


Summary

The parcels lie on selected quadrants of junctions on the M5 Junction 4 and the M42 Junctions 2 and 3. These are defined by their proximity to the motorway with associated noise and movement and do not lie adjacent to any defined settlement.

The parcels are assessed for sensitivity to large scale employment uses only. On the M42 Junction 3 the parcels to the north and south are considered medium sensitivity. The main opportunity has been identified to the north with appropriate mitigation measures. To the south land is more constrained but there is an opportunity. On the M42 Junction 2 the parcels to the south are considered high/medium sensitivity and the parcel to the north of the M42 is considered medium sensitivity. An opportunity has been identified in the eastern half of the parcel. On the M5 Junction 4 the parcel to the north of the A38 is considered high sensitivity and the parcel to the south is high/medium sensitivity.







Opportunity for Employment use



View north east across M01: M42 Junction 3



View north across M02 showing maturing plantation: M42 Junction 3



View north east across M03 showing dwellings on skyline: M42 Junction 2




View south across M04: M42 Junction 2





View west across M06: M5 Junction 4





View north west across M07: M5 Junction 4














M01

ASSESSED SENSITIVITY EVALUATION

Sensitivity to Housing N/A Sensitivity to Employment Medium

Sensitivity Summary

The landscape value lies in the small watercourses and ponds surrounded by trees with tree cover, the attractive views from the east and the floodplain. The landscape susceptibility to employment use lies in the rising landform to the east and centrally which would skyline buildings, the floodplain, the strong hedge tree cover in places, irregular field boundaries and waterbodies with associated trees. The visual susceptibility to employment use is overlooking by rural and semi-rural properties on the edges of the land parcel. The parcel is sensitive to employment use but could accommodate it with careful retention of the most important landscape elements, screening from exisiting residents and avoidance of the floodplain.

LANDSCAPE CHARACTER CONTEXT

National character area Arden

WCC landscape character type (LCT) **Timbered Pastures**

WCC land cover parcel (LCP) sensitivity Medium

LANDSCAPE VALUE FACTORS

Landscape designations

Natural heritage M Small watercourses and ponds surrounded by trees with tree cover along some

trimmed hedgerows.

Cultural heritage M Predominantly reorganised piecemeal enclosure with irregular boundaries and

planned enclosure to the south east.

M Distinctive tree clumps with strong tree cover especially to the east. Distinctiveness

Perceptual Μ

Scenic factors Attractive filtered views across the area from the east.

Tranquillity Noise and movement from the M42, Junction 3 and A435. Built form limited and

semi-rural. No public access.

Recreational and functional М

Recreational No public access.

Functional Floodplain on the northern edge. Waterbodies and trees are potential GI corridors

and patches.

Condition M/L Generally poor- gappy outgrown hedges and some rough land.

Associations N/A

Summary evaluation of overall

value

M

LANDSCAPE SUSCEPTIBILITY FACTORS

Natural factors M

Landform eg steep slopes Gently rolling landscape with watercourses in shallow valleys. Rising land to the

east and lower and flatter to the west.

Presence of water Small watercourses and several field ponds. **Cultural factors** Μ Historic field/land use pattern Predominantly reorganised piecemeal enclosure with irregular boundaries and planned enclosure to the south east. A few semi-rural dwellings on fringes of parcel. Settlement pattern Land use factors Μ Function of area e.g. floodplain, GI Floodplain to the north, productive arable land, waterbodies and trees contribute corridor, biodiversity corridor or to GI. patch, productive land. Amenity-presence of No public access. footpaths/recreation corridors Functional relationship between No functional relationship. the area and the settlement/key features Perceptual factors M Scale Medium to large. **Enclosure** Moderately open. Skyline Tops of rises form local skylines. Landmarks/features N/A **Detractors** M42, A435 and chicken sheds to the west. Mobile home park well screened. Settlement edge character Visual relationship between the Away from clustered settlement. area and the settlement/key features (eg cumulative impact issues) Summary evaluation of landscape M susceptibility VISUAL SUSCEPTIBILITY FACTORS **General factors** Intervisibility Μ Buildings would not be widely visible due to surrounding landform and landcover. Limited views from PROWs. Key views M/L **Key receptors** From Birch Acre Farm and a few other rural residents. Residents- rural/semi-rural Н Residents-urban/suburban edge H/M From some dwellings but very limited from mobile home park. Promoted trails N/A Public rights of way Very limited. Access/common land N/A Cycleways N/A Roads Μ M42 and A435 and minor road to the south.

