn Lane | Portway | Site Submission | 5 | 0.25 | 30 | 8 | Unknown | Strategic location & Green Belt |
| BDC22 | Bromsgrove Road | Romsley | Site Submission | 5 | 2.83 | 30 | 55 | Unknown | Strategic location, Green Belt & Landscape Protection Area |
| BDC29 | Bromsgrove Road | Romsley | Site Submission | 5 | 0.304 | 30 | 9 | Unknown | Strategic location & Green Belt |
| BDC78 | Chadwick Manor Farm, Gunner Lane | Rubery | Site Submission | 5 | 2.7 | 30 | 53 | Unknown | Strategic location & Green Belt |
| BDC169 | 349 Peterbrook Road | Shirley | Site Submission | 5 | 4.04 | 30 | 79 | Unknown | Strategic location & Green Belt |
| BDC145 | Harris Brusals Site, Hanbury Road | Stoke Prior | Site Submission | 5 | 14.163 | 30 | 276 | Unknown | Strategic location, Employment Site, Loss of Sports Pitches |
| BDC150 | Robin Hill Farm Buildings, Hanbury Road | Stoke Prior | Site Submission | 5 | 0.48 | 30 | 12 | Unknown | Strategic location & Green Belt |
| BDC165 | Fish House Lane | Stoke Prior | Site Submission | 5 | 0.33 | 30 | 10 | Unknown | Strategic location & Green Belt |
| BDC71 | Great Shortwood Farm, Brockhill Lane | Tardebigge | Site Submission | 5 | 2 | 30 | 39 | Unknown | Strategic location & Green Belt |
| BDC44 | Banks Green Nurseries | Upper Bentley | Site Submission | 5 | 1 | 30 | 26 | Unknown | Strategic location & Green Belt |
| BDC4 | 43 Barkers Lane | Wythall | Site Submission | 5 | 1 | 30 | 26 | Unknown | Strategic location & Green Belt |
| BDC11 | Hillcrest Mobile Home Park, Alcester Road | Wythall | Site Submission | 5 | 0.812 | 30 | 21 | Unknown | Strategic location & Green Belt |
| BDC28 | Hill lane | Wythall | Site Submission | 5 | 3.24 | 30 | 63 | Unknown | Strategic location & Green Belt |
| BDC43 | Middle Lane | Wythall | Site Submission | 5 | 3.24 | 30 | 63 | Unknown | Strategic location & Green Belt |

| Application No | Address | Settlement | Source of Supply | Status | Site Area | Density | Capacity | Time Scale | Reason for discounting |
|----------------|---|--------------|------------------|--------|-----------|---------|----------|------------|---------------------------------|
| BDC75 | Forhill Ash House, Icknield Street, land adj | Wythall | Site Submission | 5 | 0.18 | 30 | 5 | Unknown | Strategic location & Green Belt |
| BDC76 | Chapel Green Lane | Wythall | Site Submission | 5 | 2.6 | 30 | 51 | Unknown | Strategic location & Green Belt |
| BDC172 | Pear Tree Farm, Chapel & Middle Lane | Wythall | Site Submission | 5 | 5.84 | 30 | 114 | Unknown | Strategic Location & Green Belt |
| BDC173 | Chapel & Hill Lane, Land at | Wythall | Site Submission | 5 | 8.45 | 30 | 165 | Unknown | Strategic Location & Green Belt |
| BDC174 | Barkers Lane, Land at | Wythall | Site Submission | 5 | 15.2 | 30 | 296 | Unknown | Strategic Location & Green Belt |
| BDC179 | Malthouse Farm, Clewshaw Lane | Wythall | Site Submission | 5 | 22.26 | 30 | 434 | Unknown | Strategic Location & Green Belt |
| BDC180 | Upper Inkford Farm & land west of Alcester Road | Wythall | Site Submission | 5 | 55 | 30 | 1073 | Unknown | Strategic Location & Green Belt |
| BDC214 | 88 Houndsfield Lane, land adjoining | Wythall | Site Submission | 5 | 0.46 | 30 | 14 | Unknown | Strategic Location & Green Belt |
| BDC247 | Wythall Green Business Park | Wythall | Site Submission | 5 | 3.8 | 30 | 74 | Unknown | Strategic Location & Green Belt |
| BDC259 | Land to north of A456 & east of Monument Lane | Hagley | Site Submission | 5 | 0.98 | 30 | 10 | Unknown | Strategic Location & Green Belt |
| BDC261 | Hill Cottage, Scarfield Hill, Alvechurch | Alvechurch | Site Submission | 5 | 0.04 | 30 | 1 | Unknown | Site Size & Green Belt |
| BDC271 | Goodrest Lane | Kings Norton | Site Submission | 5 | 2.56 | 30 | 77 | Unknown | Strategic Location & Green Belt |
| BDC277 | Land adj to J4 of the M5 Motorway | Lydiat Ash | Site Submission | 5 | 3.23 | 30 | 120 | Unknown | Strategic Location & Green Belt |
| BDC282 | Alcester Road, Portway | Beoley | Site Submission | 5 | 4.7 | 30 | 150 | Unknown | Strategic Location & Green Belt |

Appendix G: Site Appraisal Matrix

Sites in Bromsgrove (including Lickey End)

| Appraisal Criteria | | Site Reference | BDC2 | BDC3 | BDC12 | BDC13 | BDC19 | BDC27 |
|---|--|----------------|---------------|---------|-----------------|--------------|-----------------------|---------|
| SITE SUITABILITY ASSESSMENT | | | | | | | | |
| Site size (in hectare) | | | 0.73 | 6.9 | 13 | 0.59 | 15.5 | 5.73 |
| Stage A | Strategic Policy | | | | | | | |
| | Biodiversity, Geodiversity & Heritage | | | | | | | |
| | Land at risk of flooding | | | | | | | |
| Stage B | Impact on the historic, cultural & built environment | | | | | | | |
| | Access to public transport | | | | | | | |
| | Access to primary school | | | | | | | |
| | Access to local retail facilities | | | | | | | |
| | Access to health facilities | | | | | | | |
| | Contamination on site | | | | | | | |
| | Landscape & Trees | | | | | | | |
| | Public Rights of Way | | | | | | | |
| | Physical constraints | | | | | | | |
| | Open space & recreation | | | | | | | |
| | Employment Land | | | | | | | |
| | Infrastructure capacity | | | | | | | |
| | Highway access | | | | | | | |
| | Green Belt | | | | | | | |
| | Compatibility with adjoining uses | | | | | | | |
| SITE AVAILABILITY ASSESSMENT | | | | | | | | |
| Is the site immediately available for development? | | | | | | | | |
| What is the predominant land type? | | Agricultural | Sports Ground | Grazing | Office, Storage | Agricultural | Pasture and Scrubland | |
| SITE ACHIEVABILITY ASSESSMENT | | | | | | | | |
| Willingness of landowner to progress site for development | | | | | | | | |
| Appropriate timeframe for development | | Unknown | Unknown | Unknown | Unknown | Unknown | Unknown | Unknown |
| POTENTIAL RESIDENTIAL YIELD | | | | | | | | |
| Potential yield based on SHLAA Methodology | | | 19 | 135 | 254 | 15 | 302 | 111 |

Sites in Bromsgrove (including Lickey End) *continued*

| Appraisal Criteria | | Site Reference | BDC41 | BDC45 | BDC57 | BDC69 (A, B & C) | BDC79 | BDC97 |
|---|--|--------------------|---------|---------|----------------------|---------------------|--------------|---------|
| SITE SUITABILITY ASSESSMENT | | | | | | | | |
| Site size (in hectare) | | | 0.44 | 0.26 | 0.33 | 2.02 | 2.63 | 40.87 |
| Stage A | Strategic Policy | | | | | | | |
| | Biodiversity, Geodiversity & Heritage | | | | | | | |
| | Land at risk of flooding | | | | | | | |
| Stage B | Impact on the historic, cultural & built environment | | | | | | | |
| | Access to public transport | | | | | | | |
| | Access to primary school | | | | | | | |
| | Access to local retail facilities | | | | | | | |
| | Access to health facilities | | | | | | | |
| | Contamination on site | | | | | | | |
| | Landscape & Trees | | | | | | | |
| | Public Rights of Way | | | | | | | |
| | Physical constraints | | | | | | | |
| | Open space & recreation | | | | | | | |
| | Employment Land | | | | | | | |
| | Infrastructure capacity | | | | | | | |
| | Highway access | | | | | | | |
| | Green Belt | | | | | | | |
| | Compatibility with adjoining uses | | | | | | | |
| SITE AVAILABILITY ASSESSMENT | | | | | | | | |
| Is the site immediately available for development? | | | | | | | | |
| What is the predominant land type? | | Gardens and Garage | Office | Housing | Garden and Scrubland | Storage, Grazing | Agricultural | |
| SITE ACHIEVABILITY ASSESSMENT | | | | | | | | |
| Willingness of landowner to progress site for development | | | | | | | | |
| Appropriate timeframe for development | | Unknown | Unknown | Unknown | Unknown | Unknown | Unknown | Unknown |
| POTENTIAL RESIDENTIAL YIELD | | | | | | | | |
| Potential yield based on SHLAA Methodology | | 11 | 13 | 12 | 51 | 51 | 797 | |

Sites in Bromsgrove (including Lickey End) *continued*

| Appraisal Criteria | | Site Reference | BDC146 | BDC148 | BDC149 | BDC152 | BDC21 | BDC81A |
|---|--|----------------|---------------------|----------------------|-------------|--------------|--------------|--------|
| SITE SUITABILITY ASSESSMENT | | | | | | | | |
| Site size (in hectare) | | | 1.56 | 0.8 | 0.13 | 0.1052 | 23.88 | 12 |
| Stage A | Strategic Policy | | | | | | | |
| | Biodiversity, Geodiversity & Heritage | | | | | | | |
| | Land at risk of flooding | | | | | | | |
| Stage B | Impact on the historic, cultural & built environment | | | | | | | |
| | Access to public transport | | | | | | | |
| | Access to primary school | | | | | | | |
| | Access to local retail facilities | | | | | | | |
| | Access to health facilities | | | | | | | |
| | Contamination on site | | | | | | | |
| | Landscape & Trees | | | | | | | |
| | Public Rights of Way | | | | | | | |
| | Physical constraints | | | | | | | |
| | Open space & recreation | | | | | | | |
| | Employment Land | | | | | | | |
| | Infrastructure capacity | | | | | | | |
| | Highway access | | | | | | | |
| | Green Belt | | | | | | | |
| | Compatibility with adjoining uses | | | | | | | |
| SITE AVAILABILITY ASSESSMENT | | | | | | | | |
| Is the site immediately available for development? | | | | | | | | |
| What is the predominant land type? | | Scrubland | Old School Building | Stone Sculpture Shop | Residential | Agricultural | Agricultural | |
| SITE ACHIEVABILITY ASSESSMENT | | | | | | | | |
| Willingness of landowner to progress site for development | | | | | | | | |
| Appropriate timeframe for development | | Unknown | Unknown | Unknown | Unknown | Unknown | 0-10 years | |
| POTENTIAL RESIDENTIAL YIELD | | | | | | | | |
| Potential yield based on SHLAA Methodology | | 40 | 9 | 9 | 5 | 466 | 318 | |

