



# worcestershire countycouncil

**Ask for:** Ben Horovitz

**To:** Mr Mike Dunphy  
Strategic Planning Manager  
Planning and Regeneration  
Bromsgrove District Council  
The Council House  
Burcot Lane  
Bromsgrove  
B60 1AA

**Date:** 11 November 2013

**From:** Ahmed Goga, Head of Economic Development and Planning

Dear Mike

**RE: Bromsgrove District Plan Proposed Submission Document**


Worcestershire County Council would like to express its support for the submission of the Bromsgrove District Plan Proposed Submission document.

Thorough consultation has taken place with the County Council throughout its development and the County Council believe the plan to be fully compliant with both legal requirements and soundness tests.

Worcestershire County Council will continue to work with Bromsgrove District Council through to examination and beyond, to ensure the successful adoption and implementation of the development plan.

If you would like to discuss this letter please do not hesitate to contact Ben Horovitz (email: [bhorovitz@worcestershire.gov.uk](mailto:bhorovitz@worcestershire.gov.uk), telephone 01905 766097) in the first instance.

Yours Sincerely,



Do you consider the BDP is **unsound** because it is not:

(1) Justified (see Note 4)	<input type="checkbox"/>
(2) Effective (see Note 5)	<input type="checkbox"/>
(3) Consistent with national policy (see Note 6)	<input type="checkbox"/>
(4) Positively prepared (see Note 7)	<input type="checkbox"/>

6. Please give details of why you consider the BDP is unsound. Please be as precise as possible. If you wish to support the soundness of the BDP, please also use this box to set out your comments. (Continue on a separate sheet /expand box if necessary)

7. Please set out what change(s) you consider necessary to make the BDP sound, having regard to the test you have identified at 6 above. You will need to say why this change will make the BDP sound. It will be helpful if you are able to put forward your suggested revised wording of any policy or text. Please be as precise as possible. (Continue on a separate sheet /expand box if necessary) (see Note 8 para 4.3)

**Please note** your representation should cover succinctly all the information, evidence and supporting information necessary to support/justify the representation and the suggested change(s), as there will not normally be a subsequent opportunity to make further representations based on the original representation at publication stage.


**After this stage, further submissions will be only at the request of the Inspector, based on the matters and issues he/she identifies for examination.**

8. If your representation is seeking a change, do you consider it necessary to participate at the oral part of the examination? **Please note** the Inspector will determine the most appropriate procedure to adopt to hear those who have indicated that they wish to participate at the oral part of the examination.

No, I do not wish to participate at the oral examination	<input type="checkbox"/>
Yes, I wish to participate at the oral examination	<input type="checkbox"/>

9. If you wish to participate at the oral part of the examination, please outline why you consider this to be necessary. (Continue on a separate sheet /expand box if necessary)

Signature:



Date: 11 Nov 2013