Page 260

OPPORTUNITIES, DESIGN AND MITIGATION

Development location, layout and design recommendations where appropriate:

There are opportunities to accommodate large employment uses units with careful retention of the most important landscape elements ie watercourses and ponds with trees, main tree lined hedgerows, avoidance of the floodplain and substantial screening from residents.

Opportunities and potential for landscape mitigation where appropriate:

The main mitigation measures need to be to the north and north east with retention of GI infrastructure based on the natural elements in the parcel. Cut and fill should be used to set the units down into the landscape where possible, between high points and tree cover.

M02

ASSESSED SENSITIVITY EVALUATION

Sensitivity to Housing N/A
Sensitivity to Employment Medium

Sensitivity Summary

The landscape value lies in the small watercourse and hedgerow tree cover. The landscape susceptibility to employment use lies in the tree cover and its enclosing potential, the watercourse and riparian vegetation, the relationship with the rural and semi-rural housing and associated enclosures to the south especially around Billesley Farm. The visual susceptibility to employment use lies in views from dwellings and a lane and PROW to the south. There is some sensitivity to employment use but a series of smaller units may be able to be accommodated with appropriate mitigation especially to the south.

LANDSCAPE CHARACTER CONTEXT

National character area Arden

WCC landscape character type (LCT) Timbered Pastures

WCC land cover parcel (LCP) sensitivity Medium

LANDSCAPE VALUE FACTORS

Landscape designations

Natural heritage M Small watercourse, outgrown hedgerows and trees with rough grassland and

scrub to the north east.

Cultural heritage M/L Predominantly reorganised piecemeal enclosure with irregular boundaries and

tree belt.

Distinctiveness M/L Limited distinctiveness.

Perceptual M/L

Scenic factors Limited in itself but contributing to rural landscape continuum.

Tranquillity Noise and movement from the M42, Junction 3 and A435. Built form semi-rural

including agricultural sheds. No public access.

Recreational and functional M/L

Recreational No public access.

Functional Trees may be potential GI corridors/patches.

Condition M/L Generally poor- gappy hedges, mostly trimmed.

Associations N/A

Summary evaluation of overall M/L

value

LANDSCAPE SUSCEPTIBILITY FACTORS

Natural factors M/L

Landform eg steep slopes Relatively flat.

Presence of water No obvious presence of waterbodies.

Cultural factors M

Historic field/land use pattern

Predominantly reorganised piecemeal enclosure with irregular boundaries.

Settlement pattern

A few semi-rural dwellings on southern fringes of parcel.

Land use factors

M/L

Function of area e.g. floodplain, GI corridor, biodiversity corridor or

Trees and hedges contribute to potential GI, although tree belt to east appears

patch, productive land.

mainly non-native.

Amenity-presence of footpaths/recreation corridors No public access.

Functional relationship between

No functional relationship.

the area and the settlement/key features

Perceptual factors M/L

Scale Medium.

Enclosure Generally enclosed.

Skyline N/A

Landmarks/features N/A

Detractors M42, A435 and agricultural sheds to the south.

Away from significant settlement. Settlement edge character

Visual relationship between the area and the settlement/key features (eg cumulative impact issues)

Away from clustered settlement.

Summary evaluation of landscape

susceptibility

M/L

VISUAL SUSCEPTIBILITY FACTORS

General factors

Intervisibility M/L Buildings would not be widely visible due to landcover.

M/L Limited views from PROWs. Key views

Key receptors

Residents-rural/semi-rural Н From Billesley Farm and a few other rural residents.

Residents-urban/suburban edge H/M Some from semi-rural housing.

Promoted trails N/A

Very limited. Public rights of way

Access/common land N/A N/A Cycleways

M42 and A435 and minor roads on other sides. Roads Μ

Summary evaluation of visual

susceptibility

M

OPPORTUNITIES, DESIGN AND MITIGATION

Development location, layout and design recommendations where appropriate:

There are opportunities to accommodate employment uses units at the smaller end of the range to the north and west of the main tree belt with careful retention of the most important landscape elements ie the tree belt, watercourse, hedgerow trees where possible and screening from residents. Development of the south east field is problematic due to residents adjacent.