Sites in Bromsgrove (including Lickey End) *continued*

| Appraisal Criteria | | Site Reference | BDC81B | BDC23A | BDC23B | BDC80 | BDC20 | BDC143 |
|---|--|----------------|--------------|--------------|--------------|--------------|--------------|--------------|
| SITE SUITABILITY ASSESSMENT | | | | | | | | |
| Site size (in hectare) | | | 6 | 6.94 | 0.908 | 24 | 64.4 | 0.629 |
| Stage A | Strategic Policy | | | | | | | |
| | Biodiversity, Geodiversity & Heritage | | | | | | | |
| | Land at risk of flooding | | | | | | | |
| Stage B | Impact on the historic, cultural & built environment | | | | | | | |
| | Access to public transport | | | | | | | |
| | Access to primary school | | | | | | | |
| | Access to local retail facilities | | | | | | | |
| | Access to health facilities | | | | | | | |
| | Contamination on site | | | | | | | |
| | Landscape & Trees | | | | | | | |
| | Public Rights of Way | | | | | | | |
| | Physical constraints | | | | | | | |
| | Open space & recreation | | | | | | | |
| | Employment Land | | | | | | | |
| | Infrastructure capacity | | | | | | | |
| | Highway access | | | | | | | |
| | Green Belt | | | | | | | |
| | Compatibility with adjoining uses | | | | | | | |
| SITE AVAILABILITY ASSESSMENT | | | | | | | | |
| Is the site immediately available for development? | | | | | | | | |
| What is the predominant land type? | | Agricultural | Agricultural | Agricultural | Agricultural | Agricultural | Agricultural | Agricultural |
| SITE ACHIEVABILITY ASSESSMENT | | | | | | | | |
| Willingness of landowner to progress site for development | | | | | | | | |
| Appropriate timeframe for development | | Unknown | Unknown | Unknown | 0-10 years | 0-16 years | Unknown | |
| POTENTIAL RESIDENTIAL YIELD | | | | | | | | |
| Potential yield based on SHLAA Methodology | | 117 | 135 | 24 | 490 | 1300 | 16 | |

Sites in Bromsgrove (including Lickey End) *continued*

| Appraisal Criteria | | Site Reference | BDC165 | BDC166 | BDC163 | BDC251 | BDC192 | |
|---|--|----------------|-------------------|-----------------|------------------|-------------|--------|--|
| SITE SUITABILITY ASSESSMENT | | | | | | | | |
| Site size (in hectare) | | | 0.33 | 0.29 | 0.48 | 0.3 | 0.25 | |
| Stage A | Strategic Policy | | | | | | | |
| | Biodiversity, Geodiversity & Heritage | | | | | | | |
| | Land at risk of flooding | | | | | | | |
| Stage B | Impact on the historic, cultural & built environment | | | | | | | |
| | Access to public transport | | | | | | | |
| | Access to primary school | | | | | | | |
| | Access to local retail facilities | | | | | | | |
| | Access to health facilities | | | | | | | |
| | Contamination on site | | | | | | | |
| | Landscape & Trees | | | | | | | |
| | Public Rights of Way | | | | | | | |
| | Physical constraints | | | | | | | |
| | Open space & recreation | | | | | | | |
| | Employment Land | | | | | | | |
| | Infrastructure capacity | | | | | | | |
| | Highway access | | | | | | | |
| | Green Belt | | | | | | | |
| | Compatibility with adjoining uses | | | | | | | |
| SITE AVAILABILITY ASSESSMENT | | | | | | | | |
| Is the site immediately available for development? | | | | | | | | |
| What is the predominant land type? | | Agricultural | Former Car Garage | Training Centre | Vacant Buildings | Residential | | |
| SITE ACHIEVABILITY ASSESSMENT | | | | | | | | |
| Willingness of landowner to progress site for development | | | | | | | | |
| Appropriate timeframe for development | | Unknown | Unknown | 0-5 years | 0-5 years | 0-5 years | | |
| POTENTIAL RESIDENTIAL YIELD | | | | | | | | |
| Potential yield based on SHLAA Methodology | | 10 | 15 | 12 | 176 | 12 | | |

Sites in Bromsgrove (including Lickey End) *continued*

| Appraisal Criteria | | Site Reference | BDC168 | BDC195 | BDC206 | BDC217 | BDC242 | BDC241 |
|---|--|----------------|----------|---------|---------|--------------|---------|--------|
| SITE SUITABILITY ASSESSMENT | | | | | | | | |
| Site size (in hectare) | | | 1.21 | 0.096 | 4.17 | 2.74 | 5.5 | 13.2 |
| Stage A | Strategic Policy | | | | | | | |
| | Biodiversity, Geodiversity & Heritage | | | | | | | |
| | Land at risk of flooding | | | | | | | |
| Stage B | Impact on the historic, cultural & built environment | | | | | | | |
| | Access to public transport | | | | | | | |
| | Access to primary school | | | | | | | |
| | Access to local retail facilities | | | | | | | |
| | Access to health facilities | | | | | | | |
| | Contamination on site | | | | | | | |
| | Landscape & Trees | | | | | | | |
| | Public Rights of Way | | | | | | | |
| | Physical constraints | | | | | | | |
| | Open space & recreation | | | | | | | |
| | Employment Land | | | | | | | |
| | Infrastructure capacity | | | | | | | |
| | Highway access | | | | | | | |
| | Green Belt | | | | | | | |
| | Compatibility with adjoining uses | | | | | | | |
| SITE AVAILABILITY ASSESSMENT | | | | | | | | |
| Is the site immediately available for development? | | | | | | | | |
| What is the predominant land type? | | Council House | Retail | Grazing | Grazing | Agricultural | Mixed | |
| SITE ACHIEVABILITY ASSESSMENT | | | | | | | | |
| Willingness of landowner to progress site for development | | | | | | | | |
| Appropriate timeframe for development | | 0-5 years | 0-5years | Unknown | Unknown | Unknown | Unknown | |
| POTENTIAL RESIDENTIAL YIELD | | | | | | | | |
| Potential yield based on SHLAA Methodology | | 51 | 12 | 81 | 53 | 107 | 257 | |

Sites in Hagley and Clent

| Appraisal Criteria | | Site Reference | BDC35A | BDC10 | BDC51 | BDC198 | BDC53 | BDC102 |
|---|--|----------------|--------------|-------------|--------------|---------------|------------------------------|--------|
| SITE SUITABILITY ASSESSMENT | | | | | | | | |
| Site size (in hectare) | | | 9.62 | 2.5 | 1.44 | 2.4 | 1.25 | 0.239 |
| Stage A | Strategic Policy | | | | | | | |
| | Biodiversity, Geodiversity & Heritage | | | | | | | |
| | Land at risk of flooding | | | | | | | |
| Stage B | Impact on the historic, cultural & built environment | | | | | | | |
| | Access to public transport | | | | | | | |
| | Access to primary school | | | | | | | |
| | Access to local retail facilities | | | | | | | |
| | Access to health facilities | | | | | | | |
| | Contamination on site | | | | | | | |
| | Landscape & Trees | | | | | | | |
| | Public Rights of Way | | | | | | | |
| | Physical constraints | | | | | | | |
| | Open space & recreation | | | | | | | |
| | Employment Land | | | | | | | |
| | Infrastructure capacity | | | | | | | |
| | Highway access | | | | | | | |
| | Green Belt | | | | | | | |
| | Compatibility with adjoining uses | | | | | | | |
| SITE AVAILABILITY ASSESSMENT | | | | | | | | |
| Is the site immediately available for development? | | | | | | | | |
| What is the predominant land type? | | Agricultural | Agricultural | Residential | Agricultural | Horse Grazing | Car Dealership & Residential | |
| SITE ACHIEVABILITY ASSESSMENT | | | | | | | | |
| Willingness of landowner to progress site for development | | | | | | | | |
| Appropriate timeframe for development | | Unknown | Unknown | 0-5 years | Unknown | Unknown | 0-5 years | |
| POTENTIAL RESIDENTIAL YIELD | | | | | | | | |
| Potential yield based on SHLAA Methodology | | 188 | 65 | 25 | 82 | 43 | 12 | |

Sites in Hagley and Clent *continued*

| Appraisal Criteria | | Site Reference | BDC34 | BDC156 | BDC160 | BD185 | BDC212 | BDC213 |
|---|--|----------------|--------------|---------------|--------------|---------|---------|--------|
| SITE SUITABILITY ASSESSMENT | | | | | | | | |
| Site size (in hectare) | | | 1.25 | 4 | 0.6 | 32.45 | 1.54 | 5.59 |
| Stage A | Strategic Policy | | | | | | | |
| | Biodiversity, Geodiversity & Heritage | | | | | | | |
| | Land at risk of flooding | | | | | | | |
| Stage B | Impact on the historic, cultural & built environment | | | | | | | |
| | Access to public transport | | | | | | | |
| | Access to primary school | | | | | | | |
| | Access to local retail facilities | | | | | | | |
| | Access to health facilities | | | | | | | |
| | Contamination on site | | | | | | | |
| | Landscape & Trees | | | | | | | |
| | Public Rights of Way | | | | | | | |
| | Physical constraints | | | | | | | |
| | Open space & recreation | | | | | | | |
| | Employment Land | | | | | | | |
| | Infrastructure capacity | | | | | | | |
| | Highway access | | | | | | | |
| | Green Belt | | | | | | | |
| | Compatibility with adjoining uses | | | | | | | |
| SITE AVAILABILITY ASSESSMENT | | | | | | | | |
| Is the site immediately available for development? | | | | | | | | |
| What is the predominant land type? | | Agricultural | Agricultural | Former School | Agricultural | Grazing | Grazing | |
| SITE ACHIEVABILITY ASSESSMENT | | | | | | | | |
| Willingness of landowner to progress site for development | | | | | | | | |
| Appropriate timeframe for development | | Unknown | Unknown | 0-5 years | Unknown | Unknown | Unknown | |
| POTENTIAL RESIDENTIAL YIELD | | | | | | | | |
| Potential yield based on SHLAA Methodology | | 21 | 78 | 44 | 300 | 40 | 109 | |

Sites in Hagley and Clent *continued*

| Appraisal Criteria | | Site Reference | BDC256 | BDC257 | BDC259 | | | |
|---|--|----------------|---------|---------|---------|--|--|--|
| SITE SUITABILITY ASSESSMENT | | | | | | | | |
| Site size (in hectare) | | | 0.17 | 0.89 | 0.98 | | | |
| Stage A | Strategic Policy | | | | | | | |
| | Biodiversity, Geodiversity & Heritage | | | | | | | |
| | Land at risk of flooding | | | | | | | |
| Stage B | Impact on the historic, cultural & built environment | | | | | | | |
| | Access to public transport | | | | | | | |
| | Access to primary school | | | | | | | |
| | Access to local retail facilities | | | | | | | |
| | Access to health facilities | | | | | | | |
| | Contamination on site | | | | | | | |
| | Landscape & Trees | | | | | | | |
| | Public Rights of Way | | | | | | | |
| | Physical constraints | | | | | | | |
| | Open space & recreation | | | | | | | |
| | Employment Land | | | | | | | |
| | Infrastructure capacity | | | | | | | |
| | Highway access | | | | | | | |
| | Green Belt | | | | | | | |
| | Compatibility with adjoining uses | | | | | | | |
| SITE AVAILABILITY ASSESSMENT | | | | | | | | |
| Is the site immediately available for development? | | | | | | | | |
| What is the predominant land type? | | | Grazing | Grazing | Grazing | | | |
| SITE ACHIEVABILITY ASSESSMENT | | | | | | | | |
| Willingness of landowner to progress site for development | | | | | | | | |
| Appropriate timeframe for development | | | Unknown | Unknown | Unknown | | | |
| POTENTIAL RESIDENTIAL YIELD | | | | | | | | |
| Potential yield based on SHLAA Methodology | | | 20 | 45 | 10 | | | |

