

Part B (see Note 1 and Note 8 para 4.2)

Please use a separate Part B form for each representation you wish to make

Name or Organisation (see Note 8 para 4.1)

C. A. HOTHAM

1. To which part of the BDP does this representation relate?

Page: 18119	Paragraph: 8.12	Policy: BDP 2
Policies Map: ✓	Other document: Settlement Hierarchy Background paper 2012	

If your representation does not relate to a specific part of the document, or it relates to a different document, for example the Sustainability Appraisal, please make this clear in your response.

2. Do you consider the BDP is legally compliant? (see Note 2)

Yes: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	No: <input type="checkbox"/>
--	------------------------------

3. Please give details of why you consider the BDP is not legally compliant. Please be as precise as possible. If you wish to support the legal compliance of the BDP, please also use this box to set out your comments. (Continue on a separate sheet /expand box if necessary)

4. Please set out what change(s) you consider necessary to make the BDP legally compliant, having regard to the issue(s) you have identified above. You will need to say why this change will make the BDP legally compliant. It will be helpful if you are able to put forward your suggested revised wording of any policy or text. Please be as precise as possible. (Continue on a separate sheet /expand box if necessary) (see Note 8 para 4.3)

5. Do you consider the BDP is sound? (see Note 3)

Yes: <input type="checkbox"/>	No: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
-------------------------------	---

Do you consider the BDP is **unsound** because it is not:

(1) Justified (see Note 4)	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
(2) Effective (see Note 5)	<input type="checkbox"/>
(3) Consistent with national policy (see Note 6)	<input type="checkbox"/>
(4) Positively prepared (see Note 7)	<input type="checkbox"/>

6. Please give details of why you consider the BDP is unsound. Please be as precise as possible. If you wish to support the soundness of the BDP, please also use this box to set out your comments. (Continue on a separate sheet /expand box if necessary)

Please see separate sheet

7. Please set out what change(s) you consider necessary to make the BDP sound, having regard to the test you have identified at 6 above. You will need to say why this change will make the BDP sound. It will be helpful if you are able to put forward your suggested revised wording of any policy or text. Please be as precise as possible. (Continue on a separate sheet /expand box if necessary) (see Note 8 para 4.3)

Please see separate sheet


Please note your representation should cover succinctly all the information, evidence and supporting information necessary to support/justify the representation and the suggested change(s), as there will not normally be a subsequent opportunity to make further representations based on the original representation at publication stage.

After this stage, further submissions will be only at the request of the Inspector, based on the matters and issues he/she identifies for examination.

8. If your representation is seeking a change, do you consider it necessary to participate at the oral part of the examination? **Please note** the Inspector will determine the most appropriate procedure to adopt to hear those who have indicated that they wish to participate at the oral part of the examination.

No, I do not wish to participate at the oral examination	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
Yes, I wish to participate at the oral examination	<input type="checkbox"/>

9. If you wish to participate at the oral part of the examination, please outline why you consider this to be necessary. (Continue on a separate sheet /expand box if necessary)

Signature: 	Date: 7/11/13
--	---------------

BOX 6

The BDP plan is unsound because it fails to justify the population figures or define the areas used in determining the settlement hierarchy. Paragraph 8.12 states that hierarchy is based on settlement size and this is measured using the “core developed area”. Whilst no definitive plan as to the exact area of each defined settlement exists, it appears (Settlement hierarchy background paper 2012) that “core developed areas” within a settlement that are washed over by green belt have been excluded from calculating its size. Therefore, for example within the Barnt Green settlement the determined “core developed area” should also include Linthurst road probably to the M42 bridge and certainly to the drive of Linthurst Court, the other side of Cherry Hill road and the housing around Kendle end drive.

BOX 7

The Key Diagram and also the Policies Map should be amended to reflect the true area of each settlement. The Settlement Hierarchy background paper 2012, needs to clearly define each settlement area and adjust the population figures accordingly.

These changes are needed to correctly record both the extent of each settlement and its true population. These figures should be as accurate as possible as they form a key part the BDP and its justification.

Charles Hotham part of written submission. 7/11/13.