Opportunities and potential for landscape mitigation where appropriate:

The main mitigation measure would be to retain and extend the existing tree belt so that properties at Billesley Farm and to the south east are screened from the development. Further mitigation tree belts would also be needed to the south west to screen development.

M03

ASSESSED SENSITIVITY EVALUATION

Sensitivity to Housing N/A
Sensitivity to Employment Medium

Sensitivity Summary

The landscape value lies in the two field ponds, floodplain, oaks, hedges and their potential for GI, the PROWs and the attractive relationship between the western part of the parcel with the brick cottages on Pestilence Lane to the north. The landscape susceptibility to employment uses lies in the more pronounced slopes to the west, the irregular field boundaries and oaks, the productive arable land to the west and relationsip with the settlement. The visual susceptibility to employment uses lies in views from the dwellings to the north and also from the PROWs although these may be little used. The land to the west is more sensitive to employment uses due to the overlooking by dwellings, productive arable land and the attractive landform. The parcel to the east of a line taken from Fairlawn to the eastern boundary of The Leys complex would be able to accommodate large employment units with substantial mitigation. There would be an association with Hopwood Services on this side of the M42.

LANDSCAPE CHARACTER CONTEXT

National character area Arden

WCC landscape character type (LCT) Principal Timbered Farmlands

WCC land cover parcel (LCP) sensitivity High

LANDSCAPE VALUE FACTORS

Landscape designations -

Natural heritage M River Arrow, two field ponds, outgrown hedges with pasture/cut meadow to the

east and trimmed hedges with occasional oak trees to the west.

Cultural heritage M/L Predominantly reorganised piecemeal enclosure with irregular boundaries to the

east and more regular boundaries to the west.

Distinctive relationship between terraced cottages on Pestilence Lane and the

western part of the parcel.

Perceptual M

Scenic factors Attractive views across the western part of the parcel including views to and from

cottages on Pestilence Lane.

Tranquillity Noise and movement from the M42, Junction 2 and A441. Built form semi-rural

dwellings adjacent and a semi-industrial shed within area. Two PROWs to the east.

Recreational and functional M

Recreational Two PROWs to the east.

Functional River, ponds and trees are potential GI patches and hedgerows may be potential

GI corridors.

Condition M Moderate/poor- outgrown and trimmed hedges but generally without gaps.

Associations N/A

Summary evaluation of overall M

value

LANDSCAPE SUSCEPTIBILITY FACTORS

Natural factors Μ Gently sloping from north east to south west with more pronounced slopes to the Landform eg steep slopes Presence of water River Arrow to the south west, one large and one small field pond. **Cultural factors** М Predominantly reorganised piecemeal enclosure with irregular boundaries to the Historic field/land use pattern east and more regular boundaries to the west. Semi-rural dwellings on the north western edges of parcel and rural and semi-Settlement pattern industrial buildings to the south west. All are away from Hopwood. Land use factors Μ Productive arable land to west. Ponds, trees and hedgerows may be potential GI Function of area e.g. floodplain, GI corridor, biodiversity corridor or patches/corridors. patch, productive land. Amenity-presence of Two PROWs to the east which may not be used much due to need to cross major footpaths/recreation corridors roads beyond. Functional relationship between Limited functional relationship. the area and the settlement/key features **Perceptual factors** M Scale Medium. **Enclosure** Moderately enclosed-more so to the east. Cottages form the skyline to the north west. Skyline Landmarks/features Brick cottages on Pestilence Lane are a minor landmark. Detractors M42, A441, Hopwood car sales shed and semi- industrial shed within area. Settlement edge character Older part of semi-rural settlement edge to the north is a positive landscape feature. Hopwood Services are located to the east. Visual relationship between the The area to the west (including east of Old Birmingham Road) provides setting to area and the settlement/key houses on Pestilence Lane. features (eg cumulative impact issues) M Summary evaluation of landscape susceptibility

VISUAL SUSCEPTIBILITY FACTORS

General factors

Intervisibility M Buildings may be visible more to the south towards distant rising land.