Sites in Blackwell, Burcot and Beoley

| Appraisal Criteria | | Site Reference | BDC8 | BDC90 | BDC109 | BDC124 | BDC154 | BDC178 |
|---|--|---------------------------|--------------|---------|-------------------------|------------------------|--------------|---------|
| SITE SUITABILITY ASSESSMENT | | | | | | | | |
| Site size (in hectare) | | | 0.7 | 6.7 | 0.34 | 2 | 1.52 | 1.78 |
| Stage A | Strategic Policy | | | | | | | |
| | Biodiversity, Geodiversity & Heritage | | | | | | | |
| | Land at risk of flooding | | | | | | | |
| Stage B | Impact on the historic, cultural & built environment | | | | | | | |
| | Access to public transport | | | | | | | |
| | Access to primary school | | | | | | | |
| | Access to local retail facilities | | | | | | | |
| | Access to health facilities | | | | | | | |
| | Contamination on site | | | | | | | |
| | Landscape & Trees | | | | | | | |
| | Public Rights of Way | | | | | | | |
| | Physical constraints | | | | | | | |
| | Open space & recreation | | | | | | | |
| | Employment Land | | | | | | | |
| | Infrastructure capacity | | | | | | | |
| | Highway access | | | | | | | |
| | Green Belt | | | | | | | |
| | Compatibility with adjoining uses | | | | | | | |
| SITE AVAILABILITY ASSESSMENT | | | | | | | | |
| Is the site immediately available for development? | | | | | | | | |
| What is the predominant land type? | | Access to Station Cottage | Agricultural | Gardens | Gardens, Housing, Field | Agricultural Scrubland | Agricultural | |
| SITE ACHIEVABILITY ASSESSMENT | | | | | | | | |
| Willingness of landowner to progress site for development | | | | | | | | |
| Appropriate timeframe for development | | Unknown | Unknown | Unknown | Unknown | Unknown | Unknown | Unknown |
| POTENTIAL RESIDENTIAL YIELD | | | | | | | | |
| Potential yield based on SHLAA Methodology | | 10 | 55 | 4 | 24 | 45 | 45 | |

Sites in Blackwell, Burcot and Beoley *continued*

| Appraisal Criteria | | Site Reference | BDC215 | BDC216 | BDC239 | BDC243 | BDC250 | BDC252 |
|---|--|----------------------|--------------|-------------------------|---------------|-----------|-----------|--------|
| SITE SUITABILITY ASSESSMENT | | | | | | | | |
| Site size (in hectare) | | | 0.38 | 4.21 | 1.34 | 0.67 | 2.1 | 1.93 |
| Stage A | Strategic Policy | | | | | | | |
| | Biodiversity, Geodiversity & Heritage | | | | | | | |
| | Land at risk of flooding | | | | | | | |
| Stage B | Impact on the historic, cultural & built environment | | | | | | | |
| | Access to public transport | | | | | | | |
| | Access to primary school | | | | | | | |
| | Access to local retail facilities | | | | | | | |
| | Access to health facilities | | | | | | | |
| | Contamination on site | | | | | | | |
| | Landscape & Trees | | | | | | | |
| | Public Rights of Way | | | | | | | |
| | Physical constraints | | | | | | | |
| | Open space & recreation | | | | | | | |
| | Employment Land | | | | | | | |
| | Infrastructure capacity | | | | | | | |
| | Highway access | | | | | | | |
| | Green Belt | | | | | | | |
| | Compatibility with adjoining uses | | | | | | | |
| SITE AVAILABILITY ASSESSMENT | | | | | | | | |
| Is the site immediately available for development? | | | | | | | | |
| What is the predominant land type? | | Vacant Overgrown PDL | Agricultural | Grazing and Residential | Garden Centre | Care Home | Care Home | |
| SITE ACHIEVABILITY ASSESSMENT | | | | | | | | |
| Willingness of landowner to progress site for development | | | | | | | | |
| Appropriate timeframe for development | | 0-5 years | Unknown | Unknown | Unknown | 0-5 years | 0-5 years | |
| POTENTIAL RESIDENTIAL YIELD | | | | | | | | |
| Potential yield based on SHLAA Methodology | | 7 | 82 | 34 | 20 | 12 | 64 | |

Sites in Blackwell, Burcot and Beoley *continued*

| Appraisal Criteria | | Site Reference | BDC265 | BDC268 | BDC282 | | | |
|---|--|----------------|---------|--------------|--------|--|--|--|
| SITE SUITABILITY ASSESSMENT | | | | | | | | |
| Site size (in hectare) | | | 0.67 | 0.45 | 4.7 | | | |
| Stage A | Strategic Policy | | | | | | | |
| | Biodiversity, Geodiversity & Heritage | | | | | | | |
| | Land at risk of flooding | | | | | | | |
| Stage B | Impact on the historic, cultural & built environment | | | | | | | |
| | Access to public transport | | | | | | | |
| | Access to primary school | | | | | | | |
| | Access to local retail facilities | | | | | | | |
| | Access to health facilities | | | | | | | |
| | Contamination on site | | | | | | | |
| | Landscape & Trees | | | | | | | |
| | Public Rights of Way | | | | | | | |
| | Physical constraints | | | | | | | |
| | Open space & recreation | | | | | | | |
| | Employment Land | | | | | | | |
| | Infrastructure capacity | | | | | | | |
| | Highway access | | | | | | | |
| | Green Belt | | | | | | | |
| | Compatibility with adjoining uses | | | | | | | |
| SITE AVAILABILITY ASSESSMENT | | | | | | | | |
| Is the site immediately available for development? | | | | | | | | |
| What is the predominant land type? | | Garden Centre | Grazing | Agricultural | | | | |
| SITE ACHIEVABILITY ASSESSMENT | | | | | | | | |
| Willingness of landowner to progress site for development | | | | | | | | |
| Appropriate timeframe for development | | 0-5 years | Unknown | Unknown | | | | |
| POTENTIAL RESIDENTIAL YIELD | | | | | | | | |
| Potential yield based on SHLAA Methodology | | 10 | 1 | 150 | | | | |

Sites in Barnt Green (including Lickey)

| Appraisal Criteria | | Site Reference | BDC6 | BDC104 | BDC105 | BDC106 | BDC182 | BDC227 |
|---|--|----------------|-------------------------|-------------------------|----------------------|---------|----------|---------|
| SITE SUITABILITY ASSESSMENT | | | | | | | | |
| Site size (in hectare) | | | 2 | 28 | 2 | 2.3 | 4.3 | 3.44 |
| Stage A | Strategic Policy | | | | | | | |
| | Biodiversity, Geodiversity & Heritage | | | | | | | |
| | Land at risk of flooding | | | | | | | |
| Stage B | Impact on the historic, cultural & built environment | | | | | | | |
| | Access to public transport | | | | | | | |
| | Access to primary school | | | | | | | |
| | Access to local retail facilities | | | | | | | |
| | Access to health facilities | | | | | | | |
| | Contamination on site | | | | | | | |
| | Landscape & Trees | | | | | | | |
| | Public Rights of Way | | | | | | | |
| | Physical constraints | | | | | | | |
| | Open space & recreation | | | | | | | |
| | Employment Land | | | | | | | |
| | Infrastructure capacity | | | | | | | |
| | Highway access | | | | | | | |
| | Green Belt | | | | | | | |
| | Compatibility with adjoining uses | | | | | | | |
| SITE AVAILABILITY ASSESSMENT | | | | | | | | |
| Is the site immediately available for development? | | | | | | | | |
| What is the predominant land type? | | House, Garden | Agricultural, Grassland | Agricultural, Grassland | House, Garden, Field | Vacant | Woodland | |
| SITE ACHIEVABILITY ASSESSMENT | | | | | | | | |
| Willingness of landowner to progress site for development | | | | | | | | |
| Appropriate timeframe for development | | Unknown | Unknown | Unknown | Unknown | Unknown | Unknown | Unknown |
| POTENTIAL RESIDENTIAL YIELD | | | | | | | | |
| Potential yield based on SHLAA Methodology | | | 39 | 546 | 39 | 30 | 70 | 67 |

Sites in Barnt Green (including Lickey) *continued*

| Appraisal Criteria | | Site Reference | BDC237 | BDC238 | BDC254 | | | |
|---|--|----------------|---------|---------|-------------|--|--|--|
| SITE SUITABILITY ASSESSMENT | | | | | | | | |
| Site size (in hectare) | | | 0.86 | 0.72 | 1.0 | | | |
| Stage A | Strategic Policy | | | | | | | |
| | Biodiversity, Geodiversity & Heritage | | | | | | | |
| | Land at risk of flooding | | | | | | | |
| Stage B | Impact on the historic, cultural & built environment | | | | | | | |
| | Access to public transport | | | | | | | |
| | Access to primary school | | | | | | | |
| | Access to local retail facilities | | | | | | | |
| | Access to health facilities | | | | | | | |
| | Contamination on site | | | | | | | |
| | Landscape & Trees | | | | | | | |
| | Public Rights of Way | | | | | | | |
| | Physical constraints | | | | | | | |
| | Open space & recreation | | | | | | | |
| | Employment Land | | | | | | | |
| | Infrastructure capacity | | | | | | | |
| | Highway access | | | | | | | |
| | Green Belt | | | | | | | |
| | Compatibility with adjoining uses | | | | | | | |
| SITE AVAILABILITY ASSESSMENT | | | | | | | | |
| Is the site immediately available for development? | | | | | | | | |
| What is the predominant land type? | | | Grazing | Grazing | Agriculture | | | |
| SITE ACHIEVABILITY ASSESSMENT | | | | | | | | |
| Willingness of landowner to progress site for development | | | | | | | | |
| Appropriate timeframe for development | | | Unknown | Unknown | Unknown | | | |
| POTENTIAL RESIDENTIAL YIELD | | | | | | | | |
| Potential yield based on SHLAA Methodology | | | 26 | 22 | 30 | | | |

Sites in Alvechurch

| Appraisal Criteria | | Site Reference | BDC5 | BDC58 | BDC88 | BDC89 | BDC95 | BDC151 |
|---|--|----------------|---------|-------------------------|-------------------------|----------------|-------------------------|--------|
| SITE SUITABILITY ASSESSMENT | | | | | | | | |
| Site size (in hectare) | | | 0.45 | 2.5 | 2 | 3 | 0.25 | 2.75 |
| Stage A | Strategic Policy | | | | | | | |
| | Biodiversity, Geodiversity & Heritage | | | | | | | |
| | Land at risk of flooding | | | | | | | |
| Stage B | Impact on the historic, cultural & built environment | | | | | | | |
| | Access to public transport | | | | | | | |
| | Access to primary school | | | | | | | |
| | Access to local retail facilities | | | | | | | |
| | Access to health facilities | | | | | | | |
| | Contamination on site | | | | | | | |
| | Landscape & Trees | | | | | | | |
| | Public Rights of Way | | | | | | | |
| | Physical constraints | | | | | | | |
| | Open space & recreation | | | | | | | |
| | Employment Land | | | | | | | |
| | Infrastructure capacity | | | | | | | |
| | Highway access | | | | | | | |
| | Green Belt | | | | | | | |
| | Compatibility with adjoining uses | | | | | | | |
| SITE AVAILABILITY ASSESSMENT | | | | | | | | |
| Is the site immediately available for development? | | | | | | | | |
| What is the predominant land type? | | Scrubland | Storage | Agricultural, Grassland | Agricultural, Grassland | Garage, Garden | Agricultural, Grassland | |
| SITE ACHIEVABILITY ASSESSMENT | | | | | | | | |
| Willingness of landowner to progress site for development | | | | | | | | |
| Appropriate timeframe for development | | Unknown | Unknown | Unknown | Unknown | 0-5 years | Unknown | |
| POTENTIAL RESIDENTIAL YIELD | | | | | | | | |
| Potential yield based on SHLAA Methodology | | | 15 | 49 | 52 | 78 | 10 | 56 |

