5. Assessment Summary

- 5.1 Table 5-1 summarises the resulting category for each cluster group and each metric within the framework, and Table 5-2 shows individual sub-clusters.
- 5.2 Considering just Workstage 3(b), the multi-criteria Red/Amber/Green assessment of the transport sustainability showed that:
 - Cluster group 12 (Wythall North), 17 (Frankley), 13 (Wythall South) and 11 (Conurbation West A441) received the most 'Green' RAG scores which means they perform particularly well in terms of proximity to existing sustainable transport connections, future proposed transport investment (particularly Wythall North and South); and public transport accessibility to jobs, healthcare and education.
 - Conversely, cluster group 14 (Redditch North), 15 (Redditch West) and 16 (Tardebigge) received the most 'Red' RAG scores. This was mainly due to existing access by public transport to jobs and education, as well as limited identified future proposed transport investments – reducing opportunities to deliver enhanced sustainable mobility services and infrastructure alongside spatial growth.
 - The clusters in-between scored predominantly 'amber' ratings, suggesting that with some infrastructure and service improvements there could be opportunities for improving connectivity by sustainable transport.

Table 5-1: Assessment framework results : cluster groups

			Residential Cluster Group												Employment Cluster Groups							
		1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13	14	15	16	17	18	19	20	EMP01
	No.	Bromsgrov e Station Corridor	Bromsgrove West	Bromsgrove North	Catshill South	Catshill North	Barnt Green	Alvechurc h South	Alvechur ch North	Conurbation West A441	Conurbation East A441	Conurbation West A435	Wythall North	Wythall South	Redditch North	Redditch West	Tardebigge	Frankley	Hagley	Employment A435	Employment M42 J3	Alvechurch North
% DRIVE TO WORK	1.1	1	1	0	0	0	1	1	1	2	2	2	1	1	0	0	0	2	o	-	-	-
% DRIVE	1.2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	1	1	1
TRANSPORT SERVICES	2.1	1	1	1	1	1	2	2	2	1	1	1	2	2	0	0	0	1	2	0	0	1
	3.1	0	o	0	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	2	2	1	0	0	0	2	o	-	-	-
LABOUR MARKET	3.2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	1	2	0
	4.1	1	2	1	2	2	2	0	1	1	1	2	2	2	0	1	2	2	2	1	1	0
HEATHCARE	4.2	1	0	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	2	2	2	o	2	2	1
	4.3	1	0	2	1	0	0	1	1	0	0	0	0	1	2	2	2	1	0	2	1	1
EDUCATION	5.1	2	2	1	1	1	2	0	2	1	1	2	2	1	0	0	2	2	2	-	-	-
EDUC	5.2	1	1	1	1	2	1	1	1	2	2	2	2	2	0	0	0	2	2	-	-	-
PLANNED IMPROVEMENTS	6.1	2	1	2	2	1	0	1	0	0	0	1	2	2	0	1	0	0	1	0	0	0
DISTRICT CENTRES	7.1	2	2	2	2	1	1	0	0	1	1	1	1	1	0	1	1	1	1	1	1	0



Table 5-2: Assessment framework results : sub-clusters

		1 Bromsgrove St. Corridor	BR03	BR04	BR05	3 Bromsgrove North	BR01	BR02	4 Catshill South	CA02	CA03	CA04	5 Catshill North	CA01	CA05	6 Barnt Green	BG01	BG02	BG03	BG04	12 Wythall North	WY01	WY06	13 Wythall South	WY02	WY03	WY04	WY05	18 Hagley	HA01	HA02	HA03	HA04	HA05
% DRIVE TO WORK	1.1	<u>1</u>	1	1	0	Q	0	1		0	1	0	O	0	1	1	0	0	0	1	North	1	1	1	1	1	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	1
TRANSPORT SERVICES	2.1	1	2	1	0	1	2	1	1	0	2	2	1	0	1	2	1	2	2	1	2	2	1	2	2	2	2	1	2	2	2	2	2	1
LABOUR MARKET	3.1	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	2	2	2	1	2	1	1	1	0	1	0	0	0	0
	4.1	1	2	1	1	1	2	1	2	2	1	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	0	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	0	2	2	0
HEATHCARE	4.2	1	1	0	0	1	1	0	1	0	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	0	1	0	1	1	1	1	0	0	1	1	0	0	0	0	0	0
	4.3	1	1	0	0	2	1	2	1	0	1	1	0	1	0	0	0	1	1	1	0	0	0	1	0	0	1	1	0	0	0	0	0	0
TION	5.1	2	1	1	2	1	1	1	1	0	2	2	1	1	2	2	2	2	0	2	2	1	2	1	2	2	1	1	2	2	0	2	2	2
EDUCATION	5.2	1	1	2	2	1	1	0	1	0	1	2	2	2	2	1	2	1	0	0	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	0	1	1	2
PLANNED IMPROVEMENTS	6.1	2	1	1	0	2	2	2	2	1	1	1	1	1	1	0	0	0	0	0	2	0	1	2	2	1	1	0	1	0	0	0	0	1
E C		2	1	1	2	2	2	2	2	1	2	2	1	2	1	1	2	1	0	1	1	1	2	1	0	0	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	0