Key views M Some attractive views across area but limited views from PROWs.

Key receptors

Residents- rural/semi-rural N/A

Residents-urban/suburban edge H/M Some from semi-rural housing.

Promoted trails N/A

Public rights of way H/M From two PROWs.

Access/common land N/A

Cycleways N/A

Roads M M42 and A441, B4120 and minor roads.

Summary evaluation of visual

susceptibility

М

OPPORTUNITIES, DESIGN AND MITIGATION

Development location, layout and design recommendations where appropriate:

The parcel to the east of a line taken from Fairlawn to the eastern boundary of The Leys complex would be able to accommodate large employment units with substantial mitigation. Access should be from the A441 and carefully address the junction in a positive way. The design should take into account how to minimise visual impact from nearby dwellings through mitigation and building height and colour on this boundary.

Opportunities and potential for landscape mitigation where appropriate:

Mitigation should include retention of ponds as part of GI if possible, a large slightly raised planted buffer on the western boundary to screen views from dwellings along Pestilence Lane.

M04

ASSESSED SENSITIVITY EVALUATION

Sensitivity to Housing N/A

Sensitivity to Employment High/medium

Sensitivity Summary

The landscape value lies in the listed buildings adjacent to the south west, the floodplain and watercourse of the River Arrow and tributary, the field ponds, the woodland and piecemeal enclosure of the distinctive linear central meadow, and PROW. The landscape susceptibility to employment use lies in the location on the upper valley sides and approaches to the historic town of Alvechurch to the south west, the historic field pattern and woodland. The visual susceptibility lies in the visibility from nearby dwellings and PROW and potential intervisibility of buildings to the south east and to a lesser extent to the south west. These factors make the parcel sensitive to employment development.

LANDSCAPE CHARACTER CONTEXT

National character area Arden

WCC landscape character type (LCT) Principal Timbered Farmlands

WCC land cover parcel (LCP) sensitivity High

LANDSCAPE VALUE FACTORS

Landscape designations

Natural heritage H/M River Arrow and tributary with riparian vegetation, five field ponds, secondary

woodland, outgrown hedges and trees with rough grass in places.

Cultural heritage H/M Old Rectory listed buildings to the south of woodland, mix of post medieval

meadow running length of area, and reorganised piecemeal and planned

enclosures.

Distinctiveness M Distinctive meadow running along tributary and the distinctive cluster of dwellings

along Rectory Lane to the south west.

Perceptual M

Scenic factors Attractive linear meadow with wooded backcloth.

Tranquillity Noise and movement from the M42, Junction 2 and A441 to north and east. Built

form limited to one semi-rural dwellings within area and other dwellings adjacent

to the south. One PROW runs the length of the meadow.

Recreational and functional M

Recreational One PROW eventually linking into the settlement to the south west.

Functional Floodplain to south west. Watercourses and hedgerows are potential GI corridors

and ponds, woodland and trees are potential GI patches.

Condition M/L Generally poor- overgrown and gappy hedges, rough grass and horse pasture in

places.

Associations N/A

Summary evaluation of overall

value

М

LANDSCAPE SUSCEPTIBILITY FACTORS

Natural factors М Landform eg steep slopes Gently sloping valley running down from north east to south west towards River Presence of water River Arrow, tributary and five field ponds. **Cultural factors** H/M Historic field/land use pattern Mix of irregular post medieval meadow running length of area, and reorganised irregular piecemeal and regular planned enclosures. Semi-rural dwellings on the southern edges of parcel all away from larger Settlement pattern settlement. Land use factors M Function of area e.g. floodplain, GI Floodplain. Watercourses, ponds, woodland, trees and hedgerows may be corridor, biodiversity corridor or potential GI patches/corridors. patch, productive land. One PROW which may not be used much due to need to cross major roads beyond Amenity- presence of footpaths/recreation corridors but is a pleasant walk in itself. PROW links with settlement. Functional relationship between the area and the settlement/key features **Perceptual factors** H/M Medium. Scale **Enclosure** Moderately enclosed to north and more open to south east. Skyline Buildings in parcel would be on the skyline when viewed from the south east and west. Landmarks/features Old Rectory is local landmark but screened by woods from the parcel. M42, A441 and caravan storage within area, although most well hidden by hedges. **Detractors** Settlement edge character Located away from Alvechurch. Visual relationship between the Area some distance from historic Alvechurch and on higher ground on rural road area and the settlement/kev approach. features (eg cumulative impact issues) Summary evaluation of landscape H/M susceptibility VISUAL SUSCEPTIBILITY FACTORS **General factors** Μ Buildings in parcel would be visible from the south east and potentially to the Intervisibility south west. Some attractive views across area but limited to views from PROW. Key views Μ **Key receptors** Residents- rural/semi-rural N/A Residents-urban/suburban edge H/M Some from semi-rural housing.