Sites in Alvechurch *continued*

| Appraisal Criteria | | Site Reference | BDC197 | BDC131 | BDC175 | BDC190 | BDC219 | BDC235 |
|---|--|----------------|--------------|--------------|--------------|--------------|--------------|--------------|
| SITE SUITABILITY ASSESSMENT | | | | | | | | |
| Site size (in hectare) | | | 2.4 | 2.8 | 2.95 | 0.4 | 7.92 | 3.27 |
| Stage A | Strategic Policy | | | | | | | |
| | Biodiversity, Geodiversity & Heritage | | | | | | | |
| | Land at risk of flooding | | | | | | | |
| Stage B | Impact on the historic, cultural & built environment | | | | | | | |
| | Access to public transport | | | | | | | |
| | Access to primary school | | | | | | | |
| | Access to local retail facilities | | | | | | | |
| | Access to health facilities | | | | | | | |
| | Contamination on site | | | | | | | |
| | Landscape & Trees | | | | | | | |
| | Public Rights of Way | | | | | | | |
| | Physical constraints | | | | | | | |
| | Open space & recreation | | | | | | | |
| | Employment Land | | | | | | | |
| | Infrastructure capacity | | | | | | | |
| | Highway access | | | | | | | |
| | Green Belt | | | | | | | |
| | Compatibility with adjoining uses | | | | | | | |
| SITE AVAILABILITY ASSESSMENT | | | | | | | | |
| Is the site immediately available for development? | | | | | | | | |
| What is the predominant land type? | | Agricultural | Agricultural | Agricultural | Agricultural | Agricultural | Agricultural | Agricultural |
| SITE ACHIEVABILITY ASSESSMENT | | | | | | | | |
| Willingness of landowner to progress site for development | | | | | | | | |
| Appropriate timeframe for development | | Unknown | Unknown | Unknown | Unknown | Unknown | Unknown | Unknown |
| POTENTIAL RESIDENTIAL YIELD | | | | | | | | |
| Potential yield based on SHLAA Methodology | | 47 | 55 | 57 | 12 | 154 | 64 | |

Sites in Alvechurch *continued*

| Appraisal Criteria | | Site Reference | BDC236 | BDC260 | BDC261 | BDC262 | BDC263 | BDC264 |
|---|--|----------------|--------------|-------------|---------------------------|--------------|-------------------|--------|
| SITE SUITABILITY ASSESSMENT | | | | | | | | |
| Site size (in hectare) | | | 12.7 | 0.39 | 0.04 | 0.61 | 0.25 | 0.08 |
| Stage A | Strategic Policy | | | | | | | |
| | Biodiversity, Geodiversity & Heritage | | | | | | | |
| | Land at risk of flooding | | | | | | | |
| Stage B | Impact on the historic, cultural & built environment | | | | | | | |
| | Access to public transport | | | | | | | |
| | Access to primary school | | | | | | | |
| | Access to local retail facilities | | | | | | | |
| | Access to health facilities | | | | | | | |
| | Contamination on site | | | | | | | |
| | Landscape & Trees | | | | | | | |
| | Public Rights of Way | | | | | | | |
| | Physical constraints | | | | | | | |
| | Open space & recreation | | | | | | | |
| | Employment Land | | | | | | | |
| | Infrastructure capacity | | | | | | | |
| | Highway access | | | | | | | |
| | Green Belt | | | | | | | |
| | Compatibility with adjoining uses | | | | | | | |
| SITE AVAILABILITY ASSESSMENT | | | | | | | | |
| Is the site immediately available for development? | | | | | | | | |
| What is the predominant land type? | | Agricultural | Agricultural | Garden Land | Employment and Recreation | Agricultural | Residential/Rural | |
| SITE ACHIEVABILITY ASSESSMENT | | | | | | | | |
| Willingness of landowner to progress site for development | | | | | | | | |
| Appropriate timeframe for development | | Unknown | Unknown | Unknown | Unknown | Unknown | Unknown | |
| POTENTIAL RESIDENTIAL YIELD | | | | | | | | |
| Potential yield based on SHLAA Methodology | | 100 | 25 | 1 | 45 | 5 | 1 | |

Sites in Alvechurch *continued*

| Appraisal Criteria | | Site Reference | BDC266 | BDC281 | | | | |
|---|--|----------------|------------------------|--------------|--|--|--|--|
| SITE SUITABILITY ASSESSMENT | | | | | | | | |
| Site size (in hectare) | | | 1.17 | 1.41 | | | | |
| Stage A | Strategic Policy | | | | | | | |
| | Biodiversity, Geodiversity & Heritage | | | | | | | |
| | Land at risk of flooding | | | | | | | |
| Stage B | Impact on the historic, cultural & built environment | | | | | | | |
| | Access to public transport | | | | | | | |
| | Access to primary school | | | | | | | |
| | Access to local retail facilities | | | | | | | |
| | Access to health facilities | | | | | | | |
| | Contamination on site | | | | | | | |
| | Landscape & Trees | | | | | | | |
| | Public Rights of Way | | | | | | | |
| | Physical constraints | | | | | | | |
| | Open space & recreation | | | | | | | |
| | Employment Land | | | | | | | |
| | Infrastructure capacity | | | | | | | |
| | Highway access | | | | | | | |
| | Green Belt | | | | | | | |
| | Compatibility with adjoining uses | | | | | | | |
| SITE AVAILABILITY ASSESSMENT | | | | | | | | |
| Is the site immediately available for development? | | | | | | | | |
| What is the predominant land type? | | | Car Park and Scrubland | Agricultural | | | | |
| SITE ACHIEVABILITY ASSESSMENT | | | | | | | | |
| Willingness of landowner to progress site for development | | | | | | | | |
| Appropriate timeframe for development | | | Unknown | Unknown | | | | |
| POTENTIAL RESIDENTIAL YIELD | | | | | | | | |
| Potential yield based on SHLAA Methodology | | | 20 | 6 | | | | |

Sites in Stoke Prior

| Appraisal Criteria | | Site Reference | BDC150 | BDC145 | BDC164 | BDC139 | BDC186 | |
|---|--|-------------------------|---------------------------|--------------|--------------|--------------|--------|--|
| SITE SUITABILITY ASSESSMENT | | | | | | | | |
| Site size (in hectare) | | | 0.48 | 14.163 | 5.034 | 18.6 | 1.21 | |
| Stage A | Strategic Policy | | | | | | | |
| | Biodiversity, Geodiversity & Heritage | | | | | | | |
| | Land at risk of flooding | | | | | | | |
| Stage B | Impact on the historic, cultural & built environment | | | | | | | |
| | Access to public transport | | | | | | | |
| | Access to primary school | | | | | | | |
| | Access to local retail facilities | | | | | | | |
| | Access to health facilities | | | | | | | |
| | Contamination on site | | | | | | | |
| | Landscape & Trees | | | | | | | |
| | Public Rights of Way | | | | | | | |
| | Physical constraints | | | | | | | |
| | Open space & recreation | | | | | | | |
| | Employment Land | | | | | | | |
| | Infrastructure capacity | | | | | | | |
| | Highway access | | | | | | | |
| | Green Belt | | | | | | | |
| | Compatibility with adjoining uses | | | | | | | |
| SITE AVAILABILITY ASSESSMENT | | | | | | | | |
| Is the site immediately available for development? | | | | | | | | |
| What is the predominant land type? | | Derelict Farm Buildings | Employment and Recreation | Agricultural | Agricultural | Agricultural | | |
| SITE ACHIEVABILITY ASSESSMENT | | | | | | | | |
| Willingness of landowner to progress site for development | | | | | | | | |
| Appropriate timeframe for development | | Unknown | Unknown | Unknown | Unknown | Unknown | | |
| POTENTIAL RESIDENTIAL YIELD | | | | | | | | |
| Potential yield based on SHLAA Methodology | | 12 | 276 | 131 | 483 | 31 | | |

Sites in Rubery, Cofton Hackett and Frankley

| Appraisal Criteria | | Site Reference | BDC78 | BDC65 | BDC184 | BDC176 | FR4 | BDC245 |
|---|--|----------------|-------------|---------------|--------------|-------------|--------------|--------|
| SITE SUITABILITY ASSESSMENT | | | | | | | | |
| Site size (in hectare) | | | 2.7 | 3.5 | 2.65 | 5.6 | 6.6 | 26.2 |
| Stage A | Strategic Policy | | | | | | | |
| | Biodiversity, Geodiversity & Heritage | | | | | | | |
| | Land at risk of flooding | | | | | | | |
| Stage B | Impact on the historic, cultural & built environment | | | | | | | |
| | Access to public transport | | | | | | | |
| | Access to primary school | | | | | | | |
| | Access to local retail facilities | | | | | | | |
| | Access to health facilities | | | | | | | |
| | Contamination on site | | | | | | | |
| | Landscape & Trees | | | | | | | |
| | Public Rights of Way | | | | | | | |
| | Physical constraints | | | | | | | |
| | Open space & recreation | | | | | | | |
| | Employment Land | | | | | | | |
| | Infrastructure capacity | | | | | | | |
| | Highway access | | | | | | | |
| | Green Belt | | | | | | | |
| | Compatibility with adjoining uses | | | | | | | |
| SITE AVAILABILITY ASSESSMENT | | | | | | | | |
| Is the site immediately available for development? | | | | | | | | |
| What is the predominant land type? | | Agricultural | Employment | Horse Grazing | Agricultural | Scrubland | Agricultural | |
| SITE ACHIEVABILITY ASSESSMENT | | | | | | | | |
| Willingness of landowner to progress site for development | | | | | | | | |
| Appropriate timeframe for development | | Unknown | 10-16 years | Unknown | Unknown | 10-16 years | Unknown | |
| POTENTIAL RESIDENTIAL YIELD | | | | | | | | |
| Potential yield based on SHLAA Methodology | | 53 | 65 | 40 | 109 | 66 | 510 | |

Sites in Rubery, Cofton Hackett and Frankley *continued*

| Appraisal Criteria | | Site Reference | BDC246 | BDC269 | | | | |
|---|--|----------------|--------------|--------|--|--|--|--|
| SITE SUITABILITY ASSESSMENT | | | | | | | | |
| Site size (in hectare) | | | 0.6 | 8.85 | | | | |
| Stage A | Strategic Policy | | | | | | | |
| | Biodiversity, Geodiversity & Heritage | | | | | | | |
| | Land at risk of flooding | | | | | | | |
| Stage B | Impact on the historic, cultural & built environment | | | | | | | |
| | Access to public transport | | | | | | | |
| | Access to primary school | | | | | | | |
| | Access to local retail facilities | | | | | | | |
| | Access to health facilities | | | | | | | |
| | Contamination on site | | | | | | | |
| | Landscape & Trees | | | | | | | |
| | Public Rights of Way | | | | | | | |
| | Physical constraints | | | | | | | |
| | Open space & recreation | | | | | | | |
| | Employment Land | | | | | | | |
| | Infrastructure capacity | | | | | | | |
| | Highway access | | | | | | | |
| | Green Belt | | | | | | | |
| | Compatibility with adjoining uses | | | | | | | |
| SITE AVAILABILITY ASSESSMENT | | | | | | | | |
| Is the site immediately available for development? | | | | | | | | |
| What is the predominant land type? | | Vacant | Pasture Land | | | | | |
| SITE ACHIEVABILITY ASSESSMENT | | | | | | | | |
| Willingness of landowner to progress site for development | | | | | | | | |
| Appropriate timeframe for development | | Unknown | Unknown | | | | | |
| POTENTIAL RESIDENTIAL YIELD | | | | | | | | |
| Potential yield based on SHLAA Methodology | | 18 | 350 | | | | | |