Promoted trails N/A

Public rights of way H/M From one PROW.

Access/common land N/A

Cycleways N/A

Roads M M42, A441 and minor roads.

Summary evaluation of visual

susceptibility

M

OPPORTUNITIES, DESIGN AND MITIGATION

Development location, layout and design recommendations where appropriate:

Opportunities and potential for landscape mitigation where appropriate:

M05

ASSESSED SENSITIVITY EVALUATION

Sensitivity to Housing N/A

Sensitivity to Employment High/medium

Sensitivity Summary

The landscape value lies in the field ponds, associated trees, the hedgerows especially to the east and the unimproved pasture. The landscape susceptibility to employment use lies in the location in open countryside on a small hilltop above the River Arrow valley in a relatively constrained site with remnant landscape features such as ponds. The visual susceptibility to employment use lies in the potential intervisibility of buildings on the site with the landscape especially to the south and views from the dwelling on site. It would be difficult to accommodate employment development in the parcel due to these sensitivities.

LANDSCAPE CHARACTER CONTEXT

National character area Arden

WCC landscape character type (LCT) Principal Timbered Farmlands

WCC land cover parcel (LCP) sensitivity High

LANDSCAPE VALUE FACTORS

Landscape designations

Natural heritage M Two field ponds with trees, strong hedges to the east and on road, unimproved

pasture.

Cultural heritage M/L Largely reorganised piecemeal enclosure.

Distinctiveness M/L No apparent distinctive features.

Perceptual M/L

Scenic factors Privacy measures mean that no views in possible.

Tranquillity Noise and movement from the M42, Junction 2 and A441 to north and west. Built

form limited to one semi-rural dwellings within area.

Recreational and functional M/L

Recreational No public access.

Functional Field ponds, trees and hedges are potential GI patches/corridors.

Condition M/L Poor- field boundaries removed.

Associations N/A
Summary evaluation of overall M/L

value

LANDSCAPE SUSCEPTIBILITY FACTORS

Natural factors M/L

Landform eg steep slopes Local high point at 130mAOD to the north and west falling distinctly to the east.

Presence of water Two field ponds.

Cultural factors M

Historic field/land use pattern

Largely reorganised piecemeal enclosure.

Settlement pattern

Semi-rural dwelling on the southern edges of parcel away from larger settlement.

Land use factors

M/L

Function of area e.g. floodplain, GI corridor, biodiversity corridor or patch, productive land.

Small biodiversity patches with possible permanent pasture.

Amenity- presence of

No public access.

footpaths/recreation corridors

Functional relationship between the area and the settlement/key features

No links with settlement.

Perceptual factors

H/M

Scale Medium.

Enclosure Enclosed in terms of landcover but rising hill.

Buildings in parcel would be on the skyline when viewed from the south. Skyline

Landmarks/features N/A

Detractors M42, A441 and adjacent small sheds, although well hidden by hedges.

Settlement edge character Located away from Alvechurch.

Visual relationship between the area and the settlement/key features (eg cumulative impact issues)

Area some distance from historic Alvechurch in open countryside and on higher

ground on rural road approach.

Summary evaluation of landscape

susceptibility

H/M

VISUAL SUSCEPTIBILITY FACTORS

General factors

Intervisibility Μ Buildings in parcel would be especially visible from the south and potentially to

the south west.

Key views M/L No views across area fro nearby.

Key receptors

Residents- rural/semi-rural H/M One dwelling.