Sites in Catshill and Marlbrook

| Appraisal Criteria | | Site Reference | BDC1 | BDC96 | BDC94 | BDC142 | BDC77 | BDC112 |
|---|--|----------------|--------------|------------------------------|--------------|--------------|-------------|--------|
| SITE SUITABILITY ASSESSMENT | | | | | | | | |
| Site size (in hectare) | | | 2.86 | 8 | 0.746 | 1.084 | 1.6 | 1 |
| Stage A | Strategic Policy | | | | | | | |
| | Biodiversity, Geodiversity & Heritage | | | | | | | |
| | Land at risk of flooding | | | | | | | |
| Stage B | Impact on the historic, cultural & built environment | | | | | | | |
| | Access to public transport | | | | | | | |
| | Access to primary school | | | | | | | |
| | Access to local retail facilities | | | | | | | |
| | Access to health facilities | | | | | | | |
| | Contamination on site | | | | | | | |
| | Landscape & Trees | | | | | | | |
| | Public Rights of Way | | | | | | | |
| | Physical constraints | | | | | | | |
| | Open space & recreation | | | | | | | |
| | Employment Land | | | | | | | |
| | Infrastructure capacity | | | | | | | |
| | Highway access | | | | | | | |
| | Green Belt | | | | | | | |
| | Compatibility with adjoining uses | | | | | | | |
| SITE AVAILABILITY ASSESSMENT | | | | | | | | |
| Is the site immediately available for development? | | | | | | | | |
| What is the predominant land type? | | Agricultural | Agricultural | Residential and Agricultural | Pasture Land | Agricultural | Residential | |
| SITE ACHIEVABILITY ASSESSMENT | | | | | | | | |
| Willingness of landowner to progress site for development | | | | | | | | |
| Appropriate timeframe for development | | Unknown | Unknown | Unknown | Unknown | Unknown | Unknown | |
| POTENTIAL RESIDENTIAL YIELD | | | | | | | | |
| Potential yield based on SHLAA Methodology | | 74 | 35 | 19 | 37 | 54 | 26 | |

Sites in Catshill and Marlbrook *continued*

| Appraisal Criteria | | Site Reference | BDC61 | BDC7 (A & B) | BDC17 (A & B) | BDC120 | BDC32 | BDC40 |
|---|--|----------------|--------------|-----------------|------------------|-----------------------------|--------------|--------------|
| SITE SUITABILITY ASSESSMENT | | | | | | | | |
| Site size (in hectare) | | | 1.6 | 5.1242 | 6.9 | 0.8 | 3.3 | 2 |
| Stage A | Strategic Policy | | | | | | | |
| | Biodiversity, Geodiversity & Heritage | | | | | | | |
| | Land at risk of flooding | | | | | | | |
| Stage B | Impact on the historic, cultural & built environment | | | | | | | |
| | Access to public transport | | | | | | | |
| | Access to primary school | | | | | | | |
| | Access to local retail facilities | | | | | | | |
| | Access to health facilities | | | | | | | |
| | Contamination on site | | | | | | | |
| | Landscape & Trees | | | | | | | |
| | Public Rights of Way | | | | | | | |
| | Physical constraints | | | | | | | |
| | Open space & recreation | | | | | | | |
| | Employment Land | | | | | | | |
| | Infrastructure capacity | | | | | | | |
| | Highway access | | | | | | | |
| | Green Belt | | | | | | | |
| | Compatibility with adjoining uses | | | | | | | |
| SITE AVAILABILITY ASSESSMENT | | | | | | | | |
| Is the site immediately available for development? | | | | | | | | |
| What is the predominant land type? | | | Agricultural | Agricultural | Agricultural | Industrial and Agricultural | Agricultural | Agricultural |
| SITE ACHIEVABILITY ASSESSMENT | | | | | | | | |
| Willingness of landowner to progress site for development | | | | | | | | |
| Appropriate timeframe for development | | | Unknown | Unknown | Unknown | Unknown | Unknown | Unknown |
| POTENTIAL RESIDENTIAL YIELD | | | | | | | | |
| Potential yield based on SHLAA Methodology | | | 54 | 133 | 179 | 15 | 64 | 39 |

Sites in Catshill and Marlbrook *continued*

| Appraisal Criteria | | Site Reference | BDC128 | BDC171 | BDC193 | BDC194 | BDC210 | BDC244 |
|---|--|----------------|---------|--------------|--------------|---------|---------|---------|
| SITE SUITABILITY ASSESSMENT | | | | | | | | |
| Site size (in hectare) | | | 4.2 | 0.82 | 0.86 | 1.32 | 10.5 | 5 |
| Stage A | Strategic Policy | | | | | | | |
| | Biodiversity, Geodiversity & Heritage | | | | | | | |
| | Land at risk of flooding | | | | | | | |
| Stage B | Impact on the historic, cultural & built environment | | | | | | | |
| | Access to public transport | | | | | | | |
| | Access to primary school | | | | | | | |
| | Access to local retail facilities | | | | | | | |
| | Access to health facilities | | | | | | | |
| | Contamination on site | | | | | | | |
| | Landscape & Trees | | | | | | | |
| | Public Rights of Way | | | | | | | |
| | Physical constraints | | | | | | | |
| | Open space & recreation | | | | | | | |
| | Employment Land | | | | | | | |
| | Infrastructure capacity | | | | | | | |
| | Highway access | | | | | | | |
| | Green Belt | | | | | | | |
| | Compatibility with adjoining uses | | | | | | | |
| SITE AVAILABILITY ASSESSMENT | | | | | | | | |
| Is the site immediately available for development? | | | | | | | | |
| What is the predominant land type? | | Agricultural | Vacant | Agricultural | Agricultural | Grazing | Grazing | |
| SITE ACHIEVABILITY ASSESSMENT | | | | | | | | |
| Willingness of landowner to progress site for development | | | | | | | | |
| Appropriate timeframe for development | | Unknown | Unknown | Unknown | Unknown | Unknown | Unknown | Unknown |
| POTENTIAL RESIDENTIAL YIELD | | | | | | | | |
| Potential yield based on SHLAA Methodology | | | 82 | 25 | 22 | 34 | 205 | 98 |

Sites in Catshill and Marlbrook *continued*

| Appraisal Criteria | | Site Reference | BDC249 | BDC275 | BDC277 | BDC278 | | |
|---|--|---------------------------|---------|------------------------------|--------------|--------|--|--|
| SITE SUITABILITY ASSESSMENT | | | | | | | | |
| Site size (in hectare) | | | 27 | 0.89 | 3.23 | 2.16 | | |
| Stage A | Strategic Policy | | | | | | | |
| | Biodiversity, Geodiversity & Heritage | | | | | | | |
| | Land at risk of flooding | | | | | | | |
| Stage B | Impact on the historic, cultural & built environment | | | | | | | |
| | Access to public transport | | | | | | | |
| | Access to primary school | | | | | | | |
| | Access to local retail facilities | | | | | | | |
| | Access to health facilities | | | | | | | |
| | Contamination on site | | | | | | | |
| | Landscape & Trees | | | | | | | |
| | Public Rights of Way | | | | | | | |
| | Physical constraints | | | | | | | |
| | Open space & recreation | | | | | | | |
| | Employment Land | | | | | | | |
| | Infrastructure capacity | | | | | | | |
| | Highway access | | | | | | | |
| | Green Belt | | | | | | | |
| | Compatability with adjoining uses | | | | | | | |
| SITE AVAILABILITY ASSESSMENT | | | | | | | | |
| Is the site immediately available for development? | | | | | | | | |
| What is the predominant land type? | | Agricultural and Cemetery | Grazing | Pasture Land and Garden Land | Agricultural | | | |
| SITE ACHIEVABILITY ASSESSMENT | | | | | | | | |
| Willingness of landowner to progress site for development | | | | | | | | |
| Appropriate timeframe for development | | Unknown | Unknown | Unknown | Unknown | | | |
| POTENTIAL RESIDENTIAL YIELD | | | | | | | | |
| Potential yield based on SHLAA Methodology | | 527 | 45 | 120 | 14 | | | |

Sites in Fairfield

| Appraisal Criteria | | Site Reference | BDC42 | BDC138 | BDC136 | BDC137 | BDC101 | BDC62 |
|---|--|----------------|--------------|--------------|--------------|--------------|--------------|--------------|
| SITE SUITABILITY ASSESSMENT | | | | | | | | |
| Site size (in hectare) | | | 3.492 | 0.5 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 1.6 | 1 |
| Stage A | Strategic Policy | | | | | | | |
| | Biodiversity, Geodiversity & Heritage | | | | | | | |
| | Land at risk of flooding | | | | | | | |
| Stage B | Impact on the historic, cultural & built environment | | | | | | | |
| | Access to public transport | | | | | | | |
| | Access to primary school | | | | | | | |
| | Access to local retail facilities | | | | | | | |
| | Access to health facilities | | | | | | | |
| | Contamination on site | | | | | | | |
| | Landscape & Trees | | | | | | | |
| | Public Rights of Way | | | | | | | |
| | Physical constraints | | | | | | | |
| | Open space & recreation | | | | | | | |
| | Employment Land | | | | | | | |
| | Infrastructure capacity | | | | | | | |
| | Highway access | | | | | | | |
| | Green Belt | | | | | | | |
| | Compatibility with adjoining uses | | | | | | | |
| SITE AVAILABILITY ASSESSMENT | | | | | | | | |
| Is the site immediately available for development? | | | | | | | | |
| What is the predominant land type? | | Agricultural | Agricultural | Agricultural | Agricultural | Agricultural | Agricultural | Agricultural |
| SITE ACHIEVABILITY ASSESSMENT | | | | | | | | |
| Willingness of landowner to progress site for development | | | | | | | | |
| Appropriate timeframe for development | | Unknown | Unknown | Unknown | Unknown | Unknown | Unknown | Unknown |
| POTENTIAL RESIDENTIAL YIELD | | | | | | | | |
| Potential yield based on SHLAA Methodology | | 68 | 13 | 3 | 3 | 41 | 26 | |

Sites in Fairfield *continued*

| Appraisal Criteria | | Site Reference | BDC60 | BDC68 (A & B) | BDC207 | | | |
|---|--|----------------|--------------|------------------|---------|--|--|--|
| SITE SUITABILITY ASSESSMENT | | | | | | | | |
| Site size (in hectare) | | | 0.8 | 2 | 0.7 | | | |
| Stage A | Strategic Policy | | | | | | | |
| | Biodiversity, Geodiversity & Heritage | | | | | | | |
| | Land at risk of flooding | | | | | | | |
| Stage B | Impact on the historic, cultural & built environment | | | | | | | |
| | Access to public transport | | | | | | | |
| | Access to primary school | | | | | | | |
| | Access to local retail facilities | | | | | | | |
| | Access to health facilities | | | | | | | |
| | Contamination on site | | | | | | | |
| | Landscape & Trees | | | | | | | |
| | Public Rights of Way | | | | | | | |
| | Physical constraints | | | | | | | |
| | Open space & recreation | | | | | | | |
| | Employment Land | | | | | | | |
| | Infrastructure capacity | | | | | | | |
| | Highway access | | | | | | | |
| | Green Belt | | | | | | | |
| | Compatibility with adjoining uses | | | | | | | |
| SITE AVAILABILITY ASSESSMENT | | | | | | | | |
| Is the site immediately available for development? | | | | | | | | |
| What is the predominant land type? | | | Agricultural | Agricultural | Paddock | | | |
| SITE ACHIEVABILITY ASSESSMENT | | | | | | | | |
| Willingness of landowner to progress site for development | | | | | | | | |
| Appropriate timeframe for development | | | Unknown | Unknown | Unknown | | | |
| POTENTIAL RESIDENTIAL YIELD | | | | | | | | |
| Potential yield based on SHLAA Methodology | | | 20 | 51 | 5 | | | |

Sites in Belbroughton, Dodford and Bournheath

| Appraisal Criteria | | Site Reference | BDC107 | BDC196 | BDC155 | BDC130 | BDC25 | BDC134 |
|---|--|----------------|--------------|--------------|--------------|--------------|--------------|--------------|
| SITE SUITABILITY ASSESSMENT | | | | | | | | |
| Site size (in hectare) | | | 0.462 | 0.87 | 2 | 1.578 | 1 | 6.557 |
| Stage A | Strategic Policy | | | | | | | |
| | Biodiversity, Geodiversity & Heritage | | | | | | | |
| | Land at risk of flooding | | | | | | | |
| Stage B | Impact on the historic, cultural & built environment | | | | | | | |
| | Access to public transport | | | | | | | |
| | Access to primary school | | | | | | | |
| | Access to local retail facilities | | | | | | | |
| | Access to health facilities | | | | | | | |
| | Contamination on site | | | | | | | |
| | Landscape & Trees | | | | | | | |
| | Public Rights of Way | | | | | | | |
| | Physical constraints | | | | | | | |
| | Open space & recreation | | | | | | | |
| | Employment Land | | | | | | | |
| | Infrastructure capacity | | | | | | | |
| | Highway access | | | | | | | |
| | Green Belt | | | | | | | |
| | Compatibility with adjoining uses | | | | | | | |
| SITE AVAILABILITY ASSESSMENT | | | | | | | | |
| Is the site immediately available for development? | | | | | | | | |
| What is the predominant land type? | | Commercial | Agricultural | Agricultural | Agricultural | Agricultural | Agricultural | Agricultural |
| SITE ACHIEVABILITY ASSESSMENT | | | | | | | | |
| Willingness of landowner to progress site for development | | | | | | | | |
| Appropriate timeframe for development | | Unknown | Unknown | Unknown | Unknown | Unknown | Unknown | Unknown |
| POTENTIAL RESIDENTIAL YIELD | | | | | | | | |
| Potential yield based on SHLAA Methodology | | 8 | 22 | 51 | 40 | 26 | 129 | |

Sites in Belbroughton, Dodford and Bournheath *continued*

| Appraisal Criteria | | Site Reference | BDC191 | BDC258 | | | | |
|---|--|----------------|--------------|--------|--|--|--|--|
| SITE SUITABILITY ASSESSMENT | | | | | | | | |
| Site size (in hectare) | | | 1.17 | 1.16 | | | | |
| Stage A | Strategic Policy | | | | | | | |
| | Biodiversity, Geodiversity & Heritage | | | | | | | |
| | Land at risk of flooding | | | | | | | |
| Stage B | Impact on the historic, cultural & built environment | | | | | | | |
| | Access to public transport | | | | | | | |
| | Access to primary school | | | | | | | |
| | Access to local retail facilities | | | | | | | |
| | Access to health facilities | | | | | | | |
| | Contamination on site | | | | | | | |
| | Landscape & Trees | | | | | | | |
| | Public Rights of Way | | | | | | | |
| | Physical constraints | | | | | | | |
| | Open space & recreation | | | | | | | |
| | Employment Land | | | | | | | |
| | Infrastructure capacity | | | | | | | |
| | Highway access | | | | | | | |
| | Green Belt | | | | | | | |
| | Compatibility with adjoining uses | | | | | | | |
| SITE AVAILABILITY ASSESSMENT | | | | | | | | |
| Is the site immediately available for development? | | | | | | | | |
| What is the predominant land type? | | Agricultural | Agricultural | | | | | |
| SITE ACHIEVABILITY ASSESSMENT | | | | | | | | |
| Willingness of landowner to progress site for development | | | | | | | | |
| Appropriate timeframe for development | | Unknown | Unknown | | | | | |
| POTENTIAL RESIDENTIAL YIELD | | | | | | | | |
| Potential yield based on SHLAA Methodology | | 30 | 10 | | | | | |

Sites in Romsley

| Appraisal Criteria | | Site Reference | BDC22 | BDC129 | BDC29 | BDC46 | BDC218 | BDC276 |
|---|--|----------------|------------------------------|------------------|--------------|---------|--------------|---------|
| SITE SUITABILITY ASSESSMENT | | | | | | | | |
| Site size (in hectare) | | | 2.83 | 0.82 | 0.304 | 1.61 | 0.4 | 0.22 |
| Stage A | Strategic Policy | | | | | | | |
| | Biodiversity, Geodiversity & Heritage | | | | | | | |
| | Land at risk of flooding | | | | | | | |
| Stage B | Impact on the historic, cultural & built environment | | | | | | | |
| | Access to public transport | | | | | | | |
| | Access to primary school | | | | | | | |
| | Access to local retail facilities | | | | | | | |
| | Access to health facilities | | | | | | | |
| | Contamination on site | | | | | | | |
| | Landscape & Trees | | | | | | | |
| | Public Rights of Way | | | | | | | |
| | Physical constraints | | | | | | | |
| | Open space & recreation | | | | | | | |
| | Employment Land | | | | | | | |
| | Infrastructure capacity | | | | | | | |
| | Highway access | | | | | | | |
| | Green Belt | | | | | | | |
| | Compatibility with adjoining uses | | | | | | | |
| SITE AVAILABILITY ASSESSMENT | | | | | | | | |
| Is the site immediately available for development? | | | | | | | | |
| What is the predominant land type? | | Agricultural | Residential and Disused Area | Former Allotment | Agricultural | Grazing | Agricultural | |
| SITE ACHIEVABILITY ASSESSMENT | | | | | | | | |
| Willingness of landowner to progress site for development | | | | | | | | |
| Appropriate timeframe for development | | Unknown | Unknown | Unknown | Unknown | Unknown | Unknown | Unknown |
| POTENTIAL RESIDENTIAL YIELD | | | | | | | | |
| Potential yield based on SHLAA Methodology | | 55 | 21 | 9 | 41 | 12 | 2 | |

Sites in Romsley *continued*

| Appraisal Criteria | | Site Reference | BDC270 | | | | | |
|---|--|----------------|--------|--|--|--|--|--|
| SITE SUITABILITY ASSESSMENT | | | | | | | | |
| Site size (in hectare) | | | 24.76 | | | | | |
| Stage A | Strategic Policy | | | | | | | |
| | Biodiversity, Geodiversity & Heritage | | | | | | | |
| | Land at risk of flooding | | | | | | | |
| Stage B | Impact on the historic, cultural & built environment | | | | | | | |
| | Access to public transport | | | | | | | |
| | Access to primary school | | | | | | | |
| | Access to local retail facilities | | | | | | | |
| | Access to health facilities | | | | | | | |
| | Contamination on site | | | | | | | |
| | Landscape & Trees | | | | | | | |
| | Public Rights of Way | | | | | | | |
| | Physical constraints | | | | | | | |
| | Open space & recreation | | | | | | | |
| | Employment Land | | | | | | | |
| | Infrastructure capacity | | | | | | | |
| | Highway access | | | | | | | |
| | Green Belt | | | | | | | |
| | Compatibility with adjoining uses | | | | | | | |
| SITE AVAILABILITY ASSESSMENT | | | | | | | | |
| Is the site immediately available for development? | | | | | | | | |
| What is the predominant land type? | | Agricultural | | | | | | |
| SITE ACHIEVABILITY ASSESSMENT | | | | | | | | |
| Willingness of landowner to progress site for development | | | | | | | | |
| Appropriate timeframe for development | | Unknown | | | | | | |
| POTENTIAL RESIDENTIAL YIELD | | | | | | | | |
| Potential yield based on SHLAA Methodology | | | 400 | | | | | |

Sites in Wythall

| Appraisal Criteria | | Site Reference | BDC59 | BDC87 | BDC135 (A & B) | BDC24 | | |
|---|--|----------------|---------------|--------------|-------------------|-------|--|--|
| SITE SUITABILITY ASSESSMENT | | | | | | | | |
| Site size (in hectare) | | | 5.05 | 1.55 | 6.07 | 0.21 | | |
| Stage A | Strategic Policy | | | | | | | |
| | Biodiversity, Geodiversity & Heritage | | | | | | | |
| | Land at risk of flooding | | | | | | | |
| Stage B | Impact on the historic, cultural & built environment | | | | | | | |
| | Access to public transport | | | | | | | |
| | Access to primary school | | | | | | | |
| | Access to local retail facilities | | | | | | | |
| | Access to health facilities | | | | | | | |
| | Contamination on site | | | | | | | |
| | Landscape & Trees | | | | | | | |
| | Public Rights of Way | | | | | | | |
| | Physical constraints | | | | | | | |
| | Open space & recreation | | | | | | | |
| | Employment Land | | | | | | | |
| | Infrastructure capacity | | | | | | | |
| | Highway access | | | | | | | |
| | Green Belt | | | | | | | |
| | Compatibility with adjoining uses | | | | | | | |
| SITE AVAILABILITY ASSESSMENT | | | | | | | | |
| Is the site immediately available for development? | | | | | | | | |
| What is the predominant land type? | | Former Tip | Horse Grazing | Agricultural | Small Holding | | | |
| SITE ACHIEVABILITY ASSESSMENT | | | | | | | | |
| Willingness of landowner to progress site for development | | | | | | | | |
| Appropriate timeframe for development | | Unknown | Unknown | Unknown | Unknown | | | |
| POTENTIAL RESIDENTIAL YIELD | | | | | | | | |
| Potential yield based on SHLAA Methodology | | 98 | 40 | 98 | 6 | | | |

Sites in Wythall *continued*

| Appraisal Criteria | | Site Reference | BDC169 | BDC75 | BDC74 | BDC43 | BDC76 | BDC28 |
|---|--|----------------|--------------|--------------|--------------|--------------|--------------|--------------|
| SITE SUITABILITY ASSESSMENT | | | | | | | | |
| Site size (in hectare) | | | 4.04 | 0.18 | 0.07 | 1.2 | 2.6 | 3.23 |
| Stage A | Strategic Policy | | | | | | | |
| | Biodiversity, Geodiversity & Heritage | | | | | | | |
| | Land at risk of flooding | | | | | | | |
| Stage B | Impact on the historic, cultural & built environment | | | | | | | |
| | Access to public transport | | | | | | | |
| | Access to primary school | | | | | | | |
| | Access to local retail facilities | | | | | | | |
| | Access to health facilities | | | | | | | |
| | Contamination on site | | | | | | | |
| | Landscape & Trees | | | | | | | |
| | Public Rights of Way | | | | | | | |
| | Physical constraints | | | | | | | |
| | Open space & recreation | | | | | | | |
| | Employment Land | | | | | | | |
| | Infrastructure capacity | | | | | | | |
| | Highway access | | | | | | | |
| | Green Belt | | | | | | | |
| | Compatibility with adjoining uses | | | | | | | |
| SITE AVAILABILITY ASSESSMENT | | | | | | | | |
| Is the site immediately available for development? | | | | | | | | |
| What is the predominant land type? | | | Agricultural | Agricultural | Agricultural | Agricultural | Agricultural | Agricultural |
| SITE ACHIEVABILITY ASSESSMENT | | | | | | | | |
| Willingness of landowner to progress site for development | | | | | | | | |
| Appropriate timeframe for development | | | Unknown | Unknown | Unknown | Unknown | Unknown | Unknown |
| POTENTIAL RESIDENTIAL YIELD | | | | | | | | |
| Potential yield based on SHLAA Methodology | | | 79 | 5 | 2 | 63 | 51 | 63 |

Sites in Wythall *continued*

| Appraisal Criteria | | Site Reference | BDC11 | BDC4 | BDC180 | BDC179 | BDC174 | |
|---|--|----------------|-----------------|--------------|--------------|--------------|--------|--|
| SITE SUITABILITY ASSESSMENT | | | | | | | | |
| Site size (in hectare) | | | 0.812 | 1 | 55 | 22.26 | 15.2 | |
| Stage A | Strategic Policy | | | | | | | |
| | Biodiversity, Geodiversity & Heritage | | | | | | | |
| | Land at risk of flooding | | | | | | | |
| Stage B | Impact on the historic, cultural & built environment | | | | | | | |
| | Access to public transport | | | | | | | |
| | Access to primary school | | | | | | | |
| | Access to local retail facilities | | | | | | | |
| | Access to health facilities | | | | | | | |
| | Contamination on site | | | | | | | |
| | Landscape & Trees | | | | | | | |
| | Public Rights of Way | | | | | | | |
| | Physical constraints | | | | | | | |
| | Open space & recreation | | | | | | | |
| | Employment Land | | | | | | | |
| | Infrastructure capacity | | | | | | | |
| | Highway access | | | | | | | |
| | Green Belt | | | | | | | |
| | Compatibility with adjoining uses | | | | | | | |
| SITE AVAILABILITY ASSESSMENT | | | | | | | | |
| Is the site immediately available for development? | | | | | | | | |
| What is the predominant land type? | | Amenity Land | Brownfield Land | Agricultural | Agricultural | Agricultural | | |
| SITE ACHIEVABILITY ASSESSMENT | | | | | | | | |
| Willingness of landowner to progress site for development | | | | | | | | |
| Appropriate timeframe for development | | Unknown | Unknown | Unknown | Unknown | Unknown | | |
| POTENTIAL RESIDENTIAL YIELD | | | | | | | | |
| Potential yield based on SHLAA Methodology | | 21 | 26 | 1073 | 434 | 296 | | |

Sites in Wythall *continued*

| Appraisal Criteria | | Site Reference | BDC173 | BDC172 | BDC200 | BDC203 | BDC204 | BDC205 |
|---|--|----------------|--------------|--------------|---|--------------|--------------|--------|
| SITE SUITABILITY ASSESSMENT | | | | | | | | |
| Site size (in hectare) | | | 20.87 | 5.84 | 6.9 | 0.62 | 1.28 | 7.3 |
| Stage A | Strategic Policy | | | | | | | |
| | Biodiversity, Geodiversity & Heritage | | | | | | | |
| | Land at risk of flooding | | | | | | | |
| Stage B | Impact on the historic, cultural & built environment | | | | | | | |
| | Access to public transport | | | | | | | |
| | Access to primary school | | | | | | | |
| | Access to local retail facilities | | | | | | | |
| | Access to health facilities | | | | | | | |
| | Contamination on site | | | | | | | |
| | Landscape & Trees | | | | | | | |
| | Public Rights of Way | | | | | | | |
| | Physical constraints | | | | | | | |
| | Open space & recreation | | | | | | | |
| | Employment Land | | | | | | | |
| | Infrastructure capacity | | | | | | | |
| | Highway access | | | | | | | |
| | Green Belt | | | | | | | |
| | Compatibility with adjoining uses | | | | | | | |
| SITE AVAILABILITY ASSESSMENT | | | | | | | | |
| Is the site immediately available for development? | | | | | | | | |
| What is the predominant land type? | | Agricultural | Agricultural | Agricultural | Residential, Garages Pasture Land | Agricultural | Agricultural | |
| SITE ACHIEVABILITY ASSESSMENT | | | | | | | | |
| Willingness of landowner to progress site for development | | | | | | | | |
| Appropriate timeframe for development | | Unknown | Unknown | Unknown | Unknown | Unknown | Unknown | |
| POTENTIAL RESIDENTIAL YIELD | | | | | | | | |
| Potential yield based on SHLAA Methodology | | 165 | 114 | 135 | 15 | 33 | 142 | |

Sites in Wythall *continued*

| Appraisal Criteria | | Site Reference | BDC211 | BDC214 | BDC225 | BDC247 | BDC267 | BDC268 |
|---|--|----------------|---------|--------------|------------------------|--------------|------------|--------|
| SITE SUITABILITY ASSESSMENT | | | | | | | | |
| Site size (in hectare) | | | 12.2 | 0.46 | 7.2 | 3.8 | 7.88 | 0.58 |
| Stage A | Strategic Policy | | | | | | | |
| | Biodiversity, Geodiversity & Heritage | | | | | | | |
| | Land at risk of flooding | | | | | | | |
| Stage B | Impact on the historic, cultural & built environment | | | | | | | |
| | Access to public transport | | | | | | | |
| | Access to primary school | | | | | | | |
| | Access to local retail facilities | | | | | | | |
| | Access to health facilities | | | | | | | |
| | Contamination on site | | | | | | | |
| | Landscape & Trees | | | | | | | |
| | Public Rights of Way | | | | | | | |
| | Physical constraints | | | | | | | |
| | Open space & recreation | | | | | | | |
| | Employment Land | | | | | | | |
| | Infrastructure capacity | | | | | | | |
| | Highway access | | | | | | | |
| | Green Belt | | | | | | | |
| | Compatibility with adjoining uses | | | | | | | |
| SITE AVAILABILITY ASSESSMENT | | | | | | | | |
| Is the site immediately available for development? | | | | | | | | |
| What is the predominant land type? | | Agricultural | Vacant | Agricultural | Vacant Employment Land | Agricultural | Greenfield | |
| SITE ACHIEVABILITY ASSESSMENT | | | | | | | | |
| Willingness of landowner to progress site for development | | | | | | | | |
| Appropriate timeframe for development | | Unknown | Unknown | Unknown | Unknown | Unknown | Unknown | |
| POTENTIAL RESIDENTIAL YIELD | | | | | | | | |
| Potential yield based on SHLAA Methodology | | 238 | 14 | 140 | 74 | 144 | 14 | |

Sites in Wythall *continued*

| Appraisal Criteria | | Site Reference | BDC271 | BDC272 | BDC273 | BDC274 | BDC283 | |
|---|--|----------------|--------------|--------------|--------------|--------------|--------|--|
| SITE SUITABILITY ASSESSMENT | | | | | | | | |
| Site size (in hectare) | | | 2.56 | 1.07 | 5.56 | 0.05 | 4.9 | |
| Stage A | Strategic Policy | | | | | | | |
| | Biodiversity, Geodiversity & Heritage | | | | | | | |
| | Land at risk of flooding | | | | | | | |
| Stage B | Impact on the historic, cultural & built environment | | | | | | | |
| | Access to public transport | | | | | | | |
| | Access to primary school | | | | | | | |
| | Access to local retail facilities | | | | | | | |
| | Access to health facilities | | | | | | | |
| | Contamination on site | | | | | | | |
| | Landscape & Trees | | | | | | | |
| | Public Rights of Way | | | | | | | |
| | Physical constraints | | | | | | | |
| | Open space & recreation | | | | | | | |
| | Employment Land | | | | | | | |
| | Infrastructure capacity | | | | | | | |
| | Highway access | | | | | | | |
| | Green Belt | | | | | | | |
| | Compatibility with adjoining uses | | | | | | | |
| SITE AVAILABILITY ASSESSMENT | | | | | | | | |
| Is the site immediately available for development? | | | | | | | | |
| What is the predominant land type? | | Grazing | Agricultural | Agricultural | Agricultural | Agricultural | | |
| SITE ACHIEVABILITY ASSESSMENT | | | | | | | | |
| Willingness of landowner to progress site for development | | | | | | | | |
| Appropriate timeframe for development | | Unknown | Unknown | Unknown | Unknown | Unknown | | |
| POTENTIAL RESIDENTIAL YIELD | | | | | | | | |
| Potential yield based on SHLAA Methodology | | 77 | 30 | 216 | 1 | 95 | | |

Sites on Birmingham Border

| Appraisal Criteria | | Site Reference | BDC226 | BDC228 | BDC229 | BDC230 | BDC240 | BDC248 |
|---|--|----------------|---------|---------|--------------|--------------|--------------|--------------|
| SITE SUITABILITY ASSESSMENT | | | | | | | | |
| Site size (in hectare) | | | 12.02 | 1.25 | 41.68 | 45 | 42.3 | 24 |
| Stage A | Strategic Policy | | | | | | | |
| | Biodiversity, Geodiversity & Heritage | | | | | | | |
| | Land at risk of flooding | | | | | | | |
| Stage B | Impact on the historic, cultural & built environment | | | | | | | |
| | Access to public transport | | | | | | | |
| | Access to primary school | | | | | | | |
| | Access to local retail facilities | | | | | | | |
| | Access to health facilities | | | | | | | |
| | Contamination on site | | | | | | | |
| | Landscape & Trees | | | | | | | |
| | Public Rights of Way | | | | | | | |
| | Physical constraints | | | | | | | |
| | Open space & recreation | | | | | | | |
| | Employment Land | | | | | | | |
| | Infrastructure capacity | | | | | | | |
| | Highway access | | | | | | | |
| | Green Belt | | | | | | | |
| | Compatibility with adjoining uses | | | | | | | |
| SITE AVAILABILITY ASSESSMENT | | | | | | | | |
| Is the site immediately available for development? | | | | | | | | |
| What is the predominant land type? | | | Grazing | Grazing | Agricultural | Agricultural | Agricultural | Agricultural |
| SITE ACHIEVABILITY ASSESSMENT | | | | | | | | |
| Willingness of landowner to progress site for development | | | | | | | | |
| Appropriate timeframe for development | | | Unknown | Unknown | Unknown | Unknown | Unknown | Unknown |
| POTENTIAL RESIDENTIAL YIELD | | | | | | | | |
| Potential yield based on SHLAA Methodology | | | 300 | 32 | 812 | 877 | 912 | 468 |

Sites on Birmingham Border *continued*

| Appraisal Criteria | | Site Reference | BDC253 | BDC255 | BDC279 | BDC280 | | |
|---|--|----------------|----------------|--------------|--------------|--------|--|--|
| SITE SUITABILITY ASSESSMENT | | | | | | | | |
| Site size (in hectare) | | | 42.40 | 8.23 | 178.11 | 161.99 | | |
| Stage A | Strategic Policy | | | | | | | |
| | Biodiversity, Geodiversity & Heritage | | | | | | | |
| | Land at risk of flooding | | | | | | | |
| Stage B | Impact on the historic, cultural & built environment | | | | | | | |
| | Access to public transport | | | | | | | |
| | Access to primary school | | | | | | | |
| | Access to local retail facilities | | | | | | | |
| | Access to health facilities | | | | | | | |
| | Contamination on site | | | | | | | |
| | Landscape & Trees | | | | | | | |
| | Public Rights of Way | | | | | | | |
| | Physical constraints | | | | | | | |
| | Open space & recreation | | | | | | | |
| | Employment Land | | | | | | | |
| | Infrastructure capacity | | | | | | | |
| | Highway access | | | | | | | |
| | Green Belt | | | | | | | |
| | Compatibility with adjoining uses | | | | | | | |
| SITE AVAILABILITY ASSESSMENT | | | | | | | | |
| Is the site immediately available for development? | | | | | | | | |
| What is the predominant land type? | | Agricultural | Playing Fields | Agricultural | Agricultural | | | |
| SITE ACHIEVABILITY ASSESSMENT | | | | | | | | |
| Willingness of landowner to progress site for development | | | | | | | | |
| Appropriate timeframe for development | | Unknown | Unknown | Unknown | Unknown | | | |
| POTENTIAL RESIDENTIAL YIELD | | | | | | | | |
| Potential yield based on SHLAA Methodology | | 1272 | 180 | 3200 | 3500 | | | |

Appendix H: Sites Included 0-5 years

Alvechurch BDC95



Bromsgrove BDC20



Bromsgrove BDC163



Bromsgrove BDC 168A



Sites Included 0-5 years *continued*

Bromsgrove BDC 168B



Bromsgrove BDC192



Bromsgrove BDC80




Bromsgrove BDC251




Sites Included 0-5 years *continued*

Burcot BDC250



Burcot BDC252



Hagley BDC51



Hagley BDC102



Sites Included 0-5 years *continued*

Hagley BDC160



Hagley BDC233



Sites Included 11-16 years

Frankley FR4



Rubery BDC 65



Appendix I: Green Belt Potential

Alvechurch BDC58




Alvechurch BDC88



Alvechurch BDC89



Alvechurch BDC151



Green Belt Potential *continued*

Alvechurch BDC175



Alvechurch BDC190



Alvechurch BDC197




Alvechurch BDC219



Green Belt Potential *continued*

Alvechurch BDC236



Alvechurch BDC260



Alvechurch BDC262



Alvechurch BDC263



Green Belt Potential *continued*

Alvechurch BDC264



Alvechurch BDC266



Barnt Green BDC6



Barnt Green BDC104



Green Belt Potential *continued*

Barnt Green BDC105



Barnt Green BDC106



Barnt Green BDC182



Barnt Green BDC253



Green Belt Potential *continued*

Barnt Green BDC254



Belbroughton BDC107



Belbroughton BDC155



Belbroughton BDC191




Green Belt Potential *continued*

Belbroughton BDC258



Beoley BDC239



Blackwell BDC8



Blackwell BDC90



Green Belt Potential *continued*

Blackwell BDC124



Blackwell BDC154



Blackwell BDC178



Blackwell BDC215



Bromsgrove BDC12



Green Belt Potential *continued*

Bromsgrove BDC79



Bromsgrove BDC 81B



Bromsgrove BDC97



Bromsgrove BDC143




Green Belt Potential *continued*


Bromsgrove BDC206




Bromsgrove BDC241



Bromsgrove BDC242



Burcot BDC243



Green Belt Potential *continued*

Burcot BDC265




Catshill BDC1



Catshill BDC94



Catshill BDC96



Green Belt Potential *continued*


Catshill BDC142




Catshill BDC210



Catshill BDC244



Catshill BDC249



Green Belt Potential *continued*

Catshill BDC275



Clent BDC34



Clent BDC256




Cofton Hackett BDC184



Green Belt Potential *continued*

Cofton Hackett BDC245



Fairfield BDC42



Fairfield BDC138



Hagley BDC10



Green Belt Potential *continued*

Hagley BDC35A



Hagley BDC53



Hagley BDC185



Hagley BDC198



Green Belt Potential *continued*

Hagley BDC212



Hagley BDC213



Hagley BDC257



Hagley BDC259



Green Belt Potential *continued*

Lydiate Ash BDC7A



Lydiate Ash BDC7B



Hopwood BDC281



Hunnington BDC276



Green Belt Potential *continued*

Majors Green BDC203



Majors Green BDC204



Marlbrook BDC17A



Marlbrook BDC17B



Green Belt Potential *continued*

Marlbrook BDC41




Marlbrook BDC61



Marlbrook BDC77



Marlbrook BDC171



Green Belt Potential *continued*

Marlbrook BDC278



Romsley BDC129



Romsley BDC218



Romsley BDC270




Green Belt Potential *continued*

Rubery BDC78



Rubery BDC246



Rubery BDC269



Shirley BDC267



Green Belt Potential *continued*

Shirley BDC272



Shirley BDC273



Shirley BDC274



Stoke Prior BDC139



Green Belt Potential *continued*

Stoke Prior BDC164



Stoke Prior BDC186



Wythall BDC59



Wythall BDC87



Green Belt Potential *continued*

Wythall BDC135A



Wythall BDC135B



Wythall BDC200



Wythall BDC211



Green Belt Potential *continued*

Wythall BDC225




Wythall BDC268



Wythall BDC283




Birmingham Growth BDC226



Green Belt Potential *continued*

Birmingham Growth BDC240



Birmingham Growth BDC228



Birmingham Growth BDC229




Birmingham Growth BDC230



Green Belt Potential *continued*

Birmingham Growth BDC248



Birmingham Growth BDC255



Birmingham Growth BDC279



Birmingham Growth BDC280



Sites Discounted *continued*

Barnt Green BDC238



Belbroughton BDC130



Beoley BDC282



Blackwell BDC109



Sites Discounted *continued*

Blackwell BDC216



Bordesley BDC30



Bournheath BDC25



Bournheath BDC196



Sites Discounted *continued*

Bromsgrove BDC2



Bromsgrove BDC3



Bromsgrove BDC13



Bromsgrove BDC45



Sites Discounted *continued*

Bromsgrove BDC63



Bromsgrove BDC69B



Bromsgrove BDC69C



Bromsgrove BDC149



Sites Discounted *continued*

Bromsgrove BDC152



Bromsgrove BDC162



Bromsgrove BDC166



Bromsgrove BDC195



Sites Discounted *continued*

Burcot BDC120



Catshill BDC194



Clent BDC156



Cofton Hackett BDC176



Sites Discounted *continued*

Dodford BDC134



Fairfield BDC60



Fairfield BDC62



Fairfield BDC68A



Sites Discounted *continued*

Fairfield BDC68B



Fairfield BDC101



Fairfield BDC207



Hagley BDC259



Sites Discounted *continued*

Hayley Green BDC46



Hollywood BDC24



Hopwood BDC131



Kings Norton



Sites Discounted *continued*

Lickey End BDC27



Lickey End BDC217



Lickey BDC40



Lickey BDC227



Sites Discounted *continued*

Lydiate Ash BDC32



Lydiate Ash BDC128



Lydiate Ash BDC146



Lydiate Ash BDC193



Sites Discounted *continued*

Lydiate Ash BDC277



Majors Green BDC205



Marlbrook BDC112



Portway BDC161



Sites Discounted *continued*

Romsley BDC22



Romsley BDC29



Shirley BDC169



Stoke Prior BDC145



Sites Discounted *continued*

Stoke Prior BDC150



Stoke Prior BDC165



Tardebigge BDC71



Upper Bentley BDC44



Sites Discounted *continued*

Wythall BDC4



Wythall BDC11



Wythall BDC28



Wythall BDC43



Sites Discounted *continued*

Wythall BDC75



Wythall BDC76



Wythall BDC172



Wythall BDC173



Wythall BDC174



Wythall BDC179



Wythall BDC180




Wythall BDC214



Sites Discounted *continued*

Wythall BDC247



Appendix K: Site Specific Housing Projections 2011-2030

| Housing Projections 2011-30 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
|---|----------|----------|----------|----------|---------|----------|---------|----------|----------|---------|----------|----------|----------|---------|---------|---------|----------|---------|---------|----------------|
| | 2011-12 | 2012-13 | 2013-14 | 2014-15 | 2015-16 | 2016-17 | 2017-18 | 2018-19 | 2019-20 | 2020-21 | 2021-22 | 2022-23 | 2023-24 | 2024-25 | 2025-26 | 2026-27 | 2027-28 | 2028-29 | 2029-30 | Total Capacity |
| Past Completions | 256 | 130 | 176 | 228 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Completions from Current Commitments | | | | | 270 | 270 | 271 | 271 | 50 | 50 | 50 | 26 | | | | | | | | |
| Other Projected Completions | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Recreation Road (BDC251) | | | | | | | 76 | 100 | | | | | | | | | | | | 176 |
| 50, 52 & 54 Red Lion Street, Rear of (BDC95) | | | | | | | | | 10 | | | | | | | | | | | 10 |
| Finstall Training Centre, Stoke Road (BDC163) | | | | | | | | 12 | | | | | | | | | | | | 12 |
| All Saints Vicarage, Burcot Lane (BDC192) | | | | | | | | | | 12 | | | | | | | | | | 12 |
| Burcot Grange (BDC250) | | | | | | | | 12 | | | | | | | | | | | | 12 |
| Perryfields Road (BDC20) | | | | | | | 40 | 210 | 325 | 265 | 205 | 205 | 50 | | | | | | | 1300 |
| The Uplands (BDC252) | | | | | | | 32 | 32 | | | | | | | | | | | | 64 |
| Whitford Road (BDC80) | | | | | | | 50 | 120 | 120 | 120 | 80 | | | | | | | | | 490 |
| The Council House, Burcot Lane (BDC168) | | | | | | | | 25 | 26 | | | | | | | | | | | 51 |
| Hagley Former Middle School, Park Road | | | | | | | | 44 | | | | | | | | | | | | 44 |
| Land at Algoa House, Western Road (BDC51) | | | | | | | | 25 | | | | | | | | | | | | 25 |
| 7 & 9 Worcester Road (BDC102) | | | | | | | | | | 12 | | | | | | | | | | 12 |
| Prince of Wales PH, 65 Stourbridge Road | | | | | | | | 12 | | | | | | | | | | | | 12 |
| The Avenue (BDC65) | | | | | | | | | | | | | | 34 | 34 | | | | | 68 |
| Egghill Lane, Land off (FR4) | | | | | | | | | | | | | | 33 | 33 | | | | | 66 |
| Windfall Allowance | | | | | 40 | 40 | 40 | 40 | 40 | 40 | 40 | 40 | 40 | 40 | 40 | 40 | 40 | 40 | 40 | |
| Total Completions/Projections | 256 | 130 | 176 | 228 | 310 | 310 | 509 | 903 | 571 | 499 | 375 | 271 | 90 | 233 | 107 | 40 | 40 | 40 | 40 | |
| Cumulative Completions | 256 | 386 | 562 | 790 | 1100 | 1410 | 1919 | 2822 | 3393 | 3892 | 4267 | 4538 | 4628 | 5055 | 5162 | 5202 | 5242 | 5282 | 5322 | |
| Housing Allocation | 368 | 368 | 368 | 368 | 368 | 368 | 368 | 368 | 368 | 368 | 368 | 369 | 369 | 369 | 369 | 369 | 369 | 369 | 369 | |
| Cumulative Allocations | 368 | 736 | 1104 | 1472 | 1840 | 2208 | 2576 | 2944 | 3312 | 3680 | 4048 | 4417 | 4786 | 5155 | 5524 | 5893 | 6262 | 6631 | 7000 | |
| Monitor +/- | -112 | -350 | -542 | -682 | -740 | -798 | -657 | -122 | -81 | -212 | -219 | -121 | -158 | -100 | -362 | -691 | -1020 | -1349 | -1678 | |
| Manage | 368.4211 | 374.6667 | 389.0588 | 402.4375 | 414 | 421.4286 | 430 | 423.4167 | 379.8182 | 360.7 | 345.3333 | 334.1625 | 351.7143 | 363 | 389 | 459.5 | 599.3333 | 879 | 1718 | |



If you need this information in another language or format, please contact us to discuss how we can best meet your needs.

Phone: 01527 548284

Email:

equalities@bromsgroveandredditch.gov.uk



Bromsgrove
District Council

www.bromsgrove.gov.uk

Planning and Regeneration

Tel: (01527) 881663/881691, Main Switchboard: (01527) 881288
e-mail: strategicplanning@bromsgrove.gov.uk