Residents-urban/suburban edge N/A

Promoted trails N/A

Public rights of way N/A

Access/common land N/A

Cycleways N/A

Roads Μ M42, A441 and minor road.

OPPORTUNITIES, DESIGN AND MITIGATION

Development location, layout and design recommendations where appropriate:

Opportunities and potential for landscape mitigation where appropriate:

M06

ASSESSED SENSITIVITY EVALUATION

Sensitivity to Housing N/A

Sensitivity to Employment High/medium

Sensitivity Summary

The landscape value lies in the proximity to the Clent and Lickey Hills Valued Landscape Area (CPRE study) to the east, the PROW and trees mainly on boundaries of improved pastures. The landscape susceptibility to large scale employment use is the dimensions of the parcel overall with its limited width, the slope with 10m change in level which would be likely to mean that any large building would require large scale cut and fill with limited flexibility, and the removal of most internal vegetation. The visual susceptibility to large scale employment lies in the location in essentially rural surroundings where other development is rural or discreet and where development would be seen against the Lickey Hills. There would be views from the North Worcestershire Path to the north and from dwellings to the east. As such a large scale employment use would be likely to be prominent above existing tree cover and would be undesirable.

LANDSCAPE CHARACTER CONTEXT

National character area Arden

WCC landscape character type (LCT) Principal Settled Farmlands

WCC land cover parcel (LCP) sensitivity Medium

LANDSCAPE VALUE FACTORS

Landscape designations M Adjacent to Clent and Lickey Hills Valued Landscape Area (CPRE study) to the east

but not in buffer zone.

Natural heritage M/L Some outgrown and discontinuous hedgerows with trees mainly on boundaries of

improved pastures.

Cultural heritage M/L Semi-regular hedge field pattern and rectilinear enclosures of horse pasture.

Distinctiveness M/L Distinctiveness gained from location as part of rural edge landscapes near Lickey

Hills.

Perceptual M/L

Scenic factors Limited in itself but contributing to rural landscape continuum north of Catshill.

Tranquillity Noise and movement from A38 and M5 Junction 4. Built form limited and semi-

rural. PROW along eastern boundary.

Recreational and functional M/L

Recreational PROW along eastern boundary.

Functional Some outgrown and discontinuous hedgerows with trees.

Condition M/L Discontinuous hedges and fenced horse pasture.

Associations -

Summary evaluation of overall M/L

value

LANDSCAPE SUSCEPTIBILITY FACTORS

Natural factors M/L

Landform eg steep slopes Definite undulating slope across the parcel- around 10m fall from east to west.

Presence of water Ditch to the north.

Cultural factors Μ

Historic field/land use pattern Semi-regular hedge field pattern and rectilinear enclosures of horse pasture.

Settlement pattern None within site but some older houses along old A38 to the east.

Land use factors M/L

Function of area e.g. floodplain, GI corridor, biodiversity corridor or patch, productive land.

Some limited hedgerows and trees, mainly along edges.

Amenity- presence of

footpaths/recreation corridors

PROW along eastern boundary.

Functional relationship between the area and the settlement/key

features

Limited relationship with settlement to the south

Perceptual factors M

Medium to small scale. Scale

Enclosure Moderately enclosed.

Skyline

Landmarks/features

Detractors Adjacent M5 junction

Settlement edge character Discontinuous ribbon development

Visual relationship between the area and the settlement/key features (eg cumulative impact

with the backcloth of the Lickey Hills.

Summary evaluation of landscape

susceptibility

issues)

H/M

VISUAL SUSCEPTIBILITY FACTORS

General factors

H/M Buildings on the site would be widely visible to the north and west albeit with Intervisibility

some mitigation of A38 planting. Possible views from receptors in the Lickey Hills.

Appears in the wider landscape including from the motorway as discreet slopes

Views from the North Worcestershire Path to the north. Possible views from Key views H/M

receptors in the Lickey Hills.

Key receptors

Residents-rural/semi-rural On old A38 Birmingham Road and elsewhere. H/M

Residents-urban/suburban edge H/M Possibly from the south.

Promoted trails Н Views from the North Worcestershire Path to the north.

Public rights of way H/M PROW within the area.

Access/common land

Cycleways

Roads M M5 and A38 to the north and south.

Summary evaluation of visual susceptibility

H/M

OPPORTUNITIES, DESIGN AND MITIGATION

Development location, layout and design recommendations where appropriate:

Opportunities and potential for landscape mitigation where appropriate:

M07

ASSESSED SENSITIVITY EVALUATION

Sensitivity to Housing N/A
Sensitivity to Employment High

Sensitivity Summary

The landscape value lies in the proximity to the Clent and Lickey Hills Valued Landscape Area (CPRE study) to the east and the location of the area in the buffer zone, the listed buildings to the east, the North Worcestershire Path to the north and the riparian corridor to the north. The landscape susceptibility to large scale employment use is the relatively small dimensions of the parcel and its openness in the wider landscape against the backcloth of the Wasely Hills and Lickey Hills and the attractive rural buildings. The visual susceptibility to large scale employment lies in the location in essentially rural surroundings where other development is rural or discreet and where development would be seen in views against the Waseley and Lickey Hills. There would be views from the North Worcestershire Path to the north and from dwellings and curtilages to the north and to the east. As such, the parcel is sensitive to a large scale employment development which would be likely to be very prominent.

LANDSCAPE CHARACTER CONTEXT

National character area Arden

WCC landscape character type (LCT) Principal Settled Farmlands

WCC land cover parcel (LCP) sensitivity Medium

LANDSCAPE VALUE FACTORS

Landscape designations H/M Lies in proximity to the Clent and Lickey Hills Valued Landscape Area (CPRE study)

to the east and the location of the area in the buffer zone

Natural heritage M Watercourse on the northern boundary with associated riparian vegetation and

tree clump.

Cultural heritage H/M Listed buildings (house and residential barns at Chadwick Manor) to the east.

Distinctiveness M Open sloping pasture with tree clump and focus outside the parcel of barns to the

east and other farmhouse to the north

Perceptual M

Scenic factors Open sloping pasture influenced by hill and barns to the east and other farmhouse

to the north

M

Tranquillity Noise and movement from A38 and M5 Junction 4. Built form outside the parcel is

highly rural. No public access.

Recreational and functional M

Recreational No public access.

Functional Watercourse on the northern boundary with associated riparian vegetation forms

a biodiversity corridor.

Condition M/L Generally poor because field boundaries appear to have been removed.

Associations -

Summary evaluation of overall

value

Natural factors M

Landform eg steep slopes Gentle slopes

Presence of water Watercourse on the northern boundary

Cultural factors M

Historic field/land use pattern Field pattern has been modified but watercourse appears natural.

Settlement pattern None within the parcel but Chadwick Manor lies to the east and farm complex to

the north.

Land use factors M

Function of area e.g. floodplain, GI corridor, biodiversity corridor or patch, productive land.

Watercourse on the northern boundary with associated riparian vegetation forms

a biodiversity corridor.

Amenity- presence of

footpaths/recreation corridors

No PROWs.

Functional relationship between the area and the settlement/key

features

Perceptual factors H/M

Scale Medium

Enclosure Relatively open

Skyline -

Landmarks/features Listed barns to the east.

Detractors Adjacent M5 junction

Settlement edge character Away from settlement

Visual relationship between the area and the settlement/key features (eg cumulative impact issues)

the backcloth of the Waseley Hills and Lickey Hills.

Summary evaluation of landscape

susceptibility

H/M

VISUAL SUSCEPTIBILITY FACTORS

General factors

Intervisibility H/M Buildings on the site would be widely visible to the north. Possible views from

receptors in the Waseley Hills and Lickey Hills.

Key views H/M Views from the North Worcestershire Path to the north and listed buildings.

Possible views from receptors in the Waseley Hills and Lickey Hills.

Key receptors

Residents- rural/semi-rural H/M Farmhouse and residential barns/curtilage.

Residents-urban/suburban edge -

Promoted trails North Worcestershire Path to the north.

Public rights of way

Access/common land

Cycleways -

Roads M M5 and A38

Summary evaluation of visual

susceptibility

H/M

OPPORTUNITIES, DESIGN AND MITIGATION

Development location, layout and design recommendations where appropriate:

Opportunities and potential for landscape mitigation where appropriate: